

The Arab World: a valley of death for Islamists and a paradise for tyrants

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

Why so much enmity to democracy and Islam?

The USA has lost confidence to win any war. Further war can only precipitate economic collapse and no victory. This is the lesson that the USA has learned from its humiliating defeat in Afghanistan. But the issue for the USA still remains. It can leave Afghanistan, but can't leave its agenda of global dominance –its core business in world politics. So, it takes the alternative strategy. It is through collaboration with Islam's internal enemies in each Muslim country. Therefore, the market price of the Arab tyrants in the US eyes goes very high. Because these tyrants can be easily used as stooges to dominate the oil-rich Arab World as well to guarantee the security of Israel. Since these tyrants possess no power base amidst their own people, depend solely on foreign powers like the USA for survival. So they can be easily drawn into the USA's war on Islam. But they can't do the same with the popular democratic government. Hence the USA and its allies find it the best strategy to invest more money and more intelligence to make a coup d'état against any democratic government in the Muslim World. So, the USA and its allies stand as the worst enemy of democracy in the Muslim World –especially the Arab World. Since democracy is the common enemy of both the USA and the Arab tyrants, the tyrant rulers of Saudi Arabia, UAE, Bahrain, Kuwait, Jordan, and Oman are ever-ready to co-operate with the USA's anti-democratic project in the Arab World with their petrodollar -as they did in Egypt in 2012 and now in Tunisia.

The tyrannical rule is the most effective way to obstruct the civilizational progress of mankind. It is the most powerful tool to keep people de-empowered, morally shattered, and mentally subservient. It keeps people away from the path of moral, social, and political development. This is why the enemies of Islam love autocrats. The history of such love affairs is huge. All the dictators of the Arab World enjoy the support of the USA and Israel without any exception. The USA and its allies never attempted any coup d'état against the worst dictators like President Jamal Abdul Nasser of Egypt, President Habib Bourguiba of Tunisia, Hafez al-Assad of Syria, or any tyrant King of Saudi Arabia. But they always attempted coup d'état against the democratic government of the Muslim World without any exception. In 1953, they engineered a coup d'état against the elected government of Iran -the only democratic government in the whole of the Middle East. They removed Iran's elected Prime Minister Sharif Musaddeq and installed the deposed Shah Muhammad Reza Pahlavi. In 2012, they supported the coup d'état against Dr. Mohammed Morsi -the only elected ruler in Egyptian history. Now the same gang celebrates the overthrow of the democratic government of Tunisia -the only remaining elected government in the whole of the Arab world. On 15 July 2016, they also made a coup attempt against the elected government of Rajab Taiyeb Erdogan of Turkey. Not surprisingly, the hawkish neo-con like John Bolton -the former Security Adviser to President Donald Trump runs a think-tank called the Turkish Democracy Project to hatch plans to overthrow Turkey's democratic government. But none in the USA runs any project to promote democracy in the tyrant-infested Arab World.

The calamity of the enemy occupation

Even if a tree dies, it leaves a strong stump and deep roots in the ground. So, no new tree can grow there. The growth of a new tree is only feasible after a deep uprooting of the old

one. This is exactly true about an enemy rule in a country. The long colonial rule in the Muslim World has ended, but its strong stump and deep roots still exist. These cause huge obstacles in the democratic governance of the former colonies. Each colonial government of the European imperialists built massive infrastructures of cultural, educational, and ideological engineering to make people subservient to their rule. These are the educational, cultural, and training institutions, the state-owned media, the bureaucracy, the Army, the judiciary, the literature, the theatre, and many others. Later on, these colonial institutions built up a deep state -a powerful club of former mercenaries inside every formal colonial state. It survived as the remnant of the colonial legacy of the long occupation. Because of this deep state, the democratic regimes couldn't function in newly independent states like Pakistan, Egypt, Sudan, Algeria, Tunisia, Nigeria, Indonesia, and many other former colonies. In fact, this deep state paved the way for internal occupation by the native enemy invaders. This is why the dictators like Ayyub Khan in Pakistan, Nasser in Egypt, Habib Bourguiba in Tunisia, Ben Bella in Algeria, and Zafar Numeri in Sudan could have such a long rule. And they could keep the civilians -their enemy out of the power structure.

The ancient Pharaohs of Egypt could bring huge behavioral, attitudinal, and cultural changes among the people. Because of such a change, they could establish themselves as gods. Such tamed people were so much corrupted intellectually that they developed deep disdain even against the great prophets like Musa (peace be upon him) and Harun (peace be upon him). Thus it proves that if the thinking faculty of the people are damaged then they even worship any human, cow, snake, or idol. More than a billion people do that in India. To be worshipped by such domesticated people, it doesn't need to be super-human. This is why the most inhuman dictators like Jamal Abdel Nasser of Egypt and Habib Bourguiba of Tunisia could easily attain that status.

All the tyrants apply the same methodology to tame people. In reality, these tyrants were so poor in quality that they couldn't show any talent in any field. Even the worst democrat is far better than a tyrant. Because a fair democrat gets power only after showing his talent in public. More a democrat fears accountability in the court of people in elections. But a tyrant doesn't have that. Jamal Abdel Nasser failed in every sector of his countries like its defense, health, economy, education, and Arab unity. In the 1967 war, Nasser couldn't stand against Israel even for 6 days. He lost the whole of the Sinai Peninsula to Israel. He could only over-perform in rhetorical demagoguery and election manipulation. He won plebiscites (there was no election under his rule) in 1956, 1958, and 1965 -while he was the sole candidate. He disbanded all opposition parties, gaged all independent media, and barred all opposition candidates from election. He deprived people of their political rights and right to free expression. He took all power in his own hand. He had a parliament, and its only duty was to ratify his every decision.

Arab World: a valley of death for Islamists

The Arab world has turned to a Valley of Death for the Islamists. All Arab tyrants possess one thing in common. It is their toxic venom against the Islamists. No rule of law, no fair justice, and no human rights provision work for the Islamists. As if, killing Islamists is like killing dogs and cats on the streets. In all Arab countries –without exception, Islamists are labeled as terrorists. Islam that entails Islamic state, sharia, *hudud*, jihad, pan-Islamic unity, and rule by shura is labeled as terrorism cum barbarism. Jihad –the highest *ibada* in Islam is made a taboo in the Arab world. The imams of the mosques, columnists of the newspapers, academics of the universities do not dare appreciate jihad in public. Islamophobia cum jihad-phobia run very high in the

Arab World. Distancing from Prophet (peace be upon him)'s original Islam that raised the first and the finest civilizational state in human history has become a norm in public. The cities like Aleppo, Mosul, Raqqa, Dera Zour, Ramadi, Falluja, Tikrit, and many others were razed to the ground by the USA carpet bombing. But the anti-Islamist propaganda hype is so high in the Arab World that these destructions are described as the works of the Islamists. The photos of the destroyed cities are shown in Arab World's television networks with Islamists' emblems.

The toxic venom against Islam and the Islamists was firstly injected into the Arab psyche by Jamal Abdel Nasser of Egypt and later on by Habib Bourguiba of Tunisia. The radical secularism of Nasser and Bourguiba has a stipulated political aim. Nasser aimed at eliminating his political enemy – Ikhwan ul Muslimeen. Habib Bourguiba's disdain against Islam's fundamental ritual was extremely toxic. He made Tunisia a bastion of radical secularism in the Arab World. In the month of Ramadan, he used to eat in public to ridicule Islam's teaching. He didn't give any political space to the Islamists. His protégé Zain el Abedin ben followed in his footsteps. The largest Islamic party En Nahda (the Islamic resurgence party) was banned. Jamal Abdel Nasser's enmity against the Islamist party Ikhwan-ul Muslim was very terrific. He indeed declared a full-fledged war on the Islamists. In that war, he wanted to bring the Egyptian people on his side. For that, he staged a false flag shoot-out drama to hit two targets with one stone. Firstly, to manufacture a myth about himself; secondly, to defame Ikhwan-ul Muslim –the largest Islamic party in the public eyes.

It is alleged that on 26 October 1955 some Abdul Latif fired 8 shots from a distance of 25 feet to assassinate Nasser. Not surprisingly, not a single bullet hit him. Because none of the bullets was targeted at him. It had only a political target, and the whole drama was displayed for political consumption.

In the midst of the engineered chaos, Nasser delivered a very high-spirited speech, "My countrymen, my blood is for you and for your country. I live for you and die for the sake of your freedom and honor..." Truly, Nasser never gave any freedom to his countrymen. They were given only one freedom, and that is to praise him. His above speech was put on the air numerous times to boost his image among the public. The shooter was projected as a man of Ikhwan ul Muslimeen, and the ploy was used to hang eight senior leaders of the party and to put thousands of its workers in prison. The famous scholar Syed Qutb was put on a 15-year jail sentence and later on hanged. 140 pro-Islamic Army officers were also sacked from the job. So, the whole drama had a singular objective. It was to eliminate the top leaders of Ikhwan-ul Muslimeen and to band the party. And Nasser did that.

Like Jamal Abdel Nasser, General Abdel Fatah al-Sisi, too, couldn't tolerate the elected Islamist President Dr. Mohammad Morsi. Dr Mors was a member of Ikhwan-ul Muslimeen. Hence, he did a coup d'état against him and grabbed the power in his own hand. On 14 August 2012, thousands of men and women came to the streets to protest against the Army take-over. To crush the protest, General al-Sisi rolled tanks and heavy artillery guns on the street against the unnamed protestors. More than two thousand people were slaughtered by the Army. It was a genocide against innocent civilians. But no one was punished. As if, the Islamists do not deserve any justice. As if, the killers who killed so many people don't deserve any punishment. Rather, those who survived the carnage were arrested on terror charges. The USA government and its western allies kept tongue-tied silence –as if they have seen nothing and heard nothing. And this is indeed American justice and morality.

Even a killer thug gets affection from his pet dogs and cats. Such animal behavioral norms also work in humans. This is why the ancient tyrant Pharaohs were worshipped by the

domesticated Egyptian people. Nasser revived that Pharaonic methodology to make Egyptian people deeply submissive to him. He launched his social, cultural, and ideological engineering to cultivate hero-worshipping. And the projected hero was Nasser himself. He used the media, writers, singers, dancers, sportsmen, theatre performers as his foot soldiers to raise him to that status. And it worked. This is why when this tyrant autocrat died, millions of Egyptians joined his funeral.

The current tyrant General Abdel Fatah al-Sisi follows the footsteps of Nasser. He also runs the same social, cultural, and intellectual engineering. All the TV channels and newspapers work for him. He has gagged the opposition voice. He has banned Ikhwan-ul Muslims. Its leaders and workers are now languishing in jails. Many of them faced execution. In fact, Jamal Abdel Nasser, Hosni Mubarak, and Abdel Fatah al-Sisi appeared as modern Pharaohs in Egypt. They caused massive cultural and ideological captivity cum molding of people. As a result, a large number of Egyptians developed incompatibility with the democratic norm of governance. This is why coup d'état against President Morsi was celebrated so wildly in the streets of Cairo. The same wild celebration took place in Tunis after the dissolution of the democratic government of Tunisia. This owes to the fact that although the Islamists could get electoral victory both in Egypt and Tunisia, they couldn't eliminate millions of radical anti-Islamists from society. So they were ready to celebrate the Army take-over. These anti-Islamists are indeed the grave security threat for Islam and Muslims everywhere.

Arab World: a paradise for hedonist tyrants

The Arab World has turned into a paradise for the debauched tyrants. It looks that the Arab people have returned back to square one –the days of pre-Islamic tribalism. The 1.4 billion

Chinese have one country called China. The 1.3 billion Indians have one country called India. 280 million Indonesians with more than 15 thousand islands have one country called Indonesia. But 400 million Arabs with the same land have built 22 countries. Even an Arab oilfield gets a flag, a map, and a name of statehood. Jews from Russia, Poland, Germany, and the USA, the UK, Iraq, Morocco, Ethiopia, and many other countries with different languages, dresses, food habits, and cultures could live in a single country of Israel. But the Arabs with the same language, the same landmass, and the same ethnicity can't live in a single country. Instead of unity, division and feudal feuds have become part of the Arab culture and identity. Unity gives strength and division gives weakness. So defeating 400 million Arabs of 22 Arab states is nothing more than a cakewalk for 8 million Israelis. In the 1967 War, the joint armies of Egypt, Syria, and Jordan couldn't stand even for 6 days. They surrendered with huge humiliation. The Arabs can celebrate only their divisions. They have indeed no victory or glory to celebrate.

Higher human identities like democracy, basic human rights, free media, free writings, free talks, open meetings, and street rallies are the common features even in countries like Turkey, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal, Peru, Bolivia, and many others, but such practices are punishable crimes in all Arab countries. Out of 22 Arab countries, not a single one is democratic. The only one was Tunisia, and recently that has also been dismantled. What a shame! Democracy, human rights, free thoughts, and free expression have never been the stuff of tribal life. These are indeed the accurate marker of civilizational progress and maturity of human development. The Arabs score very low on those development indicators. Unfortunately, these crucial issues are seldom discussed in the intellectual circles of the Arab World. One can find hardly any discussion on these issues in the Arab newspapers.

When Muslims built the finest civilization on the earth, they

built not only mosques and madrasas. They developed social policies on the basis of basic human rights. They also implemented a democratic way of governance. So, the rulers of the Islamic State like Abu Bakar (RA), Omar (RA), Osman (RA), and Ali (RA) need not have any familial inheritance from the former ruler. They were directly elected by the people. Whereas, tribalism restricts such democratic rule of governance. Here, the tribal inheritance of blood and the power of savagery decide who should rule the tribe. The perfect rule of jungle works here. Hence a killer like Mohammad bin Salman who organized a gang for cutting into pieces Jamal Khashoggi –a prominent journalist can also claim the throne of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia leads the club of Arab dictators. Hence Saudi Arabia is the first country to congratulate the coup d'état in Tunisia.

In tribalism, man's piety, honesty, morality, and education don't count. Because of tribal occupation, the holiest sites of Islam now stand occupied by the most unholy people. Hence, there exists no space for decency, morality, and the rule of law in the tribal Arab World. People enjoy rights of protest and can throw stones even under the Israeli occupation, but such right doesn't exist in the Arab World. Iraq and Algeria are the only two rare exceptions. In such a permissive milieu, General Abdel Fatah al-Sisi could roll tanks and artillery guns on Cairo's streets to kill about two thousand innocent protesters. And the killer didn't face any prosecution, let alone punishment.

The Arab World is known for its abundance of debauched tyrant rulers. No other part of the planet is embedded with so many tyrants. Fascists like Jamal Abdel Nasser of Egypt, Habib Bourguiba of Tunisia, Hafez al-Assad of Syria and King of Saudi Arabia, Shaikh of UAE, and Bahrain are the prototypes of the worst tyrants in Arab history. Thieves breed thieves. And tyrants breed tyrants. Hence with the death of a tyrant, tyranny doesn't end. Another tyrant takes his place. Hence the

Arab people couldn't get rid of the tyranny of the autocrats. Abdul Fatah al-Sisi of Egypt, Basher al-Assad of Syria, King Salman of Saudi Arabia, and recently Kais Saeid of Tunisia are the latest brand of Arab tyrants.

The only way out

What people eat and drink decide their physical health. What they read decides the moral health. If the shops run out of food and drinks, famine starts. And if the quantity and the quality of books, journals, newspapers, and media deteriorate, moral health worsens. Sadly, the Arab World runs a severe shortage of readable stuff like books, journals, and newspapers. Books, journals, and newspapers generate knowledge and make people thoughtful. Civility, morality, and civilizational process start from such a thought-generating process. Awfully, this is the most restricted sector in the Arab World. This way the tyrant Arab rulers restrict the intellectual growth of the Arab people. The government keeps an eye on every page of the published book, journal, or newspaper. In the early years of this current century, the UNDP published a report that exposed a horrendous scarcity in the intellectual treasure of the Arabs. The number of books that are published by a single country like Spain is not published even by 22 Arab states. It tells a lot to understand the intellectual ill-health of the Arab people. It amply explains why autocracy flourishes and democracy fails in Arab countries.

The Arab people have already tried Arab nationalism, tribalism, monarchism, secularism, socialism, and western liberalism. All these ideologies have led to failures. These have proved to be the pathology of the disease and not the solution. In the past, the people of the Arab World could build the best civilization in human history. In fact, that is the only golden part of Arab history. The past glory doesn't

owe to Arab nationalism, tribalism, monarchism, secularism, socialism, and western liberalism. It was only possible because of their adherence to Islam. But the Arab people have abandoned that tested path of success. Instead, they have taken the tested path of failure. Still, they persist to follow the same wrong track. Thus they engage in betrayal against Islam and Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. They read Qur'an only for the sake of recitation, but not for following its teaching in real life. In real life, they follow secularism, tribalism, Arab nationalism, monarchism, and hedonism. Instead of unity, they celebrate division and feuds. If they have an iota of faith in the Qur'an they would have run their judiciary according to the Qur'anic law called sharia. They would compete with each other to be more Islamist rather than celebrating the defeat of Islamists. By celebrating the defeat of Islamists they can only placate Satan and other enemies of Islam. This way they can only invite the wrath of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la.

The Israeli occupation of Palestine, the US-led occupation, and the destruction of Iraqi and Syrian cities to rabbles have never been the signs of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la's blessing. These are the parts of the promised punishment –as revealed many times in the Holy Qur'an. The Arab Muslims must understand the simple truth that as long as they stay away from Islam and adhere to evil ideologies like secularism, tribalism, Arab nationalism, monarchism, and hedonism, they will only continue to invite terrible failures and the Divine punishment. To understand this simple truth, it doesn't need to be a scholar, simple crude common sense is enough. But unfortunately, the Arabs are persistently failing to display such common sense. This owes to deep mental invasion by evil ideologies. Numerous clubs, pubs, casinos, and sea beaches of the Arab countries display symptoms of such mental invasion. Minds can't work normally with such deep invasion. The tyrant Arab rulers of nationalist, monarchist, Ba'athist, and secularist brands have promoted only such mental invasion.

The days of physical colonization by the enemies have ended but the process of mental invasion and colonization still continues. Now the enemies have started a new cold war against Islam and the Muslims globally. The war aims at re-enforcing the pre-existing mental colonization. The Muslim secularists, nationalists, socialists, and monarchists are the partners of the ongoing US-led war on Islam and Muslims. All these partners proved to be fascists and radical anti-Islamists. These internal enemies of Islam -recruited by the external enemies are helping the *kuffar* enemies for ideological, cultural, and political takeover of the Muslim countries. For the imperialist enemies, this is more cost-effective than physical occupation. Now it has become the multi-national imperialist expansion project of the west. The USA spent more than a trillion dollars in its 20-year old war in Afghanistan. After the humiliating defeat there, the USA is now focused to make occupation by coup d'état in collaboration with the internal enemies. Hence, the ouster of President Dr. Mohammad Morsi of Egypt and the dissolution of the Islamist-controlled ministry and parliament of Tunisia is not isolated events. These are the well-planned stipulated outcomes of the US-led coalition's insidious war. To encounter this ongoing war of the enemies, it needs a large number of intellectual fighters. Only this way the Muslims can mobilize enough diehard fighters on the street. Only this way, the Turkish Islamists made a brilliant history by foiling a coup d'état against President Erdogan's government.

Prophet Mohammad faced the enemy's cold war during his initial 13 years of prophethood in Mokka. His faith was mocked and abused. His identity, integrity, and honesty were constantly attacked. The enemies used lies and fabrication to assassinate his character and the mission. The Prophet (peace be upon him) had no other weapon except the Holy Qur'an to fight that enemy's cold war. The enemy's hot war started only after the migration to Medina. The Holy Qur'an proved its stern effectiveness in fighting the enemy's intellectual war. It

proved to be the only way to end the mental occupation of false beliefs and to strengthen Islamic spiritual roots. Even today, only strong fortification with the Qur'anic knowledge can protect Muslims from constant enemy onslaughts with evil ideologies. Only this way one can disconnect his soul from Satan and his ploys and connect with the agenda of Allah Sub'han wa Ta'la. This is indeed the most powerful legacy that Prophet (peace be upon him) left for his *ummah*. The Muslims possess no other option but to follow this legacy. In fact, this shows the only way out from the current quagmire. 31/07/2021.

The brutal Army occupation of Egypt

Dr Firoz Mahboob Kamal

The terror industry and the crimes

The Muslim World's worst tragedies owe not only to foreign occupations but also to internal occupations by the home-grown tyrants. Many of the horrendous massacres and atrocities in Muslim countries are committed by these internal enemies. Egypt and Syria are the two recent examples. On 2 February 2011, Husni Mubarak –three-decade old Army dictator of Egypt was removed by 14 days' street protest. But after a gap of only a year following the electoral victory of Egypt's first democratic President Dr Mohammad Morsi on 24 June in 2012, the Egyptian Army again took back the power on 3 July 2013. The country got again trapped under the brutal rule of the Army –led by General Abdel Fatah al-Sisi. The country is now highly polarised with a deep internal split. It is divided into two main camps: one belongs to the

Islamists and the other belongs to the secularists.

Like any other Muslim country in the world, the Egyptian Army is the bastion of the secularists and plays the role of defender of secularism. The secularists also have a profound love for these Army tyrants. Hence they celebrated the Army coup against Islamist President Dr. Morsi. Like most Muslim countries, Egypt, too, has strong foreign stakeholders. These are the USA, the EU and Israel. Because of its border with Israel, Egypt has special importance in the club of foreign stakeholders. They have a heavy investment in Egyptian politics. The USA alone invests 1.3 billion US dollars annually. All these stakeholders –along with the Army consider Islamists as the common enemy. The Army is more aligned with the external stakeholders. Hence, whenever the Islamists get closer to power, the Army –with the approval of the external stakeholders come out of the garrison to take control. Once it was a common phenomenon in Turkey. The same happened in Algeria in 1991 when the Army made a coup to foil an emerging landslide electoral victory of the Islamists. The USA and the EU are ever-ready to support such coups.

The polarization in Egyptian politics is not new. But the war-like mobilisation, the intensity of polarization, and the cruelty of killings are new. The army has manufactured a full-fledged war out of the divisive ingredients in politics. It has named its anti-Islamists campaign as a “war on terror”. Thus the Army hits two birds by one stone. Firstly, it could create enough ideological cum political ground to align with the USA-led war on Islam and to qualify for the USA’s strong diplomatic and economic support. Secondly, it could manufacture reasons to commit massacre against the Islamists. The Army has turned cities like Cairo, Alexandria, Port Said, Arish and the whole of Sinai Peninsula into war zones. Hence, tanks, artillery guns, helicopters, armoured vehicles, machine guns and thousands of war-ready troops get back in the streets. They could also make the police and the judiciary parts of the killing machine.

The army claims that they returned to power only to fight terrorism and promote democracy. But the emerging events in Egypt tell otherwise. Terrorism has never been a tool of the unarmed street

protestors. It has always been the weapon of the armed thugs and the Egyptian Army. The unarmed street protestors are the innocent victims. Since the fascist rule of Col. Gamal Abdul Nasser in the fifties, the Egyptian Army proved to be the country's most brutal terror industry. Now they fight to protect the same legacy. Using the state-run terror, the Army ruled Egypt for more than 70 years and never bothered to go for a fair election. A fair election could happen only in 2012 after the end of Husni Mubarak's autocratic rule. As a result, Dr Muhammad Morsi could emerge as the first elected president in whole Egyptian history. But such election victory made him the number one enemy of the Army.

The Army: the ruling clan of Egypt

Egypt's Army is much more than a professional army. It is a powerful ruling clan. Like monarchs and feudal aristocrats, the Egyptian Army developed its own powerful organisational bureaucratic culture through its 70 years of rule. In that cultural domain, a civilian receives little respect and recognition. They follow only their own Army chain of command. The Army was not ready to accept even Husni Mubarak's civilian son Jamal Mubarak as their future ruler. Because Jamal was out of that command chain. This is why the Army didn't give any fight for Husni Mubarak against the protestors. So, his downfall was surprisingly quick.

Dr Muhannad Morsi's civilian and Islamic background made him incompatible with that cultural milieu, too. So they desperately needed to remove him. In such a move, Dr Morsi -the unarmed President of Egypt become the first victim of the Army's so-called war against terror. The question is: What can be the more blatant act of terror than this ugly use of military terror against a civilian president? Autocrats support autocrats. They take democracy as the common enemy. Hence, like the Saudi monarch, all the autocrats of the Arab World were very quick to support General Abdel Fatah Sisi's coup against President Morsi. But the irony is: very few democratic leaders of the world condemned the coup against an elected President! What a shame

that President Obama of the USA called the coup Army's restoration of democracy!

In Egypt, only the Army has the necessary weapons to terrorise people. The whole of Egypt now stands under the occupation of this terrorist outfit called the Army. To terrorise the unarmed civilians, the Army has deployed its huge war machines on the streets of major Egyptian cities. Only on one day, on Wednesday, 14 August in 2012 and only in one square named Rabaa al Adabiya, the army killed more than two thousand unarmed men and women, and injured many more thousands. According to Muslim Brotherhood –President Morsi's party, the number of martyrs is 2600. Such a huge massacre in a single day never happened in the whole modern history of Egypt. Again on Friday, on 16 August, after two days of the Rabaa al Adabiya massacre, they killed more than two hundred protestors all over Egypt –one hundred only in Ramsees square in Cairo. On July 7, 2021, more than a hundred unarmed protestors were killed by the republican guards while they gathered in front of the republican guard head-quarter in Cairo. After all these horrendous killings of the unarmed protesters, the Army must answer the question: is it a war against terror or war against unarmed civilians? Can such massacres be part of the roadmap towards democracy, as was envisaged by General Abdul Fattah Sisi –the Army chief?

The Arab spring in 2011 brought some promising positive changes in the Arab world. This part of the world was known for army coups, autocratic fascist rulers and corrupt monarchs. In most Arab countries, basic human rights are conspicuously extinct. However, change started with the ouster of Ben Ali of Tunisia. After Tunisia, a revolution came to Egypt. On 25 January in 2011, sixty years of brutal military rule was ended by the ouster of Husni Mubarak by the street protestors. In Egypt, the Army rule started in 1952 with a coup by Col. Gamal Abdul Nasser and was followed by Anwer Saadat and then came Husni Mubarak. Such a long military rule helped the Egyptian Army to establish itself more as a powerful ruling clan than a professional army. It has a huge vested interest in Egypt's politics, society and business which they are reluctant to desert. The common people were

not given any access to the corridor of power. Neither were they given the basic human rights of free expression and free participation in politics. The USA and the European imperialist power were very happy to work with these repressive military rulers for the last six decades. Now, many Americans like John Bolton –the former security adviser to President Donald Trump run Democracy Project for Turkey. But no democracy project exists for Saudi Arabia, Egypt, UAE and other Arab countries run by the autocrats. Rather, these Arab tyrants are used as trustworthy partners in suppressing any democratic movement there. Democracy in the Arab World is taken as a threat to the national security interest of the west and the interest of Israel.

The investment of the USA in Egypt is huge. Since the signing of the Camp David Treaty in 1979, the USA invested 66 billion US dollars in military and non-military sectors. More than 500 Egyptian army officers receive training in the USA annually. General Abdul Fattah –the current ruler attended the US Army War College in Pennsylvania. Another high profile recipient of such training is the Air Force Chief Reda Mahmoud. The main purpose of such training is not to enhance their war skills, rather boost up their compatibility with the US policies of imperialistic hegemony through a deep paradigm shift in thoughts–especially through radical secularisation, deep de-Islamisation and indoctrination with the western world-views. Such indoctrination is giving enormous outcomes for protecting the imperialist interest of the West –not only in Egypt but also in other Muslim countries like Pakistan, Bangladesh, Saudi Arabia and others.

The cultural converts of the Muslim Armies look-alike to their western trainers and stand far alienated from the Islam-loving common people of their own country. Therefore what happened in Baghdad, Basra, Fallujah, Ramadi, Kabul or Kandahar by the US army, are also common occurrences in other Muslim cities by the so-called Muslim armies. They feel trigger happy to kill their own people –as now get commonly displayed in the streets of Cairo, Alexandria, Port Said and other Egyptian cities. Such a massacre of the Islamists also happened in Dhaka's Shapla Square in Motijheel in Bangladesh on 5 May in 2013 and in Islamabad's Lal Masjid in Pakistan in July 2007 during General

Musharraf's era.

The pet army and the imperialist strategy

The USA fought its longest war in Afghanistan. The defeat in this 20 years' war has shattered the US confidence. That has brought a major shift in US policy. The USA and her ally are now reluctant to deploy their own forces in a foreign land. They don't want to see more body bags of their own dead soldiers. Therefore they train and indoctrinate others to fight their wars in Muslim lands. To raise such mercenaries, the USA government donates 1.3 billion US dollars annually to the Egyptian army. Such money and training proved very effective to kill the moral and the cultural immunity of the Muslim trainees. As a result, they turn quickly genocidal. So, they don't hesitate to launch genocidal massacres against their own people. Since they are doing the job, the USA and her ally do not need to deploy their own troop to kill the anti-Imperialists in any Muslim city. The Egyptian army is a true example of that.

This is why the end of the Army rule in Egypt in January 2011 was so unpalatable to the USA government. The USA's trusted army generals were thrown out of the central stage of politics. It damaged their strategic goal. Since Dr Mohammad Morsi did not receive any Army training and indoctrination from the USA, they didn't have any trust in him. Because of the democratic empowerment of the people and democratic institutions like the elected Presidency, the parliament, the common mass emerged as the main stakeholders of the politics –not the army generals. It was difficult both for the USA and the Egyptian army to accommodate such a revolution. Therefore, it became the prime objective of the USA and its ally to bring back the Army to the helm of affairs again. The USA did the same in Iran in the mid-fifties. They installed the deposed Mohammad Reza Shah after removing the elected Prime Minister Sharif Musaddesq. The USA did exactly the same in Chilli in the seventies.

Hence, from day one of the overthrow of Hosni Mubarak, the Army and

their foreign patrons were waiting in ambush to reclaim the power and punish the people for taking part in the revolution. They drew a battle line between the secularists and the Islamists. They started with inciting the secularists against the Islamist President Morsi. Egypt's deep state, the Army, the former cronies of the deposed Hosni Mubarak, and the secular media aligned with the secularists. During Dr Morsi's short rule, the deep state created artificial gas, oil, electricity, and water crisis to make him unpopular. Huge money from Saudi Arabia, UAE and the USA flooded the political circles in Egypt to draw more people to the street. The final opportunity came on 3 July 2012 when the army Chief General Abdul Fattah Sisi overthrew Dr Muhammad Morsi.

After capturing the power, the Army turned its guns towards the people to take full revenge. Tanks, heavy artillery guns and other killing machines were rolled on the streets to massacre the unarmed anti-coup protesters –as seen in Rabaa al-Adabiya square of Cairo. General Sisi also dishonoured the popular election verdict by dissolving the elected parliament and throwing the approved constitution to the bin. The USA and its western ally proved again that they do not have any love for democracy in Muslim countries. They relish brutal autocracy there. So, the USA and its ally didn't condemn even the worst brutality of the Army in Egypt. Thus the Arab World has become the free land for autocrats -protected by the USA-led west. No space is left for democracy. Recently on 25 July 2021, Tunisia -the only surviving democracy in the Middle East also suffered almost the same fate as happened in Egypt in 2012. The Arab secularists and their western allies were not happy with the Islamist al-Nahda's dominance in the Tunisian parliament. The secularist President Kais Saied froze the parliament and took all power in his own hand. Thus, he appears as an unfettered dictator. So it becomes a pure coup. The Arab Spring thus gets assassinated in its birthplace. The anti-democratic axis of Saudi Arabia, Egypt, UAE, Bahrain, and Jordan now gets another big success to celebrate. The Middle East proved again to be the Death Valley for democracy. And the US President continues to display the old robust immorality. He fails to tell that coup is a coup, genocide is genocide, and autocracy is autocracy. President Obama showed such

immorality in case of the coup in Egypt by General Abdel Fatah al-Sisi and President Biden shows the same in the case of Tunisia.

The Return of Mubarak Era

The Egyptian Army could never win any war. In 1967, the Israeli Army could easily take over the whole of Sinai Peninsula. They could only win over the unarmed countrymen. The generals have no love for democracy and no love for democratic values and institutions. In a democracy, the legality of the rule comes only through an election, not by any military coup. In a democratic country, a member of the army does not enjoy any extra power or privilege than any other citizen. But the Egyptian army generals have proven that they are not ready to comply with such equalising norms and values. Rather they have chosen the path exactly opposite to that. They have killed not only the legality of an elected president but also denied the existence of the elected parliament. The rule of law doesn't work here. Hence the current President doesn't feel any need to get his appointment through a general election. Democracy also entails a constitutional rule. But the Army also made it non-functional. Hence no such constitution exists in Egypt now. Here, only the military might sets the law of governance. The Army is applying it with full force.

After the removal of Husni Mubarak, the democratic government of Egypt went very fast to establish democratic institutions and procedures to ensure civilian rule. Pakistan took 9 years to frame a constitution. But in Egypt, in a period of only one year, not only a civilian president was elected by a highly contested election, but also a constituent assembly was voted to frame a constitution -which did its job successfully within a few months. The newly made constitution was put to a referendum and the people ratified it by a huge majority. But such achievements did not impress the Army. Rather they were infuriated by the huge empowerment of the people and their Islamic adherence. They did not like the newly built civic institutions like the elected presidency and the parliament either. Hence they pushed

the wheel backwards.

Like a tyrant king, the Army Chief dismantled all the progress made in the last year by a single dictatorial announcement. Millions of votes of the people, the newly made constitution, the new constituted parliament and the newly elected President -all were thrown into the bin and the country started being ruled by day to day decrees given by an Army general. All the money, all the time, all the efforts and energy spent to hold so many elections, to run so many sessions and to write a constitution went totally in vain. This is a huge wastage. The country is taken back again to square one. The old autocratic Mubarak era returned back with all of its vices. Is it not enough to kill the confidence in democracy?

President Obama's moral depletion

The US policy towards the Army coup in Egypt fully exposed the moral depletion of President Barak Obama. The US approval of the coup tells that loudly. The Army take-over was a 100 per cent military coup. It removed an elected President. In any form of democracy, it is a grave crime. An elected government may have a crisis, but a military coup must not be the solution. It must be solved within the democratic norms. But President Obama supported a crime against democracy. His narratives on Egypt looked heavily biased and charged with pro-Army overtone -as if the US government wished and worked for such a coup. Like Gen. Abdul Fattah Sisi, President Obama, too, claimed that the military take-over was made to promote democracy. What could be more childish ridicule than this? Did any Army make any coup in any part of the world to promote democracy? How could he nurture such a wishful optimism about the military coup? It beggars belief that how can a man with such a poor understanding of history be a President of the USA?

President Obama told that the Egyptian Army has popular support. In a democracy, there are established norms to measure the popularity of a person or institution. That is through a free and fair elections. In a city of 15 million, huge money can make the huge rally. The brutal

tyrants of the Arab World were not sitting idle to foil democracy in Egypt –their common enemy. They knew, if democracy survives in Egypt, their own survival will be at risk. The USA's ruling clique was not ready to see the Mubarak-like fate of their comrades in the Arab World. They were all in a coalition to contain or roll back the Arab Spring as quickly as possible. Hence the city of Cairo was flooded with money by the USA, the UAE, Bahrain and Saudi Arabia to bring people to the streets to protest against an elected President.

In later years, through the fake elections with barred credible opposition candidates, General Sisi showed his disdain for democracy. He could corrupt the whole electoral process to get more than 95 per cent votes in the so-called presidential election. As if, he has done miracles for the people of Egypt. As if, more than 95 per cent of votes owe to those miracles. In comparison, the European and the US leader who won the World War for their countries couldn't get even 60 per cent votes. Even Winston Churchill –the war-time British Prime Minister lost the post-war election.

The democracy that has been promoted by the Army in Egypt is pure and brutal autocracy. But President Obama put his full faith in the Army that it will promote democracy. What a poor understanding! The Army has its own history and culture. Even an average knows that. The army makes coups only to dismantle democratic institutions and to promote its own hegemony on the state. It only imposes Army's internal occupation on the people and the state institutions. Even a man with little common sense could foresee such a doomed fate of democracy under the Army rule. But President Obama and his western colleagues couldn't realise that. It owes to the fact that the agenda of imperialist hegemony and deep love for the Arab tyrants obscured their insight.

After the coup, the US envoy and the EU delegate made visits to Cairo. But they didn't put any pressure on the Army to avoid eminent blood baths. Rather they tried hard to generate support for the coup. They even tried to persuade the Muslim Brotherhood leaders to accept the coup as a way forward for democracy and to support the Army's road map. How a party with democratic values and people's mandate can

accept such a coup as a way forward for democracy and take part in an Army-engineered road map? The Army holds elections only to make way for its own people to get elected and to ensure a sure defeat for its political opponents. Rigging election is the finest political art of the autocrat. Hence, Husni Mubarak had no difficulty getting more than 95% votes in each election, and could easily extend his rule for 3 decades. It is sure that General Abdul Fattah Sisi will follow Mubarak's legacy and will face no difficulty to win any number of elections in the future. He had already shown such skills in rigging two presidential elections in the past. Like Hosni Mubarak and Bashar al-Asad, General Sisi, too, faced no difficulty to get more than 95 per cent of votes in those two elections. Thus the Arab countries proved to be free land for the tyrants. They can manufacture any election result to feed their political craze.

General Sisi also manufactured the needed excuse to make Muslim Brotherhood –his number one political enemy constitutionally illegal. He even labelled Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organisation. Hence his war on terror was tantamount to a war on Muslim Brotherhood. Fake trials are being arranged to hang hundreds of Islamists. Egypt stands third in the execution of political opponents -after China and Iran. In the whole world, the war on Islam is nowhere so much intense as it is in Egypt. Hence, of all Muslim countries, Egypt receives the highest amount of aid and political support from the USA – the lead country in the war on Islam. The US money is thus being spent on eliminating the Islamists.

The agenda of political hegemony overrides morality

If Barak Obama had any interest in the democratic process in Egypt, he would have at least labelled the Army coup as a coup and asked for the restoration of the legally elected President and the constitution. This way he could frustrate the usurper of the democratic rights of the people by the Army and discourage further coups. But the US

government is not taking that route. The imperialist agenda has overridden the democratic agenda. The dismissal of the elected President and the annulment of the constitution by the Army have no legality –neither in Egyptian law, nor in international law. The constitution is the property of the people. Only the people possess the right to make any change in it or discard it. They execute their rights through ballots. The people have not delegated such right to an Army General. Likewise, the President can only be removed by a general election. These are not only the norms in the USA but also in Egypt. In the USA, breaking such norms is a grave criminal offence. The USA constitution prohibits its government from sending any aids to such criminals operating in other countries. But President Obama has taken a different posture. For the sake of protecting such criminals from prosecution and to continue the US aid, he is not even ready to call the criminal a criminal. Nor is he ready to label the criminal act of coup as a coup. Here lies the serious moral deficiency of President Obama. With such sick morality, President Obama can go to any extent with the criminal coup makers. But how can he ask other sane people to support such an insane act?

President Obama didn't consider the restoration of democratic rights of the common Egyptians helpful for his country's national security interest. Protecting such interests is taken as a sacred item in US politics. But it is ignored to save the lives of millions of innocent people in another country. The issue of basic human rights also gets ignored. For securing so-called national interests, the US government never hesitated to execute even the worst barbaric option in the past. Other people's life and right never matter to them. In the past, they did not bother even to drop nuclear atom bombs on the Japanese cities. Neither did they bother to invade countries like Vietnam, Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, and others and destroy hundreds of cities and kill millions. Nor do they dislike working with autocratic devils like Saddam, Pinochet or Shah of Iran.

President Obama and his western colleagues must have congratulated the Egyptian Army for doing the same brutalities as the US-led NATO Army did in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. For the USA, such a military coup

is not a vice, rather the desired necessity. Hence it is will be naïve to believe that the Army made the coup without President Obama's prior approval. How the Army leader can make such a serious decision of a coup without the consent of their US paymaster -who made a payment of \$66 billion since 1979. The Americans are not so foolish to keep any disobedient and disconnected officer in their payroll.

The Grand Alliance

The coup leaders in Egypt are the long-time trusted partners of the USA. The American national interest lies in protecting not only the American interest but also the interest of Israel. It also entails protecting the oil-rich monarchs in Saudi Arabia, UAE, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, Oman and others from the emerging Islamists. It implies preventing any Islamic resurgence in the Muslim World. This is why the "war on Islam" becomes so important in the USA-led western agenda. It is also a war on democracy, too. They know very well that democracy in Muslim countries means the victory of Islamists. So closing the door of democracy in the Muslim World is a prime agenda in the USA-led western foreign policy,

After the ouster of Tunisia's Ben Ali, Egypt's Hosni Mubarak, Libya's Gaddafi and Yemen's Ali Abdullah Saleh, these monarchs of the Gulf countries were trembling for their own turn. The USA was worried not only about the vulnerability of these monarchs but also about their secure oil supply. So it was important for the USA and for her ally to stop the tide of the people's up-rise without any further delay. Hence they planned and heavily invested in an Army coup in Egypt. This is why the coup in Egypt is not the Army's own making, rather the joint venture of the USA-led grand alliance. And it became clearer after the coup. Instead of condemning the coup, the US government started giving economic and military aid. Along with US aid, a huge amount of money also started flowing from the Arab monarchs. Saudi Arabia alone gave \$5 billion to the coup leaders; many more billions came from Kuwait and UAE.

Imposed warpath

The Army and its secular comrades not only deposed the elected President and his democratic government but also imposed a very brutal war on the Islamists. In Egyptian history, such crimes of the army and the secularists will never be forgotten and forgiven. The Muslim Brotherhood entered into democratic politics and won the presidency and the majority parliamentary seats by peaceful elections. They even compromised on some sharia issues to make them acceptable to their Democratic colleagues. But the Army and its secular partners do not want them to take that democratic route. In fact, they have dragged them out of the newly-set democratic train. Now the Army and its secular allies are labelling them as Islamic fascists and terrorists. They are using the same allegations against the Islamists as were used by the Americans against Al Qaida affiliates after the nine-eleven and during the invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq. So the implied message to the Muslim Brotherhood and other Islamists is clear: "You –the Islamists, have no place in democracy –even if you get majority votes in elections. It is the sole domain of pro-western secularists and liberalists." So, the question arises, is it an argument for political reconciliation cum peaceful co-existence? Such a notion can only provoke to political elimination of the Islamists. And the Army and its ally have already taken that route. So the agenda is clear, they are decided to take a warpath. They want to make another Afghanistan in the Middle East.

To justify their coup, the Army and its secular affiliates are spreading a lot of lies against the Islamists. They are telling that Muslim Brotherhood members are terrorists and they had weapons while had a sit-in in Rabaa Al Adweya and Al-Nahda squares. But there is no proof of such allegation. Many foreign journalists and human rights activists were there. None could find any trace of such arms among the Islamists. Moreover, how could they label Dr Muhammad Morsi and his supports as fascists and terrorists? While Dr Muhammad Morsi was the President, no massacre took place in any part of Egypt. No gun, no tank, no artillery and no helicopter gunship were deployed to

terrorise and kill people in any city. On the contrary, Dr Morsi's opponents caused havoc on Cairo's streets. They killed Muslim Brotherhood's many workers and destroyed many offices including the party's headquarter. The ruling army and its secular bedfellows made it clear that they are not ready to give any pace for the Islamists in Egypt's politics. Rather, they are desperate to break their backbone –as was tried by Gamal Abdun Nasser and Husni Mubarak in the past. Now the Islamists have their back on the wall. They have only two options: either to surrender or fight back. But the concept of surrender does not exist in Islamists' vocabulary. So, resistance is not only eminent, rather it has already started. The tyrants can kill people, but can't kill an ideology.

So far, the Islamists' resistance has been peaceful and non-violent. But the army does not want such non-violent protest to survive in the Egyptian cities. They are labelling such protests as Egypt's security threat. They want to remove such threats by using indiscriminate firearms and thus killing thousands –as they did in Cairo's Rabaa al Adabiya, An Nahda, and Ramsees squares. This way, they want to bring an end to the non-violent street protests. The Islamists are now realising that Gandhian non-violence is not going to work against helicopter gunships and tanks of the bloodthirsty generals. Many of them must be thinking about Islam's own and only option –that is Jihad. So far, Muslim Brotherhood has worked as a huge firewall against such jihadi options. But now such a firewall is being dismantled by the Army's tanks, artillery fires and helicopter gunships. 1st edition 18/08/2013; 2nd edition 28/07/2021.

□□□□□ □□□□□ □□

□□□□□ □□□□□ □□□□□

□. □□□□□□□ □□□□□□□□ □□

0. 0000000 0000000000

00000000 0000 000000, 0000000, 0000000-0000000 0 0000000000000 000000 0000
000000000000000000000000 0000 000 0000000000 00 000000 000000 000000 00 0000 00 0000000
-00 000000 0000 000 000000-0000000000 000 0000 0000000000000000 00000000 0
000000 0000000000 000000000000 000 000 000000 00000 000 0000000 0000000000 0000000 0
0 000000 00000 00000 00000 0000 000 000000000000 00 0000000 -000000 00000 0000000000
000000 00000000 0000000000 0 000000000

0 00000000 0000000 00000000 00000000 000000 0000 000000000 0000000 00000000
0000000 0 0000-0000 0000 000000000000 000 00, 000 00000000 000000 000 00000000
0000000 0 00000000000 000 00000 0000 00, 000000 00 00 0000000000000000 000
000000000000 0000 000000 000000 00000000000000 000000 00000000 000 000000,
0000000 0 00000000000 000000 00000 000000 00000000 000 0000000 00000 0000
00 00 000000 00000000 00000 000 0 0000000000 000 000000 0000 0000000000 000 0
0000000000 000 0000 00000000000000 000000 0000 0000000 0000000 0000000 0000,
000000 0000000000 00 0000000000 000000 0000000000 0000000000 0000 000,'000 000000,
00000 000000 0 000000000000000000 0000 00000000 0 000000 000000 000000-0000000000,
000000-00000, 0000000 0 000000000000000000 0000000 00000000000 00 0000000 0000000
00000 000000 000

0. 000000000 0000 0

000000 00000000

0000 0000000 0000-0000000000000000, 00000000000, 00000000000, 000000 0 00000000
0000 -0000 0000000000 0000 00000000 000 000 0000 00000000000 0000, 0000
0000 00-0000 00000000, 0000-000000, 00000000000, 0000 0 000000000000 00 000
00, 0000000000 0 0000000000 000000 0000 00000 000000 -000000 0 0000000000
000000000000 0000000000 000 000000000 0000000 0000 000000 0 000 00000 0000000
0000000000 000000 00000 00000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000

0000 000000000000000000 0000000 0 0000000 0000000 000000 000 00, 00000 0000000
0000-0000000000000000, 00000000000, 00000000000 0 00000000 000 0000000 000 00
00000 00000 000 00 0000000 00000 0000 00 0000000000 00000 00000 000000000000
000000000 00000000 000000000 000 00 00000, 00000000 00000 00000 000000 000
0000 0000 000000 000000000 00000 0000000 0000? 0000000 00000 00000, 0000000000 0000
00000 0000000000 00 000000000000000000 0000000 00000 0000000 00000, 000000000000000

0000 00000 0 00000 00000 00000000 00000000 00000 00000 0000 00000000
000000000 0000 0000000 000000 000 00000000000 000 000000 00000000 00000000 00000
000 0000000 000000 00000 00000 00000000 00 000000 00/00/0000

The Rohingya Muslims: the largest stateless people on the earth

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

Shame on the UNO and the World Powers

It is a huge shame on the UNO and the big players of world politics that they failed badly to solve the “textbook case” of recent ethnic cleansing. As a result, the criminals who committed such crimes stand unpunished and continue to do the crime. Robbery on wealth, arson on homes, and evictions from homestead and businesses are all awful punishable crimes. But it is more awful to lose nationality. Nationality gives a man or a woman the identity. It is called citizenship. For living, moving, and accessing any legal right in a state, citizenship is crucial. It is so important that the physical existence of a person doesn't get counted if there exists no citizenship.

It is a universal norm since the prehistoric date of human civilization that every human is born somewhere on the planet with the guaranteed citizenship right. A man or woman doesn't need to fight for that. But such an old civilized practice doesn't work in Myanmar. More than a million Rohingya Muslims are deprived of their citizenship right -although they have lived there for centuries. They are stripped

of the right by a military dictator in 1982. As a result, they are the largest stateless people on the earth. And the consequence is awful; the whole planet looks alien to them. Now they stand deprived of both human rights as well as citizenship right. It is appalling that such a horrendous crime could happen in the modern world! Although it is against all universal norms, values, and civility, the world community didn't do anything to undo such a crime.

Deprivation of citizenship worked as a prelude to ethnic cleansing. Since the Rohingya people were declared non-citizens in 1982, instantly labeled unlawful to stay in Myanmar. In fact, they made laws to legalize eviction. On such a manufactured pretext, the ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims is going on for more than three decades. Only at the finishing stage, the issue has become a global issue because of its catastrophic proportion. Now the Myanmar government -as well as other complicit patrons of the crime, wants to quickly scale it down. The best way of doing that is to make it a bilateral issue only with Bangladesh. On the Kashmir issue, former Prime Minister Indira Gandhi of India could seduce Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto- the former Prime Minister of Pakistan to fall into the same trap. Hence on the liberation of Kashmir, Pakistan cries alone in the UNO and on other global stages. The Kashmiri people are not given the peaceful democratic choice to decide their fate. They are left with no option but to take the path of armed struggle. Now it seems Bangladesh is being enticed to fall into the same trap of so-called bilateralism.

The Myanmar Government is so insensitive to the humanitarian element of the Rohingya crisis that even the UN Secretary-General failed to convince the Myanmar government to allow some neutral observers to oversee what is going on in Rakhine state. Even the International Red Cross boss couldn't convince these rogues to allow some relief goods and medicines for the displaced and sick Rohingya people. They have proven to be stubborn liars and killers. Even Aung San Suu Kyi -the former de-facto leader, didn't prove better either. Even she denied any ethnic cleansing or killing of the Rohingya Muslims in Myanmar. As if, about a million Rohingya people descended from the heaven on the soil of Bangladesh! How can one negotiate with such killers, deniers,

and liars?

Since genocidal cleansing of a population is a gross violation of universal human rights, it is always a global issue. The Bangladesh government can't solve the human rights issue inside another country like Myanmar. It has already signed many bilateral agreements with Myanmar, for example, one in 1992. So far, none has worked. As a result, more than 400,000 Rohingya Muslims -who came in previous years, never could return back. They are living in refugee camps in Bangladesh for decades. Hence, one can easily guess that any future bilateral agreement with Myanmar will also fail to convince Myanmar to take back the recent arrivals. Moreover, the Myanmar government is in a state of total denial of the crime of ethnic cleansing and genocidal cleansing that has been committed to the Rohingyas. So they will surely put resistance to bear the burden of the alleged crime. In fact, they have made such a position clear by embedding the Myanmar-Bangladesh border with thousands of mines. It is done only to obstruct the return of the refugees.

The ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims must be put on the global stage. Since the genocidal regime of Myanmar is not the creation of Bangladesh, therefore Bangladesh government can't take the burden of solving the problem on her own. It is a burden on all fellow humans. Moreover, the Bangladesh government must deploy its all wisdom and political acumen to turn Rohingya Muslims' case into a pan-Islamic as well as a pan-humanitarian rallying cry. Only this way they could fight China, Russia, and India – the main complicit patrons of Myanmar. No one can deny the political, economic, and strategic importance of 1.5 billion Muslims of the world. China needs to run its so-called economic belt through Muslim countries like Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Iran, Turkey, and other Muslim countries. India's largest foreign market is in Bangladesh and its trucks and trains need to run along routes amidst its Muslim villages and townships. Indian police or Army can't protect her business in Bangladesh. And Russia has its own huge Muslim population. The largest anti-Myanmar protest rally was not held in Dhaka, Karachi, or Jakarta, but in Grozny –the capital of Chechnya. Even the USA can't run its

empire taking a side against the Muslims. So it will be suicidal for their own economic and political interest if these friends of the genocidal regime of Myanmar want to continue their support. All Muslim countries have a crucial role to play in solving this huge humanitarian catastrophe.

Mere repatriation of the Rohingya people back to Myanmar is not the best option. Is it conceivable or viable with the current policy of the Myanmar Government which is bent upon ethnic cleansing? Currently, under the pressure of the international community, the Myanmar government has signed a memorandum to repatriate the refugees. But the Myanmar government has proven to be a persistent backtracker from such promises in the past. They want to continue only with the policy of ethnic cleansing. It is now clear that they may accept some pauses in the cleansing, but are not ready to fully abandon it. Now, if the Rohingyas are pushed back to Myanmar, they will be kept only in concentration camps. Their lands and homesteads will remain seized only for building more army barracks or industrial zones –as have been done in cases of previous evictions. Hundreds of thousands of such victims of the past eviction episodes still live in such unhygienic shanty concentration camps. These are the perfect tools to keep the Rohingya Muslims captivated, and de-empowered without giving them the legal rights of citizenship and other civil liberties. This way, they force people to slowly die unattended by any doctor or silently disappear from Myanmar through its porous border. Such a coercive process of decimation is already in place for more than 40 years; and was exacerbated in 1982 when their citizenship was taken away and was made stateless.

The UN policy of palliation

The UN could display sympathy only in building some shanty tents and supplying some relief goods or medicines for these victims. Such aids are giving some palliation only to die in tents. But the root causes of the problem still thrives. Hence, providing tents and relief goods is not going to solve the problems. Even, the relief goods would stop

flowing if the TV camera is taken off from the horrific scenes of cleansing. The Myanmar Government is waiting for such days. Those who imposed some soft embargo on the ruling military despots for human rights violations in the past have already been lifted after the national election in 2015. Now, the countries like China, Russia, and even Pakistan are selling weapons to these killers. Is it not the part of terrorism to support these perpetrators of state terrorism by delivering arms? Now the question arises if the UNO and other international players fail to solve the problem peacefully, what option will remain open for these Rohingya people? Is it to die a slow death in a tent? In such a desperate situation, an arms struggle would be the only legitimate option. To get back the robbed basic survival rights, they will need to fight a long battle. How long Rohingya people should keep waiting in Bangladesh while no one has shown hope that their own birthplace, lands, and assets in Myanmar will be returned back to them.

It is the core responsibility of the UNO and other international actors to fix the problem as soon as possible. If they fail to solve the problem peacefully, they must help the Rohingya Muslims to fight for their legitimate right. The ultra-nationalist Burmese racists of Myanmar understand only the language of war. Hence they have taken that route. Surrender to the perpetrators of genocide is never a civilized option. The Rohingya Muslims must not be forced to live in concentration camps. In the name of the settlement, the refugees must not be handed over to the killers who are determined only to kill, rape, and blaze everything that belongs to Muslims. Such pushback would be tantamount only to put them back into a cage full of hungry wolves. Such a step was taken in 1978 but didn't work. It only increased the number of deaths, rapes, and torture cells for the Rohingyas. Any amount of appeasement of such killers would only mean complicity in the crime. The world leaders who fail to bring any peace for the Rohingyas don't possess any right to lecture them on peaceful tolerance of such appalling brutality either. The legitimate battle of the victims for their own survival can't be labeled as terrorism either. Terrorism –as per any standard lexicon, is the use of force or threat to frighten any people to get political, economic or other

gains at the expense of others. It can be practiced both by the state and non-state actors. And in Arakan, it is being exclusively deployed by the Myanmar Army, the security forces, and other state outfits to ethnically cleanse the Rohingya Muslims from their birthplace in Arakan (currently named Rakhine state).

War of narratives

All forces of coercion, occupation, and imperialism tell their own narratives to suit their political agenda. In their vocabulary, even occupying countries, bombing cities to rubbles, and killing men, women and children are labeled as promotion of liberal values and democracy –as is the case of the US and its partners in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. India in Kashmir, China in Xin Xiang, and Russia in Syria are doing the same. Whereas standing against such pure evil is called terrorism. The genocidal regime of Myanmar also uses such a narrative to pursue its evil agenda. They could even invent justification to snatch citizenship from the Rohingya Muslims on the ground that they belong to a different faith, ethnicity, and language. Therefore, like Adolf Hitler, they could forge fabricated ground for total ethnic cleansing of these marginalized minorities.

But the question arises, whether the Muslims should accept such fabricated political narratives? Such narratives are indeed the expression of some specific political faith and ideologies. This is why the people of different faith differ not only in their beliefs and cultures but also in narratives. As per narratives of the nationalists, racists, and ethnic cleansers, any attempt of standing against ethnic cleansing is called terrorism. On the other hand, Islam has its own narrative, vocabularies, and criteria to judge right and wrong. Islam also prescribes the right path of action.

Another staff for cold storage

As long as the TV camera is focused on the Rohingya refugees, the

international community will feel some moral responsibility. As soon as the camera is taken off, the situation will change. The international bodies will forget the responsibility to put pressure on the Myanmar government to take back the refugees. The same happened in the case of Palestine and Kashmir. The issue of plebiscite in Kashmir, and the return of Palestinian refugees to their houses now stay in cold storage. In the same way, the return of the Rohingya Muslims to their own homes is turning to be another cold storage staff. Instead of solving the problems, the secularist elements in Muslim countries have a mastery of sweeping the key issues under the carpet -as PLO did with the Palestinian cause. The secularist forces in Bangladesh is ready to take that route to deal with the Rohingya issue.

It is very unfortunate that so far the world powers have failed to devise any fair and acceptable solution to the problems. They have their own vocabulary vis-à-vis such crisis. Even occupying other countries, bombing cities to rubble, and killing men, women and children are publicized as legal. That happened in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. Whereas standing against such pure crimes is called terrorism. Such narratives help only the ruling perpetrators. The brutal regime of Myanmar fully abused such a permissive political milieu of the world, hence got emboldened to cause a total genocidal cleansing of the Rohingyas. But the question arises whether the Rohingya Muslims will accept such narratives of the criminals?

Economics overrides morality and humanity

World politics suffers from a serious moral collapse. The Rohingya Muslims are indeed the victims of such a collapse. While economic greed works as the only guiding compass, humans become pure economic animals. Then, the survival of the fittest and the destruction of the weak become the norm in politics and warfare. In such an inhuman milieu, higher values like morality, humanity, empathy, and honesty go to the bin. Exactly that happened in the case of Rohingya Muslims. Because of economic interest, the brutal ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims couldn't draw any sympathy from Russia, China, India,

and Japan.

In 2004, a huge deposit of oil and gas was discovered in Arakan's (currently known as Rakhine state) coastal bed. Hence, the greedy eyes of the economic predators became glued to those new resources. The strategic location of Arakan is also very important. It can open doors to the land-locked southern part of China and the eastern provinces of India. China alone takes oil of 1.5 billion dollars per year from this oil field through the Arakan-China pipeline. India is building a deep seaport to connect Mizoram and other 6 eastern Indian provinces. Hence, they are not interested to see human or moral issues. Such moral ills of the economic animals is not unknown to the Burmese ethnic cleansers; hence they could go any length to execute their crimes.

Because of the same economic reason, even Saudi Arabia stays deaf and dumb. Saudi Arabia is competing with Russia to find its oil market in China. According to London's Independent newspaper (21/09/2017), Saudi Arabia runs a 479-mile long Burma-China pipeline across this Arakan state to Yunan province of China. It is a joint venture of a Saudi company called Aramco and a Chinese company called Petro-China. It pumps 200, 000 barrels of oil daily from the Bay of Bengal for China. It cuts the voyage time by 7 days, avoiding the Strait of Malacca. Therefore, Saudi Arabia does not want to annoy the Myanmar government by condemning its crime. Thus the economic predators continue to appease their greed in collaboration with the killers of the Myanmar government. But the Rohingya Muslims continue to suffer grave consequences. It is indeed the worst disgrace for the whole of mankind that the poor Rohingya Muslims are forced to bear the burden of the immorality of the inglorious global powers. 1st edition 27/10/2017; 2nd edition 24/07/2021.

אם יש לך שאלות או דעות, אנא פנה אלינו באמצעות הטלפון או דואר אלקטרוני. אנחנו נשמח לסייע לך ולתת לך את המידע הנדרש.

אנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לטיפול רפואי באיכות גבוהה, ללא הבדלים בגזע, דת, מוצא, נכות או כל סוג של אפליה. אנחנו מודעים לכך שישנם אנשים שאינם מודעים לזכויותיהם או אינם יודעים כיצד להשתמש בהן. אנחנו מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להבין את הזכויות שלך ואת האפשרויות שלך. אנחנו גם מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להגן על זכויותיך. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לטיפול רפואי באיכות גבוהה, ללא הבדלים בגזע, דת, מוצא, נכות או כל סוג של אפליה. אנחנו מודעים לכך שישנם אנשים שאינם מודעים לזכויותיהם או אינם יודעים כיצד להשתמש בהן. אנחנו מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להבין את הזכויות שלך ואת האפשרויות שלך. אנחנו גם מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להגן על זכויותיך.

א. האם יש לי זכויות?

כן, יש לך זכויות. כל אדם זכאי לטיפול רפואי באיכות גבוהה, ללא הבדלים בגזע, דת, מוצא, נכות או כל סוג של אפליה. אנחנו מודעים לכך שישנם אנשים שאינם מודעים לזכויותיהם או אינם יודעים כיצד להשתמש בהן. אנחנו מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להבין את הזכויות שלך ואת האפשרויות שלך. אנחנו גם מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להגן על זכויותיך.

אנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לטיפול רפואי באיכות גבוהה, ללא הבדלים בגזע, דת, מוצא, נכות או כל סוג של אפליה. אנחנו מודעים לכך שישנם אנשים שאינם מודעים לזכויותיהם או אינם יודעים כיצד להשתמש בהן. אנחנו מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להבין את הזכויות שלך ואת האפשרויות שלך. אנחנו גם מציעים לך את המידע הנדרש כדי שתוכל להגן על זכויותיך.

0. אנונימיות ופרטיות?

אנונימיות ופרטיות - שני מושגים שונים. פרטיות היא היכולת של אדם לשלוט על מידע עליו, בעוד שאנונימיות היא היכולת של אדם להסתתר מאחורי מסכה. שני המושגים חשובים, אך הם לא אותו הדבר. פרטיות היא זכות, בעוד שאנונימיות היא תוצאה של אמצעים טכנולוגיים. האם אנחנו צריכים אנונימיות? האם אנחנו צריכים פרטיות? האם אנחנו צריכים את שניהם?

אנונימיות ופרטיות הם שני מושגים שונים. פרטיות היא היכולת של אדם לשלוט על מידע עליו, בעוד שאנונימיות היא היכולת של אדם להסתתר מאחורי מסכה. שני המושגים חשובים, אך הם לא אותו הדבר. פרטיות היא זכות, בעוד שאנונימיות היא תוצאה של אמצעים טכנולוגיים. האם אנחנו צריכים אנונימיות? האם אנחנו צריכים פרטיות? האם אנחנו צריכים את שניהם?

אנונימיות ופרטיות הם שני מושגים שונים. פרטיות היא היכולת של אדם לשלוט על מידע עליו, בעוד שאנונימיות היא היכולת של אדם להסתתר מאחורי מסכה. שני המושגים חשובים, אך הם לא אותו הדבר. פרטיות היא זכות, בעוד שאנונימיות היא תוצאה של אמצעים טכנולוגיים. האם אנחנו צריכים אנונימיות? האם אנחנו צריכים פרטיות? האם אנחנו צריכים את שניהם?

0. אנונימיות ופרטיות

אנונימיות ופרטיות הם שני מושגים שונים. פרטיות היא היכולת של אדם לשלוט על מידע עליו, בעוד שאנונימיות היא היכולת של אדם להסתתר מאחורי מסכה. שני המושגים חשובים, אך הם לא אותו הדבר. פרטיות היא זכות, בעוד שאנונימיות היא תוצאה של אמצעים טכנולוגיים. האם אנחנו צריכים אנונימיות? האם אנחנו צריכים פרטיות? האם אנחנו צריכים את שניהם?

.....
.....
.....
.....

The mental invasion and the current civilizational crisis of the Muslims

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

The civilizational issues

How the people live, how they educate their men and women, how they run states and societies, how they frame laws and run judiciary, how they run social and economic policies, how they build homes, villages, and cities, and how they promote their vision, mission and identities are indeed called civilization. Civilization is an inclusive package that gives a collective identity to a people. It is a marker of the progress and maturity of human beings. All civilizations are not the same and equal. Because the composite ingredients that build civilization are not the same and equal. There exist huge qualitative differences among civilizations. Those who worship one Almighty Allah and receive a roadmap from Him build civilization altogether different from those who worship cows, snakes, idols, or are secularised.

The quality of core philosophies, moral qualities of people, quality of education, quality of culture, quality of homes and environment, quality of laws and values, and the status of human rights are the key factors to decide the quality of civilization. A civilization can be

impregnated with the practice of nationalism, racism, supremacism, ethnic cleansing, slavery, slave-trading, fascism, imperialism, war of occupation, world wars, and dropping nuclear bombs –as is the case of western civilization. The civilization that was built by the idol-worshipping Hindus in India has its own distinctive features, too. Not only it legitimized the division of people into different castes but also sanctioned the burning of living widows to death with the dead husbands. The Hindu widows were saved by an edict by the British colonial rulers. But the caste system that made about two hundred Indians untouchables and blocked social mobility still survives. Whereas the Islamic civilization also showed its own distinctiveness. These are the trans-ethnic fraternity and brotherhood, the emancipation of slaves, unrestricted social mobility, the rights of the women, survival rights as well as religious rights for all, the rule of Divine laws, and the holy war for enjoining the rights and eradicating the wrongs.

The birth of civilization and the Islamic perspectives

How a civilization comes to its existence is a debatable issue. The British Professor Arnold Toynbee postulates in his famous book “A Study of History” that civilization is the outcome of challenges and responses. Challenges work as a stimulus to make civilization. But the question arises that challenge is not specific to a certain group of people; it is universal. Almost every people faced challenges, but everyone didn’t build civilization. Most people lived with some tribal practices and then disappeared without leaving any trace of civilization. It is also confusing when Arnold Toynbee argues that mankind’s goal is “written largely in the constitution of human nature”. If it is true then every man must be a civilization builder. But that didn’t happen either. Except for a few, most people didn’t build any civilization. Many humans in Nicobar Island still live like animals in the jungle. Arnold Toynbee also confuses when he makes a dichotomy of humans into “pre-human ancestors” and civilization-making human beings like ourselves. He put great men of human history like Prophet Adam (peace be upon him) –the first man and Prophet Noah

(peace be upon him) in the category of “pre-human ancestors”. As if they were not proper humans! Can a believer in one God –whether a Muslim, a Christian, or a Jew accept such a demeaning view?

Although all humans live on the same planet, their intellectual, ideological, cultural, and political worlds are not the same. They differ in the vision, mission, and objective of survival. They differ in the philosophy of life. Hence they build different societies, cultures, states, and civilizations. The qualitative differences of civilizations don't owe to skin colors, lands, languages, race, or tribes, but to the embedded beliefs, philosophies, and visions of life. The most common marker of the secularists is their profound inability to see any role of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la in this world. So, they keep Almighty Creator outside the domain of humans' worldly affairs like culture, education, laws, politics, wars, and social policies. Thus they deny Almighty Allah any role in the creation of civilization. And they define it as secularism.

Whereas Islam presents an altogether different world view. It is the core belief that everything depends on the wish of Allah Sub'hana wa Tala. He is the most decisive and the only invincible Power who can give victory even to the most powerless and destroy the most powerful in seconds. Guiding humans is the His sole responsibility (*inna alainal huda*). Every human has been given insight vis-à-vis the right and the wrong (*fal'hama'ha fujura'ha wa taqwa'ha*). Whoever He guides get the right path. And whoever disobeys His guidance goes down the road towards deviation and hellfire. And it is the methodology of Allah Sub'hana wa Tala that He guides people through His prophets, revelations, and holy Books.

Allah Sub'hana wa Tala plays a pivotal role in building a civilization that provides a conducive environment for a Muslim to practice full submission to Him. This is why the All-Wise Almighty Allah prescribes not only religious rituals, but also gives guidance to build society, state, culture, education, values, laws, and judiciary, and thereby a full-fledged civilization. In building such a civilization, the Holy Qur'an works as the book of guidance. It is the only uncorrupted Divine Book on the earth. The Holy Qur'an proved its potential to make

the most revolutionary changes in people's beliefs, behavior, character, culture, politics, and lifestyles. Because of Islam, Muslims could build the best civilization on the earth the whole human history. As a result, Islam could pull billions of people out of the path of hellfire. The moral, cultural and behavioral quality of Muslims proved much superior to that of any other people. Because of moral revolution, being a ruler of a state –larger than the whole continent of Europe, Caliph Omar (RA) -while making a journey from Medina to Jerusalem could walk with the rope of the camel in his hand while the servant was sitting on its back. The Caliph Omar used to carry food at midnight to poor families. And Caliph Omar (RA) was not alone with such high mortality, thousands excelled with the same moral standard. In the whole history, no other civilization could bring such a moral revolution.

Such distinctiveness of the Islamic civilization owes to Muslims' philosophy of life. Every moment of life is viewed as a Divine test that decides the fate in the indefinite life in the hereafter. There are two ways to add to the treasure in the hereafter: by worshiping Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la (*haqqullah*) and by serving mankind (*haqqul ibad*). Both are crucial. There are prescriptive ways to worship Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. One can do it through learning Qur'an, five-time prayer, month-long fasting, zakat, and hajj. There also exists guidance to serve mankind. Giving money, building houses, delivering medical care are good ways to serve people. But the best way to serve mankind is to establish an Islamic state, Islamic societies, Qur'anic education, and enjoining the right and eradicating the wrong. Only this way one can save people from eternal life in hellfire. This is indeed a route towards making an Islamic civilization. Hence, every true Muslim is a civilization builder. In one way or other he or she invests his intellectual, physical, and financial capability to establish an Islamic state and civilization. Moreover, Muslims are the only people who are assigned to work as the Almighty's viceroy amidst the whole mankind –as revealed in the Holy Qur'an. Hence, the civilization they build does not bear any racial, tribal to nationalist inclination, rather reflects the execution of vice-regency of the Almighty Creator.

Muslims' current civilizational failure goes to the fact that they no more work as the viceroys of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. Nor do they grow their children, build state, and run education, culture, and judiciary as per the Qur'anic guidance. They have abandoned to grow up with the Islamic or Muslim identities. They work not to please Allah Sub'hana wa Tala but to promote tribal, racial, linguistic, and national agendas. They build states, raise societies and make institutions to serve those parochial objectives. Their philosophy, ideology, dreams do not represent Islam. Their minds are invaded with western thoughts that are vitriolic to Islamic beliefs. This indeed owes to the toxic effect of long *kuffar* colonial rule in the Muslim World.

The colonial occupation and the catastrophic damage

The *kuffar* colonialists occupied not only the Muslim lands but also their minds. The enemies occupied Muslim lands with weapons. They invaded the Muslims' minds by means of a corrupt colonial education system. The education system they implemented in occupied lands is far different from the education system in their own country. The prime objective of colonial education was to produce mental slaves for giving sustenance to their colonial rule. Hence the graduates of Kolkata, Punjab, Bombay, or other Indian universities were morally, intellectually, politically, and culturally altogether different from those of Oxford, Cambridge, and other western universities. The universities in western countries produced patriotic scientists, researchers, philosophers, historians, critics, and writers to serve their national interests. But the colleges and universities of the occupied lands produced mercenaries to serve the imperialist masters. As a result, to rule a vast country like India, the British didn't need to import their own people in large numbers. The native Indian mercenaries trained in colonial colleges and universities amply did the job. Even today, the situation hasn't changed. The education system that the colonialists introduced in the colonies still work with the same objectives. Hence the imperialists find no difficulty to recruit mercenaries from the former colonies to run their global economic and cultural empire. So, the brain drain from these colonies

is a common phenomenon.

The prime objectives of the Muslims are not to build mere mosques, madrasas, or states. It is their obligation to raise powerful civilization to showcase Islam to the whole of mankind –as the early Muslims did in their golden days. Only this way they can take the message of Islam to the doorsteps of the people of different land, language, and race. Otherwise, Islam becomes captive in the pages of the Holy Qur'an. No healthy civilization can be built in caves and tents. It needs to build good cities, states, societies, educational institutions, administration, and the Army. It also needs philosophers, thinkers, writers, preachers, researchers, reformers, warriors, and many others. This is why a Muslim can't confine himself in rituals, rather needs to be a hard-core civilization builder.

Muslims' failure

Building civilization as per the Qur'anic roadmap is itself the most important work. It is called jihad in Islam. It is indeed the greatest *ibada* in Islam. Muslims rise or fall depending on people's participation in this great *ibada*. If there is no jihad, there will be no Islamic state, no Islamic societies, no Islamic education, no Islamic judiciary, and no Islamic civilization. It is indeed the worst catastrophic failure that can only invite the worst disaster like the *kuffar* occupation. It comes as a Divine punishment for betraying the duty of His vice-regency. As a result of enemy occupation, the Muslims can't practice full Islam. Islam gets restricted only to rituals like five-time prayers, month-long fasting, charity, and hajj. The Islamic obligations like the Qur'anic education and the practice of sharia, *hudud*, shura, and jihad remain captivated in the pages of the Holy Qur'an.

A fish needs water. Likewise, a Muslim needs an Islamic state. Hence, the absence of an Islamic state is highly detrimental to Islam and Muslims. To avoid such a catastrophe, Prophet Mohammad (peace be upon him) left the most important legacy for the Muslims. It is a state-

building cum civilization-building Sunnah of the Prophet (peace be upon him). In fact, the Prophet (peace be upon him) played the pioneering role as a state builder from day one of his migration to Medina. Not only he built a state but also gave its laws, judiciary, and administration ethics. He also framed education policy, foreign policy, and political cum social policy. He built mosques, raised Army, made alliances, and led more than a dozen war campaigns. Without such an Islamic state, one can't practice full Islam. It is the worst intellectual failure of the Muslims that they failed to understand the importance of such a prophetic legacy. It is the common problem of the Muslims that they feel high complacency in building mosques and madrasas, but ignores Islamic state and civilization building. They also failed badly to defend Muslim states against the *kuffar* invasion. Whereas, like building an Islamic state, defending an Islamic or Muslim state is also very crucial. This is why, as per prophetic narrative, spending few minutes defending the border of an Islamic state is more valuable than spending a whole night in supplementary prayers.

If Muslims fail to develop a state and its education, culture, media, institutions around the Islamic beliefs, they fail to become true Muslims. Then they also fail to raise an Islamic civilization. More awfully, they may not escape extinction as a civilization force. This is indeed the most alarming issue of Muslims today. This owes to the deep invasion of the Muslim minds by the enemies' manipulative and corruptive thoughts, narratives, and perspectives. True Islamic faith does not get any space to grow in their already occupied minds. Thus it proves that the geopolitical occupation by the enemies doesn't come alone. It leads to a catastrophic mental occupation.

The real threat against Muslims and Islam

Enemies' occupation of Muslims' minds works like the real security threat against Islam, Muslims, and the Islamic civilization. The occupation of mind leads to cultural change, value-change, and political change. And more awfully it leads to conceptual damage vis-

à-vis foes and friends, the right and the wrong, and the worldly life and the life after death. As a result, they lose the moral compass. The figure of highness in their mind also gets changed. As a result, the great Muslim heroes do not get recognized as heroes. Instead, they take the worst enemies as the figure of highness. Even perverted thoughts and ideologies of the enemies get followers among the Muslims. Hence in most Muslim countries, the number of secularists, nationalists, racists, monarchists, socialists is more than the Islamists. Such people grow up as enemies to their own faith, own state, and own civilization. In the past, most of the damage to the Muslim World is done by these internal enemies.

The intellectual occupation is more damaging and lasting. Hence, the satanic forces do not work only for geopolitical occupation but for mental occupation. They use lies and temptation to allure people towards evils. In the past, most of the decisive wars didn't take place in war fields but in the intellectual premise. Now, most of the Muslim children are being conceptually killed in this intellectual battle. Hence those who fight the war against the enemy's intellectual invasions are great soldiers of Islam. It is also a holy jihad. The Holy Qur'an is the most powerful tool in this war.

The colonialists have rolled back their physical occupation, but they haven't ended the mental occupation. So the occupation continues. The Army, the bureaucracy, the judiciary, and the media that were built by the enemies during their colonial rule still work as fortified bastions for Islam's enemies. These mentally occupied people sabotage any civilization-building works of the Islamists. The case of Pakistan is an example of their great success. Pakistan –the largest Muslim country in the contemporary world was created by the Muslims of South Asia overcoming the sectarian, geographical, and linguistic barriers. But the whole project has been foiled by the embedded enemies that were produced by the colonial education system. They always work as Trojan horses for the enemies. In 2001, they worked as collaborators in the USA's war in Afghanistan. Even now, their eyes are on Afghanistan. They are eager to dismantle the Islamisation project of Taleban in collaboration with the anti-Islamic coalition of the west.

The worst devastations in human history are not caused by earthquakes, cyclones, or tsunamis. These owe to wars -the crimes exclusively committed by humans. Only two World Wars killed 75 million people and caused unprecedented devastation of cities, industries, and human habitations. After the World Wars, millions are killed in Vietnam, Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. And the killing continues. The destructiveness of any war entirely depends on the toxicity of the ideologies of the warmongers. A virulent killer bug always causes killer disease. Likewise, a killer ideology produces criminal thugs. They cause deaths, rapes, and destruction. All the worst war crimes are indeed the works of the people instilled with toxic ideologies. In fact, ideologies like fascism, racism, nationalism, supremacism, imperialism, communism, and religious fanaticism never leave any segment of history without causing a bloodbath. Ethnic cleansing, genocidal massacres, wars of occupation, regional wars, domestic wars, and the World Wars indeed owe to these ideologies. Therefore, wherever these ideologies show their tide, one can be fully sure that some worst genocide is looming. In India, such a war has already been started since its inception; and it is against the Muslims with the embedded Hindutva fascism in its making.

War has its distinctive atrocities. It brings gang rapes, genocidal killings, arsons, occupations, deprivation of human rights, and mass-scale torture in the lives of the stipulated enemies. The Indian Muslims pass their days and nights amidst such war crimes. The ongoing war against the Muslims doesn't run within some territorial frontiers, rather within the known Muslim enclaves. Fascism has shown its catastrophic fallout in Europe. The Muslims in India are now facing the same fate. The Hindutva fascists formed the world's largest Non-Government Organisation (NGO) called Rashtriya Shevok Sangha (RSS) not to share goodwill with the minority compatriots, but to buildup ideological militancy among its members. And the targets of assault are the Muslims. They raise the slogan that the Indian Muslims have only two destinations: either to Pakistan or to the graveyard. It tells a lot about the virulence of the Hindutva ideology. It was created with the sole objective to make India a Hindu state. (Andersen, Walter; Damla, Shridhar, 1987). When M.S Golwalker became

the RSS President in 1940, he set it a goal not to fight against the British. (M.S Golwalker, 1974). During the British raj, the aim was to gain strength and to make RSS war-ready fight the Muslims after the departure of the British. And now they are engaged in that.

Some people postulate that Hindutva ideology was a reaction to the creation of Pakistan. It is not true. There was a Hindutva hype even long before the creation of Pakistan. From day one of its inception in 1925, the RSS was focused on mobilizing and strengthening the Hindutva recruits with a singular objective to cleanse Muslims. In 1927, within two years of its creation, the RSS launched its first Muslim cleansing operation in its birthplace in Nagpur in Maharashtra. Its militants launched an organized attack against the local Muslims and the campaign went on for 3 days and forced the Muslims to leave the city. Truly, the creation of Pakistan in 1947 infuriated the RSS cadres further -as if Pakistan has cut into pieces their mother India. After end of the British colonial rule, they intensified their war against the captive Muslims. They consider every Indian Muslim a traitor cum termite and a Pakistani inside. (Ashish Nandi, 2002). For its terrorist activities, the RSS was banned 4 times: in 1947, 1948, 1975-77, and 1992. During the British raj, it was banned for its communal terrorism by the provincial government of Punjab in 1947. In 1948, it was banned for killing Gandhi. In 1992, it had banned for destroying the historic Babri Mosque. Since Narendra Modi -a lifelong member of RSS, became the Prime Minister, everything changed. The RSS is no more alone in its mission. Along with this non-state fascist outfit, the whole state apparatus, the media, the police, and the army are now working hand in hand to attain the Hindutva objective.

The cross-party epidemic

War is fought not only on the battlefields but also on ideological, cultural, and economic premises. Hindutva literature tells about such an inclusive war against the Muslims. All RSS compounds all over India indeed work as mini cantonments to train fighters for such a war. In fact, such a war has already been imposed on all the sectors of Muslim

life in India. They want not only the full surrender of the Muslims but also the full decimation, demoralization, and de-Islamisation of the Muslims. For that, they have even deployed violent criminals as fighters to lynch, rape, torture, and kill Muslims even on local streets, public premises, and domestic grounds. Thus, the Hindutva war has entered into every arena of Muslim presence and impacted every aspect of Muslim lives.

Malaria in India doesn't differ from malaria in Europe. The same is true for an ideological disease. Therefore, whatever the German fascists did against the Jews in Europe, the Hindutva fascists are doing the same against the Indian Muslims. Like a virus, a toxic ideology too never stays confined within the premise of a single political, religious or terrorist outfit. It shows a cross-party, cross-country, and cross-border epidemic. India is a classic example. Therefore, its Hindutva epidemic has overwhelmed not only its culture, politics, media, and education but also the whole spectrum of life. Hence, the anti-Muslim hatred in Indian politics is not the monopoly of the RSS-BJP axis, the parties like the Indian National Congress and many others have also been infected with the same toxicity. Following examples may help understand the gravity of the issue.

The spell of the Hindutva venom on Congress politics was fully exposed during the election campaign of 1989. To appease the Hindu voters, Rajiv Gandhi –the Congress Party's sitting Prime Minister attempted to snatch the Hindutva mantra from the RSS-BJP family by outplaying the issue. For that, he borrowed the Hindutva strategy of L.K. Advani –the BJP leader. He started his campaign from the district of Faizabad –known for its historic Babri Mosque. Dispelling the previous secular stand of the Congress, Rajiv Gandhi took the banner of the Hindutva agenda in his own hand. He promised to inaugurate Rama Rajya –the rule and the kingdom of Rama, in India. (Pradeep Nayak, 1993). It was a clear departure from the declared political ideology of Congress. He even opened the door of the Babri Mosque for the Hindu worshippers –which was strategically avoided by every Congress and non-Congress government in the past. But Rajiv Gandhi displayed his sheer lack of political wisdom and foresightedness. He gave preference to getting

more Hindu votes over esteemed values, justice, and respect for the minority rights. Such siding with the Hindu majority and robust Hindutva radicalization of the Congress Party by Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi prompted the Muslims to quickly leave the Congress. In the national election, his trick to amass Hindu votes didn't work. He failed to defeat the old vanguards of the Hindutva like BJP. As a result of losing the Muslim votes, the Congress ceased to exist anymore as an electable contender of power in Indian national politics. It could only show up as an insignificant regional party in some smaller states.

However, Rajiv Gandhi is not the only Congress leader to embrace the Hindutva ideology. It indeed runs through the veins of many of the prominent Hindu leaders. Even, Mr. Nehru -the first Prime Minister of independent India didn't prove different from any other Hindutva communal leader. Only a thief can embrace a thief. Likewise, only a communal leader can embrace another communal leader. So, Nehru showed his Hindutva color by embracing Mr. Samya Prasad Mukherjee as a member of his first post-independence cabinet. Mr. Mukherjee was known as one of the most original gurus of Hindutva's radicalization of Indian politics. He was the former President of Hindu Mahashava and the founder of Jana Sangha -the mother organization of today's BJP. Embracing him in the cabinet tells a lot. It in fact means embracing his ideology.

Mr. Narasima Rao -another Congress Prime Minister of India, also displayed a very high level of anti-Muslim venom in his psyche. He joined politics as an armed terrorist against the Muslim rule in Hyderabad. (K.S. Komireddi, 2019). While he was the Prime Minister of India, he allowed the destruction of Babri Mosque by his premeditated malign inaction. As a Prime Minister, he had the full power to stop the day-long crime that was being committed in broad daylight. But he preferred to stay inactive. For a man in power, such deliberate inaction only proves complicity in the crime. Another anti-Muslim Hindutva radicals in Congress and in the highest echelon of India's power structure was Mr. Pranab Mukherjee. He was the former President of India. He visited Nagpur to pay his deep homage to the RSS founder

Mr. Hedgewar in 2018. The issue didn't stop at his homage; Mr. Mukherjee went further. He exulted the RSS founder as a "great son of Mother India" in the visitor's book. Only a man with the full endorsement of Mr. Hedgewar's Hindutva ideology could write such a high eulogy. On behalf of the RSS, it was acknowledged that after the visit of Mr. Pranab Mukherjee, the membership of RSS went high.

Mr. Hedgewar's ideology and his political objective were never hidden. Mr. Pranab Mukherjee must be knowing that. Mr. Hedgewar proclaimed that India was land only of the Hindus and consistently loathed the Muslims. He could never swallow the Muslims' rule and their existence in India. He considered Muslims' rule in India as a disgrace for the Hindus. His obsession to take revenge on the Muslims prompted him to form the RSS. His successor, [Golwalkar](#), was an admirer of Hitler and his policies towards the Jews. He even wrote that Nazi Germany was a "good lesson for us in Hindusthan to learn and profit by." In such writings of Mr. Golwalkar, the implied message is very clear. The Germans had the Jews and the Indians have the Muslims. Hitler had nothing to teach Indians about Hindutva. But he had a lot to teach the Hindus how he could spread the supremacist politics of hatred against the minorities like Jews. He could also teach how he mobilised and motivated the Germans to take millions of Jews to the genocidal gas chambers. Mr. Golwalkar was highly impressed by Hitler's quick success in exterminating the Jews; hence asked his Hindu compatriots to apply the same politics of hatred and the genocidal methodology against the Indian Muslims. Can a man with an iota of morality endorse such a toxic ideology? But Mr. Pranab Mukharjee traveled to Nagpur to pay homage to an icon of such ideology. A Muslim can easily understand how dreadful to live amidst hordes of such wrathful indoctrinated people. In a conventional war, fear of death runs only through those who are on the battlefield. But for Muslims, the whole of India had turned into a battlefield. Hence all-time fear of death runs through every Muslim man, woman, and child.

In 1954, a Congress MP named Seth Govind Das moved a resolution in the

Indian parliament for imposing a total ban on cow slaughter. Mr. Vasan Sathe -another leading Hindutva fan in Congress, threatened to resign from the party if the party opposes the installation of a portrait of Mr. Savarkar –the original guru of Hindutva politics in India, in the parliament. (Naqvi, 2019). Moreover, the first post-independence genocidal massacre of the Muslims that took place in Hyderabad in 1948, wasn't the work of RSS-BJP thugs. It happened under the watch of Congress Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru. More than 100 thousand Muslims were killed in that pogrom and thousands of Muslim women were raped. Even in Jammu –a southern region in united Kashmir, a massive genocide took place while the Congress and its leader Nehru were at the helm of affairs. Prior to the Indian occupation in 1947, Jammu had a 60 percent Muslim population. But by a planned pogrom in 1948, it was brought down to 30 percent. The genocide was carried out under the direct command of Dogra king Maharaja Hari Singh of Kashmir -as a part of the desperate move in his last days of the rule to make Kashmir a Hindu majority state. Prime Minister Nehru not only kept silent on such a heinous massacre rather gave a safe sanctuary to deposed Hari Singh in Mumbai.

A mass murderer as a ruler

The political appointments made by a ruling party always reflect its embedded ideology. Modi played a key role in mobilizing the cadres to destroy the Babri mosque. In early October 2001, the Indian Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee summoned Narendra Modi to his residence and offered him the chief minister's job of Gujrat. (K.S. Komireddi, 2019). A party like BJP or RSS is always run by a co-operative of leaders with a strong ideological and cultural match. This is why a Muslim, a secularist, or communist is fully incompatible in BJP or RSS outfits. Mr. Vajpayee is usually projected as moderate in the RSS-BJP camp. But how a moderate man can match with an extremist killer? How can he appoint such a killer as a chief minister? Later on, Modi as a chief minister not only showed his own color but also revealed Mr. Vajpayee's color as well. A leader is rightly known by his close friends and political decision. Modi's intention, ideology, and motive

were not unknown to Mr. Vajpayee. Therefore, his appointment as the chief minister of Gujrat tells a lot about Mr. Vajpayee's own ideology and motive. It indeed exposed Mr. Vajpayee's own Hindutva indoctrination and venom against Muslims.

Within 4 months of the appointment as the chief minister of Gujrat, Modi proved his lethal venom. In 2002, a train carrying Hindu extremists returning from the site of demolished Babri mosque got fire. Fifty-eight people burned to death. Nobody knew the cause of the incident. Mr. Norendra Modi didn't wait for the inquiry, rather grab it as a great opportunity to do what he wanted to do. He instantly called it the work of the Islamic extremists. Modi's announcement was enough to incite a genocidal massacre against the Muslims in Gujrat. In one Muslim neighborhood, a mob of about five thousand Hindus made their way through a slum and hacked ninety-seven Muslims to death and a mosque was blown up with liquefied petroleum. Across the road from the scene of carnage, stood a reserve police quarters. But no one from there lifted a finger. (Human Rights Watch, 2002). Thus, as a chief minister, Modi showed his own complicity in the crime. He need not kill or rape anybody himself; but his robust inaction worked as a huge encouragement for the killers, the rapists, and the arsonists to commit such crimes in thousands.

In an anti-Muslim pogrom, a Muslim of any rank doesn't make any difference to be the worst victim. Former member of the Indian parliament Mr. Ehsan Jafri was a very prominent Muslim leader of Gujarat. He had close acquaintance with Sonia Gandhi –the leader of Congress and personally known to Mr. Vajpayee -the sitting Prime Minister. Despite all such acquaintance, he was brutally tortured and slaughtered. Mr. Jafri was sheltering about 250 helpless Muslims in his residence. He spent hours making desperate calls to Modi's office but received no help. Modi turned deaf. At the end, he urged the attackers, "Whatever you want to do with me, do it; but please don't kill those who have taken shelter in my house." But his appeal fell on deaf ears. Mr. Jafri was dragged out of his house by the Hindu mob, tortured with all possible cruelty, and sliced open with swords. In the end, he was burnt alive. Sixty-nine people seeking refuge inside

Jafri's house were killed in broad daylight over seven hours. In a civilized country, the police usually reach the crime scene within five to ten minutes. But during the long period of seven hours, the state police didn't bother to show up on the scene to stop this preventable massacre. (Vinod Jose, 2012).

Under Modi's supervision, more than three thousand Muslims (in some estimate it is more than five thousand) were butchered. Thousands of women were raped and more than a hundred thousand Muslims were made homeless. Only deliberate and premeditated inaction can justify such a crime. After such criminal inaction, how can Modi deny his complicity in the genocidal massacre? But still, he didn't lose his job. Not a single police officer was sacked or punished for such criminal negligence. It is noteworthy that Prime Minister Vajpayee and the Home Minister Mr. Advani –godfathers of Mr. Modi, didn't express any displeasure in public on such carnage. Instead, whatever carnage they could procure from this protégée, made them happy to hold the ladder at his footsteps to help him climb up to the Prime Ministerial post. It clearly shows how complicity in a genocidal massacre against Muslims gets hugely awarded in India.

Modi: a psychopath

Many men of morality usually resign from the ministerial posts for failing to prevent even a railway accident. But Mr. Modi never showed iota of repentance or remorse on the heinous massacre of thousands of defenseless people in Gujrat, let alone resigning from the post. Rather, while responding to a reporter on his failure, he only lamented on the failure to control the media. As if, the genocidal pogrom against the Muslims itself wasn't any crime, rather a big success! He blamed the unfettered media for giving publicity to that crime. (K.S. Komireddi, 2019). He would have been very happy if this carnage had gone unnoticed. Ashish Nandi –a distinguished social theorist and a clinical psychologist had an opportunity to take an interview with Mr. Modi. Mr. Nandi gives a diagnosis on Modi's psychiatric ill health based on some explicit and pathognomonic

symptoms. Mr. Nandi wrote, "I still remember the cool, measured tone in which he (Mr. Modi) elaborated a theory of cosmic conspiracy against India that painted every Muslim as a suspected traitor and potential terrorist". From the interview, Nandi emerged 'shaken'. As if, "he has met a textbook case of a fascist and prospective killer and perhaps even a future mass murderer."—(Ashish Nandi, 2002).

Modi's role, through commission and deliberate omission, in an anti-Muslim pogrom in Gujrat in 2002, indeed gives enough evidence to prove the accuracy of Nandi's diagnosis. Narendra Modi -as the chief minister of Gujrat, has the necessary power, police forces, and other security apparatus under his command to quickly control the carnage. When the people are being killed, the women are being raped and the houses are being blazed, the government must show its quick and decisive action. This is indeed the norm of civilized governance. In such a critical situation, the government's inaction for few moments means more deaths, more rapes, and more arson. A single command of the chief minister was enough to bring all the security forces to the crime scenes and stop the genocide. But Mr. Modi preferred to stay silent. His silence worked as an implied message for the killers, the rapists, and the arsonists. They took it as an unlimited license to continue their crimes for weeks. Only a complicit criminal chief minister of a state can show such a role at a time when the anti-Muslim pogrom was going on with awful ferocity. Because of his role, thousands of Muslims were killed, thousands of Muslim women were raped and more than a hundred thousand people were made homeless destitute. All these crimes were done with full jubilation on the street by the Hindutva forces. It is difficult to disbelieve that the sadistic Modi will not be celebrating his own contribution in the carnage in private with close comrades.

The genocidal war

Now the same Mr. Modi is the Prime Minister of India. When such a psychopathic Muslim-hater becomes the Prime Minister of a country with 200 million Muslims, the country is bound to enter into a bloodbath. A

genocidal war then enters into its cities, villages, streets, mosques, markets, and households to kill the so-called enemies. Modi's home minister Mr. Amit Shah called the Muslims termites. How can Mr. Modi, Mr. Shah, and the alike sleep in the night with 200 million termites in their midst? Such sleeplessness will surely make them more delusional and mad. So, Mr. Ashish Nandi's diagnosis stands accurate not only for Mr. Modi but also for thousands of his Hindutva comrades. Because of such madness, they enjoy cow urine as a Holy drink but can't drink water from the fellow humans of the so-called lower caste.

India stands as a textbook case of a war-infested country. India doesn't have a war on its border against any foreign power. But it has deployed 700 hundred thousand soldiers to fight a war against an unarmed civilian population within its own border. It is unprecedented in human history. India has made the small valley of Kashmir the most militarized area in the world. Afghanistan is at least ten times bigger than Kashmir. But the USA never deployed more than 150 thousand soldiers in Afghanistan. Whereas, the USA has to fight a terrible war against the war-hardened and death-defying mujahids. Even the Soviet troops in Afghanistan were never that high either. The same is true for the USA army deployment in Iraq. Kashmir has a total population of about 9 million. In 1971, East Pakistan had a 75 million population. In 1971, the Pakistan Army had to fight a war in its eastern province against half a million strong Indian Army, Navy and Air Force, and the India-trained Bengali insurgents. But in East Pakistan, the Pakistan Army didn't have even one-fifteenth portion of the Indian soldiers that are now deployed in Kashmir! It beggars belief! About the army deployment in East Pakistan, Lt. General A.A.K. Niazi –the Commander of Pakistan Army's Eastern Command in 1971 wrote: "The total fighting strength available to me was forty-five thousand -34,000 from the army, plus 11,000 from CAF (Civil Armed Forces) and West Pakistan civilian police and armed non-combatants." (A.A.K Niazi, 1998).

The fascists are always obsessed with the elimination of those who are dissimilar to their faith, race, color, and ideology. So, their war strategy is totally different from other countries. They need all-time war in all cities, all villages, all bazaars, and all residential

enclaves, and even in all forests. Because they see enemies (termites) everywhere. Therefore, to sustain the war, they need more soldiers in Kashmir. They also need curfew for an endless period. It is also true that under the occupation of the Hindutva fascists, the Muslims are not the only victims. A relentless war also continues against the Maoists, the Adivasi, the Naga, the Mizo, the Christians, the Sikhs, and many others. And, every war has its huge collateral costs, deaths, and damages. Therefore, with such warmongering madness, these Hindutva supremacists are killing their own people. They are destroying their own environment and economy. If 700 thousand soldiers stay in Kashmir for another ten years and another 400 thousand in north-east India and in other parts, the Indian economy will collapse automatically. Then, Pakistan or any proscribed enemy will seldom need to engage in any combat with India.

So India stands as the worst enemy of its own people. Along with the unending internal war, worshipping and protecting cows are the two other most important political agendas of the Hindutva leaders. Billions of dollars are being spent to build the world's largest sculpture to glorify their Hindutva icons –as one built-in Gujrat for Sardar Ballav Bhai Patel. As a result, they do not have enough money to look after their own poverty-stricken people. India possesses not only the largest number of poor people on earth but also gets the largest number of suicidal deaths. In 2021, thousands of people died of Covid-19 because of no bed and oxygen in hospitals. Decomposed dead bodies are found in hundreds floating in rivers and consumed by dogs and foxes. Only an evil ideology like fascism with extreme depletion of humanity, morality, and human values can precipitate such awful disgrace for humans.

The culture of war-crimes

The Hindutva ideology has given birth to a strong culture of war crimes. Hence, India doesn't need a full-fledged war on its border to have the wartime crimes of genocide, rape, and arson. The Indian Muslim men, women, and children have already become the victim of such

crimes even without a war. Every belief or ideology has its own distinctive moral, cultural, and political expression. Hence the people of the same ideology show similar morality, culture, and politics almost everywhere. Therefore, the former Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee –the so-called moderate face of the RSS-BJP family, didn't show any discernable difference from Mr. Modi. Like Modi, he too showed moral inability to condemn the bloodbath in Gujrat. While Gujrat was experiencing its most awful carnage in history, Mr. Vajpayee was the Prime Minister of a coalition of about two dozen political parties. He was under tremendous pressure by those parties to sack Modi from the chief minister post. But he didn't listen to them. (K.S. Komireddi, 2019). Instead of sacking or rebuking Modi, he lashed out at the Muslim victims. While addressing a meeting in Goa, he told that the Muslims "are not interested in living in peace". (Vinod Jose, 2012). What did he mean by "living in peace"? Probably, Mr. Vajpayee had a different connotation of "living in peace" for the Muslims. Is it living in graveyards with absolute peace? Or, did he want a very peaceful submission of the Muslims to rape, arson and massacre?

In a corruptive cultural cum educational milieu, even a man with the highest university degree turns out to be the worst killer, rapist, or arsonist. In such a situation, ideological sermons, political lectures, classroom teachings, and media reports work as powerful tools to kill people's morality, humanity, and ethical values. Such corruptive and corrosive endeavors –both by the Hindutva government and the NGOs have badly impacted India. As a result, the extent of moral ill-health or death is huge. Because of that, even acts of raping, lynching, killing and even throwing children into fire have become an acceptable ethical, political or cultural norm in society. Therefore, those who are complicit in such crimes get elected by a huge margin to the parliament. For the same reason, former Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee and many top-ranking Indian political leaders and intellectuals failed to condemn rape, arson, and genocide committed against the Muslims in Gujrat in 2002. Because of the same moral death, most of the political parties in India could endorse not only the annexation of Kashmir but also support the Army's barbaric

atrocities there.

War breeds war crimes. And fascism promotes a permissive culture for war crimes. Because of that, the German fascists could procure the necessary manpower to run their politics, administration, genocidal war, gas chambers, and anti-Jews pogrom. In India, the Hindutva fascists have also been very successful to create such a conducive culture to run their Hindutva war and the anti-Muslim genocide. This is why, they could easily find a complicit media, Army, intellectuals to support endless curfew and war crimes in Kashmir. Under the fascists' rule, the whole of Germany turned out to be a warzone for a Jew. The same has happened for a Muslim in India. The Hindutva war has engulfed Muslim lives everywhere.

Visibly, the psyche of the ruling Hindutva elite is now inundated with an intense form of immorality, inhumanity, and savagery. The Muslims now face a culture of deaths, rapes, tortures, destructions, and other horrors of collateral war crimes. In such an awful situation, peaceful survival for the Indian Muslims stands impossible. Establishing the rule of law and punishing the worst criminals like killers, rapists and arsonists no more exist as the priority of the government. Neither the police nor the judiciary shows any interest in such things. As if, the Muslims have no entitlement for these! Even the Supreme Court awarded the people who dismantled the historic Babri Mosque with the land of the mosque. Thus justice runs in India. This is why the killers could kill more than three to five thousand Muslims and rape thousands in broad daylight in Gujrat in 2002 didn't face any police arrest or judicial prosecution. The same happened in Nellie in Assam, in 1983. There, the killers could kill more than 5 thousand Muslims in 14 villages, but not a single killer was prosecuted and punished. Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi made a pact with the political patrons of the killers and pardoned all the perpetrators. The police, the law, and the judiciary were kept defunct by the government only to appease the killers. Thus, the Hindu supremacist thugs are given the full license to do all heinous crimes against Muslims. As if, the Muslims are no more than lame ducks. In this regard, Congress seldom makes any discernible difference from the BJP. Hitler gave similar impunity to

the German fascists to kill Jews. So it appears, the Hindu supremacists have taken lessons from Hitler –as was advised by their guru Mr. Golwalkar.

Kashmir is now Gujrat

The anti-Muslim war has attained a new intensity in Kashmir. What happened in Gujrat under chief minister Narendra Modi's direct watch in 2002, is now happening under Prime Minister Modi's watch in Kashmir. But there is a difference. In Gujrat, the carnage was carried out by the Hindutva thugs, the police, and the provincial administration. In Kashmir, it is being carried out by the more powerful Indian Army and the central government. In the war zone, the common people are not allowed to come to the streets. The streets are usually taken over by the Army. Seven hundred thousand soldiers of the Indian Army are doing the same in Kashmir by enforcing more than 60 days' curfew in Kashmir. Such an act of barbarity has never happened against any civilian people in the whole human history. As a result, the parents can't go to the shops for buying milk. The kidney dialysis patients can't go to the hospital for dialysis. Diabetic patients can't go to the pharmacy for insulin. The injured patients can't be taken to hospital emergencies. The people are strictly prohibited to do any work, run any business, earn any money or buy any food. Even in wartime, people are not deprived of such indispensable liberties. Even in prison, people are not subjugated with such barbarity.

Mr. Modi and his Hindutva cronies in media, administration, and politics call such subjugation of the Kashmiri people by force as the progression of peace. They call it a route to economic progress. And they deliberately hide the fact that along with 700,000 soldiers, the imposed curfew has become a powerful tool to torment the Kashmiri civilians. In reality, truth is more awful. What the Hindutva killers and rapists commit during anti-Muslim riots in other parts of India, has become every single day's riot in Kashmir. The whole of Kashmir has been turned to genocidal Gujrat. The killing, rape, and arson in Gujrat have taken a pause, but such pause doesn't exist in Kashmir.

The barbarity is going relentlessly. Therefore, the Muslim men and women that are killed or raped in Gujrat, Kashmir have at least fifty times more than that.

The war of terror

War starts and survives on vitriolic hatred against the enemies. For the Hindutva radicals of India, the perceived enemies are the Muslims. India has three wars against Pakistan. Every war against Pakistan was in fact anti-Muslim war. The war against Pakistan has now a pause but it continues against the Muslims inside India. War brings not only deaths, destructions, and pain, but also weaponized propaganda against the perceived enemies. India is inundated with such propaganda and war crimes. So, a war of terror has come to the Muslims at their doorsteps, streets, and businesses. On 28 September 2015, Mohammad Akhlaq, a fifty-year-old farm worker, was dragged out of his house in the town of Dadri, an hour drive from Delhi, by a violent crowd of young Hindu men incensed by the rumor that he had slaughtered a calf. They killed Mr. Akhlaq by striking him repeatedly with bricks. They beat his son to within an inch of his life, assaulted his elderly mother, and attempted to molest his younger daughter. (Indian Express, 26 December 2015).

Like the party thugs, the Hindutva leaders proved no less heartless. They displayed their moral inability to condemn such a heinous crime. Instead, the top-tier leader of the BJP issued a posthumous condemnation of Mr. Akhlaq for wounding the feelings of Hindus by eating beef. He also threatened that the Hindus should not be expected to remain silent when a cow is killed. So, the implied message for a Muslim is very clear: Hindus have every right to break their silence by killing Muslims whenever they believe that a cow has been killed. They need not wait for a probe to establish the allegation –as happened with Mr. Akhlaq. One BJP MP Mr. Shakhi Maharaj announced that he is ready to kill and get killed for mother (cow).” (News18, 6 October 2015). A similar statement came from the home minister of Gujrat; he equaled the killing of cow or cow progeny with the killing

of a human. (Human Rights Watch, 2019)

But the Hindutva leaders conveniently forget the other side of the reality. India is the number one exporter of beef in the world. As a result, millions of Indian cows –worshipped as goddesses, turn into kebabs worldwide. Since the agenda is to bring foreign currency, mass slaughtering of their mother goddess becomes lawful and acceptable business activity. Using hides of these mothers to make shoes also becomes a part of their economics. Such acts don't touch the religious or emotional fibers of the people like Mr. Shakhi Maharaj. Nor did Mr. Maharaj get killed for saving the mother cow from becoming kebabs. But while politically needed to target the Muslims for torturing, raping and killing, the narratives on cows take a 180-degree shift. Then, protecting a cow becomes a religious duty of a Hindu, and killing a Muslim for eating beef becomes a Holy act. In such a politicized context, any police arrest or probe against the perpetrators of crimes turn hugely unwelcome. This is why the BJP leaders could even intimidate the police to leave the perpetrators of murders alone. They could also tell that the Hindus are not in a mood to tolerate any harassment by the investigating police authorities. Then, the police inquiry and judicial probe –parts of the civilized norms are labeled as harassment! One of the legislators even blamed the family for the death of Mr. Akhlaq. The crime of the family was none else but eating beef. (Indian Express, 2 October 2015).

The weaponized propaganda has other horrendous dimensions. It is aimed at putting a tag of Islamic terrorism on the Muslims and justifies brutal police torture and long detention against them. Here is an example. In the summer of 2007, in Mecca Masjid in Hyderabad, an improvised explosive device went off in the ablution area and killed 11 people. About ten thousand people were praying in the mosque at the time of the incident. The authority instantly blamed Islamic extremists coming from Bangladesh and Pakistan. They were also linked with a Pakistani outfit. Immediately after the incident, 200 Muslims were arrested. After one year of nerve-wracking detention, 21 people were charged for prosecution. But all these initiatives were proved fake. The truth came to the surface only when a repentant Hindu priest

-arrested by India's National Investigation Agency, confessed that the explosion in Mecca Masjid was one of the many similar staged explosions by a militant Hindu group. He also told that the group is intimately connected with RSS -the parent body of the ruling BJP. The priest also acknowledged the involvement of an Indian Army officer. (Swami's confession, Frontline, Vol 28, 3 Jan 29 Feb 2011). But in most cases, none of the Hindu priests come forward to tell the truth, hence the anti-Muslim barbarity continues without any pause. The Hindutva war thus continues. 1st edition 06/10/2019; 2nd edition 05/07/2021.

Reference

1. J. Johnson (2010). [*A Dictionary of Hinduism*](#). Oxford University Press. p. 142. [ISBN978-0-19-861026-7](#).
2. Jaffrelot, Christophe [*Hindu Nationalism: A Reader*](#). Princeton University Press. pp. 14–15, 86–93.
3. Jaffrelot, Christophe (1996). *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics*, Hurst & Co.
4. Savarkar, Vinayak (1923). Hindutva: Who is a Hindu?
5. Andersen, Walter; Damla, Shridhar, 1987. The Brotherhood in Saffron: Rashtriya Sawayamsevak Sangh and Hindu Revivalism, Delhi, Vistaar Publications, 1987.
6. S Golwalker, 1974. Shri Guruji Samgra Darshan, Volume 4, Bharatiya Vichar Sadhna.
7. Basu, Tapan & Sarkar, Tanika; 1993. Khaki Shorts and Saffron Flags: A Critique of the Hindu Right, Orient Longman.
8. Chitkara, M.G; 2004. Rashtriya Sawayamsevak Sangh; National Upsurge, APH Publishing.
9. Human Rights Watch, 2002. "We Have No Orders To Save You: State Participation and Complicity in Communal Violence in Gujrat", Volume 14, No. 3C, 2002. pp. 15-17.
10. Vinod Jose, 2012. The Emperor Uncrowned, Carawan, 1 March 2012.
11. Ashish Nandi, 2002. Obituary of a Culture, Seminar, May, 2002.
12. McKean, Lise 1996, [*Divine Enterprise: Gurus and the Hindu Nationalist Movement*](#), University of Chicago Press.
13. Chetan Bhatt, 2001. [*Hindu nationalism: origins, ideologies and*](#)

[modern myths](#). Berg. pp. 77 (context: Sura 4). [ISBN978-1-85973-343-1](#).

14. S. Komireddi, 2019, Malevolent Republic –A Short History of the New India, C. Hurst & Co. 41 Great Russel Street, London, WC1B 3PL.
 15. Human Rights Watch, 2019. Violent Cow Protection in India, 18 February, 2019, hrw.org/report/2019/02/18/violent-cow-protection-india/vigilante-groups-attack-minorities#
 16. A.K. Niazi, 1998. The betrayal of East Pakistan, Manohar Publishers & Distributors, 26 Ansari Road, Daryaganj, New Delhi 110002
 17. Only 4 percent of the Indian Muslims are graduates and only 5 percent have government employment. (*Social, Economic and Educational Status of the Muslim Community of India: 2006uuuuu*).
 18. “*Social, Economic and Educational Status of the Muslim Community of India*”, Prime Minister’s High Level Committee, November 2006:www.mhrd.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/Sachar_comm.pdf.
 19. “Swami’s confession”, Frontline, Vol 28, 3 Jan 29 Feb 2011.
 20. Ross Colvin and Satarupa Bhattachariya, “The remaking of Narendra Modi”, Reuters, 12 July 2013:<https://in.reuters.com/article/india-modi-gujarat-bjp/special-report-the-remaking-of-narendra-modi-idINDEE96B00Y20130712>.
 21. Javed Naqvi, Sept 17, 2019, Daily Dawn, Karachi, Pakistan.
 22. [Stanley, Jason](#)(2018). *How Fascism Works: The Politics of Us and Them*. New York: Random House. pp.14-15.
 23. Pradeep Nayak, 1993. The Politics of the Ayodhya Dispute, Commonwealth Publishers, New Delhi, p.120.
-

The Rohingya Muslims: the victims of the worst war crimes

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

The rampant war crimes

In terms of stipulated war-objective, the success of the Myanmar Army is huge. They could get a quick success only by committing horrendous war crimes. Their war was purely genocidal. Because of electronic social media, crimes were visible all over the world. The enemy of the Myanmar Army wasn't a foreign power. The military objective of the war wasn't to liberate any land from any enemy occupation. The declared enemy is an unarmed people of Myanmar called Rohingya Muslims. And the war objective was to cause genocidal cleansing of the Muslim people from their traditional homeland called Arakan –later on, named as Rakhine state.

The Army has left no political, social, educational, economic, and not even a survival space for the Rohingya Muslims in Myanmar. For saving a life, they had no other option but to make a desperate move to migrate to Bangladesh amidst extreme hardships. They even used ramshackle rafts made of plastic cans to cross a big river. Most of the Rohingya villages are burnt down, the homes are destroyed, the farmlands and businesses are occupied. Therefore, even if the Myanmar government is compelled to take them back by international pressure, there remains no infrastructure or emotional element in Arakan to give them shelter or a sense of comfort for returning back to their motherland. They only survive with a huge open wound in their traumatized psyche. The sharp memory of being killed, gang-raped, and brutally tortured by the Army personnel will survive through ages. How the Rohingya women can ever forget the pain of being raped day and night by the Buddhist sex predators at their wish. It is not a political or racial problem, rather an awful moral problem of the

Myanmar government and the Army. They have shown no moral ability to acknowledge such ugliest crime as crime, let alone condemn it. No amount of political negotiation can remove this huge ugly scar from the traumatized psyche of the Rohingya Muslims.

The Rohingya people who are now labeled as the enemy of Myanmar are the people who were recognized as the sons of the soil and legal citizens for centuries. Even in the recent past, they took part in politics and became members of the parliament. They participated in the liberation movement against the colonial rule of the British. Such a historic status of the Muslims of Arakan was annulled 35 years ago in 1982 by a military dictator. Thus they were made stateless. By any definition, it is itself a horrendous crime against humanity. Although such a politically and racially motivated annulment of citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims created the whole backdrop of the ongoing genocidal war, the UNO and other world powers showed little moral and humanitarian responsibility to put the necessary pressure on the Myanmar government to undo it. As a result, the whole Arakan –once peaceful land of a historic Muslim state, is the site of rampant war crimes against the local Muslims.

The pathology of crime

Genocidal cleansing of a population never happens with the brutality of beastly animals. It is the premeditated war crime of the humans who proved again and again far beastlier than the man-eating animals. This is the work of the people who can build gas chambers, drop nuclear bombs, and can turn cities and villages into rubbles. The catalog of their crimes is huge. Because of such war criminals, more than 75 million people had to die only in two World Wars and more than a hundred thousand people were burnt to death in Hiroshima and Nagasaki. More disgustfully, the perpetrators celebrate those crimes as a great victory of democratic values! This could only happen because of moral death. And the moral death owes to deadly pathology in the conceptual premise of the people sitting in the driving seats of the big powers. Because of that, people could become criminal and genocidal on a large

scale. The disease runs unabated even now. Hence they could cause a horrendous era of deaths and destruction in Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Gaza, and now in Yemen. More than 300 thousand Syrians had to die and more than 6 million Syrians had to leave their homes. Millions of Palestinians have to live in camps for more than 70 years. Because of the same war criminals, cities like Grozny in Chechnya, Mosul, Ramadi, Tikrit, and Fallujah in Iraq, and Raqqa, Homs, Hama, Deira Zur, and many other cities in Syria have been flattened to the ground.

It is a shame on the UNO that the worst war criminals always go unpunished. The UNO itself is hostage to these war criminals. Because of such a failed UNO, the Myanmar Army gets enticed to take the same route of terrible war crimes. The unpunished US war crimes in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria, the Russian war crimes in Chechnya and Syria, Narendra Modi's war on Indian Muslims, and the Anti-Muslim rhetoric of the US President Donald Trump have heavily encouraged the Burmese war criminals to add more atrocities to the world's criminal history. Therefore, mass killing, gang-raping, torturing, and setting fire to the Muslim properties, and evicting the Rohingya Muslims from their ancestral homes could be deployed as military tactics of war against the unarmed civilians. Adolf Hitler wasn't the lone criminal to commit all the genocidal crimes. Millions of the Germans and other Europeans also joined as the partner in his crime. Likewise, the Burmese Army is not alone in their war crimes, either. Aung San Suu Kyi and her party "League for Democracy", the whole civil and political institutions of Myanmar, the Buddhist monks, and the Myanmar media are directly and indirectly are the part of the same war crimes. Even the countries like China, India, and Russia stand firmly behind these killers, rapists, and arsonists. Because of their support, these worst war criminals couldn't be condemned in the UN Security Council, let alone stopping or punishing them. As a result, the sufferings of the "most persecuted minorities on earth" –as described by the UN, continue unabated.

Crime always breeds other crimes. Sometimes the new crime becomes more robust and horrendous than the original crime. This is why the crime of the Myanmar government which started with the annulment of the

citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims in 1982 didn't end there. It turned terribly genocidal in later years. Mass killing, gang-raping, arson, torture, and eviction of innocent people from their own homeland became parts of it. As part of the same crime, they have also built a lethal barricades to stop the return of the evicted people to their homes. Land mines are planted along the Bangladesh-Myanmar border to kill the returning refugees.

Failures of the international bodies

On 13 November 2017, Sky News showed some glimpses of horrendous genocidal crimes of the Myanmar government –taken under the cover of the deep darkness of the night from inside Rakhine state. Otherwise, the Myanmar government wouldn't have allowed such reporting of the crime. No man or woman with moral sense can stop his or her tears after seeing the picture of such vitriolic crime against innocent men, women, and children. The failure of the UN Security Council and the world leaders is appalling. They even failed to show the minimal morality to call these terrible mass killings, mass rapes, and mass destruction of an ethnic cum religious group of Muslim population even a genocide, let alone stop it. This way the UN has provided the needed modus operandi of non-action for its member states against these worst war criminals.

On 13 November 2017, the ASEAN conference was held in Manilla. Three of its members are Muslim countries. About 40 percent population of the ASEAN countries are Muslims. Indonesia -the largest Muslim country in the world also happens to be its member. Malaysia and Brunei are the other two members. But the spineless and morally depleted leaders of these Muslim countries have even failed to include the genocidal issue of Rohingya Muslims in its business session, let it condemn or stop it. Rather, to appease the genocidal leaders of Myanmar -a member state of ASEAN, the leaders of these Muslim countries even shy away from pronouncing the word "Rohingya". Since the Myanmar government calls them "illegal Bengali infiltrators" the Muslim member countries of the ASEAN fell in line to accept it. This is indeed the depth of

moral collapse of the leaders of neighboring Muslim states. Even the common citizens of these Muslim countries didn't display any moral responsibility towards these innocent victims. They are not found on the streets to protest against this genocide.

The mammoth lie and the pretext of genocide

The history of Arakan –the original name of today's Rakhine state and the history of the Rohingya Muslims didn't start with the military rule in Myanmar. Nor did it begin with the country's independence in 1948. It has a long history and a huge Islamic legacy. Arakan was always open to the outside world –especially to the Muslim traders and the Islamic missionaries through its long coastal borders, which is much longer than that of Myanmar itself. Before the initiation of ethnic cleansing, the Rohingya Muslims were in majority in Arakan. Prior to the Burmese invasion in the eighteenth century, the forefathers of these Rohingya Muslim people had a Muslim sultanate there. The state even extended to the south-eastern part of Bangladesh. Chittagong –the largest port city of Bangladesh was part of it. In the fifteenth and sixteenth century AD, the Bengali language got a huge boost in the royal palace of Arakan. Most of the noted contemporary Bengali poets were related to its royal court. In the whole history of Arakan, even in the history of Myanmar, there doesn't exist a single mention that the Rohingya Muslims were the foreign intruders. They are known as the son of the soil. On the contrary, the Burmese Buddhists -known as the Rakhine minority in Arakan, are immigrants from the central Buddhist heartland of Myanmar.

Building border on ethnic or linguistic lines is a very recent phenomenon in human history. Such a barrier didn't exist in South Asia even 100 years ago. Therefore, a man from Yangon in Myanmar could easily travel to Kolkata in India or Dhaka in Bangladesh without any barrier and could build a house or open a business there. It was also true for a man journeying in the opposite direction. Hence, one can easily find ethnic, linguistic, or religious linkage or continuity spread over all the south Asian countries. And Myanmar is not an

exception. Bangladesh itself is a multi-ethnic and multi-religious country with millions of people having ethnic and religious linkage with the people of various states of India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran, Central Asia, Arab, Turkey, and Myanmar. Hence, one can easily discover trans-border ethnic, linguistic, or religious continuity spread over Bangladesh and its neighbors. Such linkage is also true for the people living in Myanmar. It is a process of slow social diffusion that takes place through ages. It is indeed the part of human history that caused such a mix-up of people of different races, languages, and religions through centuries.

Hence, such an ethnic linkage should not be used to commit "push-in", forced eviction, or genocide against any people. Only the people with extreme racism and pathological hatred against people of other religions or races can deny such sociological reality. Awfully, the ultranationalist civil and military elite of Myanmar have proven to be infected with such toxic moral disease of racism cum radical nationalism. To make the situation much worse, they have powerful patrons like China, Russia, and India to support their crime. As a result, the UN, the International Criminal Court, and other international bodies fail to bring the terrible war criminals to justice. Therefore, the war criminals are let loose to do all the worst crimes on earth. And the Rohingya Muslims in Myanmar continue to suffer. The western leaders thought that keeping a blind eye on the Myanmar government was necessary to help Aung San Suu Kyi's elected government to get strength. But such opportunity was not allowed to the democratically elected government in Palestine and Egypt. They didn't need to commit any genocidal crime to get toppled by the west-sponsored military junta. However, Aung Sun Suu Kyi's government now stands deposed and she herself is now in jail. Despite her advocacy for the military criminals in the International Criminal Court, also became a victim of the Myanmar military. Friendship with wolves only can cause disaster; Aung Sun Suu Kyi proved that.

Aung San Suu Kyi –while in power showed her gross cognitive inability to see even the most robust crimes of the Army. On 2 November 2017, after more than a month of the initiation of the massive crime, she

could take some time to visit Arakan. Strangely, visible evidence of war crimes of the Myanmar Army like mass murder, torture and rape, arson and wholesale destruction of villages, and forcible expulsion from their home appeared to her as the bipartisan quarrel between the Rohingya Muslims and the local Rakhine Buddhists. As if, the Rohingya Muslims are the equal partner of the same crime. As if, the Rohingya Muslims' participation has caused similar mass murder, torture and rape, arson and wholesale destruction of villages and expulsion from their home on the local Buddhists, too.

Islamophobia is the cause

Because of the politically motivated Islamophobia and the extreme form of hatred against the Muslims, the Myanmar government, the Buddhist monks, and the ultra-nationalist Burmese political elites want to roll back the whole march of history and want to give a fabricated narrative with their sick ultranationalist motive. For that, they are not only inventing new lies but also committing appalling crimes. They have already demonstrated by their action that they want to destroy all the elements of existing Islamic history, legacies, icons, and the Muslim institutions of Arakan. They are committed to giving Arakan a new identity and want to make it a land exclusively for the Buddhist Rakhine tribe. Therefore, the genocidal cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims has been so fundamental to their political objective. To start the process decisively, they needed to put a new name for the old state of Arakan. Hence, it is renamed as Rakhine state after the name of the minority Buddhist Rakhine tribe. In order to attain full *Bhuddhistisation* of Muslim Arakan, they started genocidal cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims. This is why, along with dismantling the mosques and the Islamic schools, ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims became the central piece of their military operation.

A new Israel

The Myanmar government, the ultranationalist civil and military

elites, and the Buddhist monks are not ready to accept the Rohingya Muslims as part of Myanmar. They label them illegal Bengali infiltrators from Bangladesh. As if Arakan –now Rakhine state was a land without any inhabitants in the past. What could be the worst fabrication of history than this? A lie always gives birth to evils. Thus lies can cause catastrophic crimes on earth. Such lies have always been manufactured and used by war criminals to ignite a war against others only to support their criminal motives. That has exactly happened in the case of the current war against the Rohingya Muslims. Here, the fabrication of history has indeed reached a massive proportion. A lot of lies are being used to perpetuate a mammoth crime like the genocidal cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims. It is a ploy to bury all the traces of the Muslim history of Arakan and to manufacture legality to the newly created Buddhist Rakhine state –as are being done in Palestine by the Jews.

Myanmar has become a new Israel in South East Asia. It is bizarre and extremely inhuman that the whole ethnic group of Rohingya Muslims should lose their citizenship and meet the genocidal cleansing because of their ethnicity, skin color, language, and religious belief. The annulment of citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims was indeed an expression of a robust apartheid agenda of the Myanmar government. It was done only to invent the necessary pretext to portray these artificially made stateless people as the infiltrators from Bangladesh –thereby justifying their forced eviction.

In order to promote blatant lies, the Myanmar government is ignoring some basic historical facts. It is well known that before the annulment of citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims by the military rulers in 1982, the same Rohingya people used to be elected as the member of the parliament. They served in the government offices and even in the Army. The Rohingya children used to get admission in schools, colleges, and universities and enjoy other civic rights. But when the racially motivated Army grabbed the power, everything changed. By mass scale annulment of citizenship of more than a million of its known citizens, the Myanmar government has indeed made a pretext for the annihilation of a people with a dissimilar race,

religion, and language from the Buddhist majority. So far, no country in the world has made its own known citizens stateless in such a huge number.

Myanmar is a country impregnated with many old insurgency wars. Many ethnic tribes want independence from Myanmar. It is worth noting that despite separatist war by many ethnic and linguistic entities for many decades, the citizenship of those insurgents has never been annulled. There is no proof that the Rohingya Muslims did any war against the state of Myanmar. But the government is behaving differently with the Rohingya Muslims. Not only they were deprived of citizenship, rather a genocidal war has been imposed on them. The war has caused torture, death, rape, arson, and eviction of more than 900,000 unarmed people.

In Myanmar, the Rohingya Muslims are portrayed as the enemy of the majority Buddhists. They are labeled as the infiltrators from neighboring Bangladesh and projected as the existential threat for the Buddhist people of Myanmar. Thus, they created the fabricated justification for a war of ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims from Myanmar. The Army, the mainstream media, the political leaders, and the Buddhist monks worked hand in hand to distort history. All the consequential atrocities that are inflicted on about 2 million Rohingya people took their origin from such falsification of history. In 1982, Myanmar's military government annulled the existing citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims. With a stroke of a pen, the military junta of Myanmar could create the largest number of stateless people on earth. As if, who should enjoy the entitlement of citizenship of a country is subject to the whim of the ruling elite. More than a thousand years' history of the Rohingya Muslims proved pointless in deciding their entitlement in their own birthplace. What could be the worst injustice than such ethnically motivated annulment of citizenship of a population?

The story didn't end there. They launched a hateful propaganda campaign to make people support the Army-run war against the Rohingya Muslims. The racist monks are also taken as partners in such toxic propaganda. Even Aung San Suu Kyi's party "League for Democracy" also became a part of it. The Army's genocidal war aimed at ethnic

cleansing of the whole population of the Rohingya Muslims from their birthplace. The war could cause the fastest-growing refugees in human history; more than half a million were evicted only in 3 weeks. Thus the Myanmar Army could cause a man-made disaster of catastrophic proportions. It is worth noting that after the dismissal of Aung Sun Suu Kyi's civilian government, hundreds of thousands of her supporters protested in the streets. But there was no protest on the streets while the worst genocidal crimes were being done against the Rohingya Muslims. This shows how racism, nationalism, and Islamophobia lethally damage the moral fabric of the common men and women. As a result, the people then lose the ethical instinct to condemn even the worst evil on the earth.

The Army and other Islamophobes called the war against the Rohingya Muslims a legitimate campaign to free Myanmar from illegal infiltrators from Bangladesh. The enforced delegitimization of citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims is being used not only to use it as a ploy to justify the cancellation of all political, economic, educational, job, and health care entitlement of the Rohingya Muslims but also to launch a war on them. Thus, even the survival right of the Rohingya Muslims on the soil of their birth is taken away.

The geopolitics and the ideological congruity

Hypocrisy and trickery have always been parts of the imperialists' policy against Muslims. Justice, morality, and human rights have no space in their politics. The imperialist-led UNO promised a plebiscite for deciding the fate of Kashmir -whether to go to Pakistan or India or stay independent. But that didn't happen. The UNO and all the western and the eastern powers promised the right of return to the evicted Palestinians to their ancestral homes. But that didn't happen either. The Palestinians still live in concentration camps. These are ghettos in the occupied West Bank, Lebanon, Jordan, and Syria. On their cleansed lands, the Israeli government built luxurious apartments for Jewish immigrants from different parts of the world. Thus the foreign Jews are being enticed to settle in Palestine. Those

who decide the fate of world politics pay blind eyes to such an awful show of obvious crimes. The same calamitous crime is being perpetrated against the Rohingya Muslims.

Arakan –the Rakhine state has enormous economic and geopolitical strategic importance. The ruling Burmese nationalist majority planned to confiscate 1.2 million hectares of land of Rakhine state. They need this land to build Special Economic Zones (SPZ) for Japanese, Chinese, Indian, and other multinational companies. They need land to build a deep seaport for China and another deep seaport for India. Till January 2017, China's investment in Myanmar reached \$18.53 billion and considers Myanmar an important tool in its One Belt, One Road initiative. China, India, and Russia also find strong ideological congruity to support the Myanmar Army. The Russians have their Muslim Caucasus, China has its Xin Xiang and India has its Kashmir to practice similar crimes against the native Muslims.

The Myanmar government needs a huge area of empty land to build barracks for the Army and the other security forces to ensure security to these economic establishments. Hence they needed to ethnically cleanse the originally settled Rohingya Muslims from the land. To show the justification of the crime, they needed to do another crime. In 1982, they deprived the Rohingya Muslims of their nationality right. Now they are telling the world that the Myanmar Army is evicting the foreigners who have no legality to stay in Myanmar. Whereas they have manufactured such illegality only for the political, racial, and economic interests. Now they have given the evicted people a new tag of identity called refugees.

The same crime happened against the Palestinians. Birth in Palestine, homes in Palestine, and thousands of years' ancestral history in Palestine didn't receive any importance to decide the rights of the Palestinians to stay in Palestine. They were made refugees in foreign lands. But those who were born in Europe, America, and other parts of the world and never lived in Palestine are given readymade citizenship rights. Thus an illegal state is built for the alien immigrants on a forcefully occupied Palestine. And such an illegal state was given legality by the UNO. Thieves, robbers, drug traffickers, women

traffickers, and other mafias have their own clubs and societies. There they enjoy a cultural milieu to celebrate their most heinous crimes. They congratulate each other for their audacity in crimes. The same is true with war criminals. Awfully the UNO has become such a club for them. Hence the USA's illegal occupation of Afghanistan and Iraq was never condemned but got duly ratified in that club. This is why the perpetrators of genocidal crimes in Myanmar don't stand alone either. They have powerful patrons to appreciate their crimes. China, India, Russia, and Japan stand firmly on their side. Hence no one on the earth dare prosecute their crimes.

No ray of light in the tunnel

The Bangladesh government works on its own plan. The government is using all of its diplomatic channels to persuade the Myanmar government to take back the Rohingya refugees. But Bangladesh possesses little diplomatic muscle to influence the policy of the arrogant Myanmar Army. The UNO, the USA, the EU, and other world powers also failed to make any influence. A plan doesn't work if it doesn't possess enough political will and power of leverage. As a result, about a million Rohingya refugees still suffer in horrific refugee camps in Bangladesh.

In 1992, the Bangladesh government achieved some success with the collaboration of the UNO to persuade the Myanmar government to take back some of the refugees. But that didn't help them to return back to their own ancestral homes. They are kept in prison-like concentration camps made for the displaced people. Their lands, homesteads, and business establishments still stay occupied by the Army, the Police, and other state institutions.

The restoration of citizenship of the Rohingya Muslims is the key to solve the problem. Only then they will be entitled to enjoy basic human rights and other legal entitlement. Otherwise, the mere return to Myanmar will not solve the problem. They will enter from a refugee camp in Bangladesh to another prison-like refugee camp in Myanmar. It

is the grotesque failure of the UNO and other big players that didn't go further to pressurize the Myanmar government to restore the citizenship right of the Rohingya people. As a result, the statement stays. China still stands firmly behind the Myanmar Army. So the suffering of the Rohingya people continues. They don't see any ray of light in the long dark tunnel. 1st edition 15/11/2017; 2nd edition 17/07/2021.