

# Political, religious & demographic dynamics in South Asia & threatened co-existence of people with pluralities

## The myth of 'Rising Asia' & the reality

'Rising Asia' now runs like a myth in many Asian and non-Asian countries. But such a myth hides many awful realities. The case of 'rising Europe' in the past with its mighty economy, military and technology provides valuable insights to analyze the so-called myth of 'rising Asia'. While Europe showed its fastest economic growth, it also showed its fastest morale down-turn. Because of such moral down-turn, Europe could become the fertile breeding ground for evil ideologies like colonialism, imperialism, white supremacy, nationalism, racism and fascism. In fact, genocidal wars, colonial wars, World Wars and ethnic cleansing owe to such a moral downturn. In those rising days of the west, the moral down-turn went so low that it couldn't find any wrong in the elimination of Red Indians from Americas, aborigines from Australia and Maoris from New Zealand. And, killings could be done on an industrial scale. As a result, they could kill about 75 million people only in the two World Wars. This is why, the worst catastrophe in the whole history is not caused by earthquakes, epidemics, cyclones or tsunamis; but by humans.

The same myth of economic development now dominates the socio-political spectrum of South Asia. The economic development has overruled the importance of moral development. And moral ill health or death now shows its own expression. In India, Muslims are being lynched to death on the alleged accusations that they eat beef. In such a pretext, 25 Muslims are killed by the Hindu radicals in a period from 2015 to the middle of 2017. (Ananda Bazar Patrika, 2020).

In 1992, more than 500-year-old historical Babri Mosque in Ayodhya was razed to the ground on a mythological assumption that Ram –a Hindu deity was born there. The Supreme Court of India declared in its recent judgment that there exists no evidence that the mosque was built on a Hindu temple. Surprisingly, the absence of evidence couldn't prohibit the Supreme Court judges from incorporating the Hindutva motive in their judgment. Therefore, the judges gave the dismantled mosque site to the perpetrators of the crime to build a temple there! Whereas in a previous ruling, the same judiciary condemned the destruction of the mosque as a heinous crime. Therefore, with the Hindutva fascists in power, everything looks changed. The police, the prosecution, the Hindutva politician, the judiciary, the media and the executive branch of the government now work together to promote the same Hindutva objective. Now, the appeasement of the ruling Hindutva forces overrules the delivery of fair justice.

The Muslim population of India is about 200 million –larger than the combined population of France, Germany, and the UK. The development of a state is indeed the aggregate development of all people of all denominations. Hence hindered access to education and training, employment opportunities for everyone and optimum utilization of every talent and skills are vital. Any obstruction or exclusion of anyone restricts development. The GDP of the country is indeed the summation of added values not only on land and materials but also on every individual. Therefore, if 200 million people are kept out of the value-adding process and employment, how can a country progress? Awfully such a basic rule of development is ignored only to harm Muslims. They also ignore to realize that such a policy immensely harms India. The impact reflects on the economic indicators. In terms of per capita income and hunger index, India stands lower than even Bangladesh –the bottomless basket case of the early seventies.

Currently, Muslims constitute about 15 percent of the Indian population; but only 4 percent of them are graduate and only 5 percent are in the government jobs. (Sachar Committee Report, 2006). The maximization of the economic wellbeing of the Hindus and their access to the government offices are being achieved and sustained by maximum

deprivation or exclusion of the Muslims. Such a discriminatory and restrictive policy was first initiated by the British colonial occupiers since they snatched power from the Muslim rulers. But it hasn't been changed by the subsequent Indian governments. Now, with the Hindutva forces in power, the exclusion of the Muslims has become overt government policy. More than 44 million Muslims live in Uttar Pradesh –the country's largest province, but in the 2014 election, not a single Muslim was allowed to sit in the parliament. It is also worth noting that the Hindutva forces are not happy with the current level of exclusion, they want to put more restrictions. In order to put permanent prohibition, they want to deprive Muslims of their citizenship rights. So, tools like National Citizenship Registrar (NCR) and the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) are introduced. BJP's West Bengal chief told in public that 10 million Muslims will be evicted from West Bengal by deploying NCR. (Ananda Bazar Patrika, 17 February 2020). Millions of Muslims are targeted to make them stateless on the accusation that they have illegally infiltrated from Bangladesh. Bangladesh has already labeled it baseless and has expressed its refusal to take any stateless people from India. Hence such stateless Muslims face no option but to stand de-enfranchised and dumped in concentration camps. Already such concentration camps are being constructed in the state of Assam where 1.9 million people stand stateless because of exclusion from NRC. Such an inhuman act stands unique in the whole human history.

The Hindutva fascists want to make India a Hindu state –as envisioned by their guru Savarkar. Muslims and other minorities will survive only as second class citizens without any social, political and economic participation. This is why even the publication of the Sachar Committee Report that exposed sheer deprivation of the Muslims is so unwelcome in the Hindutva circle. Their argument is clear. While they do not bother to alleviate the deprivation, why do they need its publication? The Muslim rule in India in the past is being used to ignite the politics of revengeful anguish against the present days' Muslims. In fact, the resurgence of such Hindu vengeance forms the basis of Hindutva politics in India and gave birth to the world's largest NGO called Rashtriya Shevok Sangha (RSS). The sole objective

of RSS is to make India a Hindu state.-(Andersen, Walter; Damla, Shridhar, 1987). In the early days of independent India, RSS leaders opposed the Indian constitution for three main reasons. These are 1). its secular character, 2). non-inclusion of the ancient law of Manu, 3). equal rights for people of all castes and religions. (Hadiz, Vedi). They also opposed the tri-color Indian national flag. Instead, they wanted a saffron flag as an icon of ancient Hindu identity. For 52 years since independence, they didn't hoist tri-color Indian national flag in RSS headquarter; for the first time they did it in 2002. (The Times of India, 2002).

While M.S Golwalker became the RSS President in 1940, he set it a goal not to fight against the British. (M.S Golwalker, 1974). Muslims were stipulated as the number one enemy and not the British occupation. Hence, from day one of its inception in 1925, RSS was focussed on mobilizing and strengthening the Hindutva recruits to fight against the Muslims. In 1927, within two years of its creation, the RSS launched its first Muslim cleansing operation in its birthplace in Nagpur in Maharashtra. Its militants launched an organized attack against the local Muslims and the campaign went on for 3 days and forced the Muslims to leave the city. The creation of Pakistan in 1947 infuriated the RSS cadres; as if Pakistan has cut into pieces their mother India. Now they take revenge from the captivated Muslims who didn't migrate to Pakistan. They consider every Indian Muslim a traitor cum termite and a Pakistani insider. (Ashish Nandi, 2002). For its terrorist activities, the RSS was banned 4 times in the past: in 1947, in 1948, in 1975 and in 1992. During British raj, it was banned for its communal terrorism by the provincial government of Punjab in 1947. In 1948, it was banned for killing Gandhi. In 1992, it was banned for destroying the historic Babri Mosque. Since Narendra Modi - a lifelong member of RSS, became the Prime Minister, everything changed. The RSS is no more alone in its mission; the whole state apparatus, the media, the police, and the army are now working hand in hand to attain the Hindutva objective. The policy of political and economic exclusivity and even cleansing operations against the religious minorities gets sanitized in the name of the democratic rights of the majority. Thus, the Hindutva majority has established

marginalization of the minorities and deprivation of their basic rights as the new normal.

The NRC project was first started in the north-eastern state of Assam. Because of it, 1.9 million people became stateless. Contrary to the Hindutva expectation, more than 70% of the victims turned out to be Hindus. Since exclusion of Hindus has never been the agenda of the ruling Hindutva extremists, the Citizenship Act was quickly amended to award them citizenship. But the door is kept closed for the stateless Muslims. A Hindu –even if born outside India, can get citizenship because of his religious identity, but a Muslim born in India needs to submit documents for that. Thus, NRC and CAA are being used as the discriminatory instruments against Muslims either to evict them as a foreign intruder or dump them in newly built concentration camps as de-enfranchised and de-humanized alien creatures.

### Cross-party epidemic

Like a virus, toxic ideologies never stay confined within the premise of a single political, religious or terrorist outfit. It shows a cross-party, cross-country and cross-border epidemic. Hence, what is happening in India, Myanmar and Sri Lanka against the Muslims shows similar symptoms and signs. This is why, the anti-Muslim hatred in Indian politics is not a monopoly of the RSS-BJP axis, and the parties like the Indian National Congress and many others have also been infected with the same toxicity. The spell of the Hindutva venom over the politics of the Indian National Congress was exposed during the election campaign of 1989. To appease the Hindu voters, Rajiv Gandhi –the Congress Party's incumbent Prime Minister attempted to snatch the Hindutva mantra from the RSS-BJP family by outplaying the issue. He started his campaign from the district of Faizabad –known for its historic Babri Mosque. Dispelling the previous secular stand of the Congress, Rajiv Gandhi took the banner of the Hindutva agenda in his own hand. He promised inauguration of Rama Rajya –the rule and the kingdom of Rama, in India.-(Pradeep Nayak, 1993). It was a clear departure from the declared secular ideology of the Congress. He even opened the door of the Babri Mosque for the Hindu worshippers –which was strategically avoided by every Congress and non-Congress

government in the past. By adopting such a communal agenda, Rajiv Gandhi enhanced Hindutva radicalization of his own party the Indian National Congress. He preferred getting more votes over higher human values, secular ideology, justice and respect for the minority rights. Such a policy of sliding towards the Hindu majority vote bank and turning a blind eye towards the Muslim minority prompted the Muslims –the party’s traditional vote bank to quickly leave Congress. Along with the loss of Muslim votes, his hoax to amass Hindu votes didn’t work either. He failed to defeat the old and original vanguards of the Hindutva outfit like BJP. As a result of losing Muslim votes, the Congress Party ceased to exist as an electable contender of power in Indian national politics. It could show up only as a partner of regional parties to share power in some smaller states.

However, Rajiv Gandhi is not the only Congress leader to embrace the Hindutva ideology. Even, Mr. Nehru -the first Prime Minister of independent India didn’t prove different either. Nehru couldn’t hide his affinity towards Hindutva extremism while he embraced Shyama Prasad Mukherjee as his first post-independence cabinet colleague. Incorporating a leader in the cabinet doesn’t go alone, it needs to accommodate his ideologies, too. Mr. Mukherjee is known as one of the most original gurus of Hindutva radicalization of Indian politics. He was the former President of Hindu Mahashava and the founder of Jana Sangha –the parental organizations of today’s BJP.

Nehru’s policy towards the Muslim rule in Hyderabad and the Muslim population in Kashmir –the only Muslim majority state in India, also proved terribly sinister. The first post-independence genocidal massacre of the Muslims that took place in Hyderabad in 1948 wasn’t the crime of RSS-BJP goons. It happened under the watch of Congress Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru. His government didn’t bother to do a body count of the dead. The lowest estimate of Muslim death has been reported to be 40, 000 (Komireddi, 2019). In another estimate, it is 200, 000. (Noorani, 2001). Muslim houses were looted and thousands of Muslim women were raped. Thugs and rapists were let loose to commit the crime, and hardly anyone was punished for such a horrendous crime.

Under Nehru’s rule, a massive genocide also took place in Jammu –a

southern district in united Kashmir. Prior to Indian occupation in 1947, Jammu had a Muslim majority population. The genocide was carried out under the direct command of Dogra Maharaja Hari Singh of Kashmir - as a part of the desperate move in his last days of rule, to make it Hindu majority area. Since there was no body-count, there exists gross variation on number of the death. It is stated 20,000 to 100,000 Muslims were killed and many more were evicted from their home. (Snedden, Christopher, 2015). But most of the researchers agree that RSS cadres were brought in to conduct the genocide under the direct watch of Maharaja Hari Singh. (Chattha, 2009). Although Prime Minister Nehru considered Hari Singh guilty for the massacre but gave him a safe sanctuary in Mumbai. (Praveen Swami, 2007). Because of signing the 'Instrument of Accession to India', Hari Singh has not only been exempted from legal prosecution but also his genocidal crime was airbrushed from history. (Komireddi, 2019).

Mr. Narasima Rao -Congress Party's another former Prime Minister also showed anti-Muslim sentiment from his early career. He joined an armed group to fight against the Muslim ruler in Hyderabad. (K.S. Komireddi, 2019). While he was the Prime Minister, he allowed the destruction of the Babri Mosque by his visible inaction. His government showed utter failure to prosecute those Hindutva extremists who committed such a heinous crime. Mr. Pranab Mukherjee -a former President of India and leader of the Congress Party also couldn't hide his affinity towards RSS. He visited Nagpur -the birthplace of RSS, to pay his deep homage to the RSS founder Mr. Hedgewar in 2018. The issue didn't stop at his homage; Mr. Mukherjee exulted the RSS founder as "great son of Mother India" in the visitor's book. Only a man with the full endorsement of Mr. Hedgewar's Hindutva ideology could write such a high eulogy. On behalf of RSS, it was acknowledged that after Mr. Pranab Mukherjee's homage to Hedgewar, there was an upsurge in RSS's membership. It is worth noting that Mr. Pranab Mukherjee is not alone to show the affinity to Hindutva ideology. Congress Party -the so-called secularist outfit proved to be embedded with many more like Mr. Mukharjee. In 1954, a Congress MP named Seth Govind Das moved a resolution in the Indian parliament for imposing a total ban on cow slaughter. Mr. Vasan Sathe -another leading Hindutva fan in Congress,

threatened to resign from the party if the party opposes the installation of a portrait of Mr. Savarkar –the original guru of Hindutva politics in India, in the parliament. (Naqvi, 2019).

Mr. Hedgewar's ideology and his political objective were never hidden. Mr. Hedgewar proclaimed that India is a country only for the Hindus, and consistently loathed the Muslims. He could never swallow the six hundred years' Muslim rule in India. He considered Muslims' rule as a disgrace for the Hindus, and showed his obsession to take the revenge. Like Savarkar, Golwalker and other Hindutva ideologues, he couldn't think of Muslims as equal citizens. His obsession to take revenge prompted him to form the RSS. His successor Golwalker was an admirer of Hitler and his policies towards the Jews. He even wrote that Nazi Germany provides a "good lesson for us in Hindusthan to learn and profit by." In such writings, Golwalker's implied message is clear. The Germans had the Jews and the Indians have the Muslims. Hitler had nothing to teach Indians on Hindutva ideology but had a lot to teach how to carry out genocidal cleansing of the Muslims –as he did against the Jews. Hitler could also teach how he was successful to mobilize and motivate the German people to commit one of the ugliest crimes on earth like taking Jews to the gas chambers. Mr. Golwalker was highly impressed by Hitler's quick success; hence asked his Hindu compatriots to apply the same methodology against the Indian Muslims. How a man with an iota of morality can endorse or appreciate such a toxic ideology? But Mr. Pronab Mukharjee traveled to Nagpur to pay homage to the icon of such ideology. A Muslim can easily understand how dreadful to live amidst hordes of such indoctrinated people. In a conventional war, fear of death runs only through those who are on the battlefield. But for Muslims, the whole of India had turned into a fear-mongering corrosive battlefield.

Deployment of more than 700,000 troops in Kashmir –the only Muslim majority state in India, tells another story of on-going marginalization and persecution of the minority in India. Kashmir is now the most militarized zone in the whole world. Because of the recent abrogation of Article 370, Kashmir nor more exist as a state; it has become a federal territory directly under the rule of Delhi.

The whole state has turned into a prison. As a part of the punitive measures, curfew has been imposed for months after months. To disconnect them from the outside world, internet services are either cut-off or given restricted access. Thousands of people are taken to prisons outside Kashmir. Such state-run killing, oppression, injustices, and marginalization only could work as the precursor of social unrest and militancy among the victims. As usual, such state-run terrorism gets cover-up in the name of keeping stability and fighting the militancy. Because of the similar punitive policy of the Myanmar government against the minority Rohingya Muslims, the country could become the “textbook case of ethnic cleansing”. The situation in Sri Lanka is not different either; it only differs in severity from that of India and Myanmar. There too, the Muslim and Tamil minority suffer in the hand of the Buddhist Sinhalese majority.

All RSS compounds in India indeed work as training centers to make the party cadres ready for a Hindutva victory over the whole of South Asia. The division of the subcontinent into India, Pakistan and Bangladesh has never been accepted by them; Gandhi –the father of India’s independence was murdered for accepting the division. The map that is displayed in the RSS central office in Nagpur doesn’t show Pakistan and Bangladesh. (Al Jazeera English documentary). Accepting the RSS project to make India a Hindu state is projected as a marker of patriotism. Failing to fall in line with such an RSS vision is labeled as a Pakistani insider; and gets subjected to public lynching, rape, torture and mass slaughter on streets and also in domestic premises.

### **Muslims aren’t the only target**

Muslims are not the only target of the Hindutva fascists. Non-Hindus like Sikhs, Christians and the untouchables also become the victims. What happened in Delhi in 1984 in the aftermath of the assassination of Prime Minister Indira Gandhi is indeed an organized slaughter of Sikh men, women, and children abetted by the state. By official estimate, 3,000 Sikhs were murdered. The unofficial figure is much

higher. Surprisingly, the Government ruled by Congress didn't intervene –as was the case in 2002 in an anti-Muslim pogrom in Gujrat under Modi's watch. Two weeks later Rajiv Gandhi used a clarifying metaphor: when a big tree falls, the earth shakes a little. (Komireddi, 2019). The bloodbath of Sikhs appeased the Hindutva radicals so much that in the 1984 election, the Hindutva activists like RSS cadres campaigned for Rajiv Gandhi. He was elected with 416 out of 543 seats in the parliaments. It was indeed one of the greatest electoral victories in the history of the Indian National Congress. So, it ratifies the reality that if politics gets polarised with vitriolic hatred and massacre against minorities, it brings landslide electoral victory to the perpetrators. In a milieu of such ideological intoxication, perpetrators of innocent men, women, and children of the minority community never get prosecuted; rather receive honor with electoral triumph. In fact, Mr. Norendra Modi and his party BJP are the perfect examples of that. Because of the heinous atrocities against the minorities in Gujrat, Muzaffarnagar and other parts of India, Mr. Modi and his BJP could quickly jump up in the popularity ladder. In such politics of populism, higher values or principle doesn't work. This is why it is the political strategy of the extremist forces to invent more pretexts to scale up atrocities against the minority. In Kandhamal in Orissa, Dalit converts to Christianity were the target of two and a half months of violence that left at least 16 dead and 40, 000 driven out of their homes. (The Hindu, 2008). It is worth mentioning, that Christians were persecuted even by Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru in his early days of rule. He authorized the bombing of the north-eastern Christians majority state of Nagaland for demanding the same independence that India got from the British. (Komireddi, 2019).

### **Right to rule as if the right to murder**

The appointment of Mr. Norendra Modi as the chief minister of Gujrat tells a lot about the political objective of the Hindutva forces in India. He was groomed as an obedient RSS cadre. To give more time and commitment to the Hindutva mission, he even left his newly married

wife. In 1992, he played a key role in mobilizing the RSS cadres to destroy the Babri mosque. Such a role enhanced his credentials among the key stakeholders of the party. In early October 2001, Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee summoned Narendra Modi to his residence and offered him the chief minister's job of Gujrat.-(K.S. Komireddi, 2019).

Mr. Vajpayee is usually projected as a moderate leader in the RSS-BJP camp. But how a moderate man can be compatible with an extremist man who could engineer the destruction of a mosque? People of opposite intentions and ideologies can never work together. They need an ideological match. Later on, Modi as a chief minister of Gujrat revealed not only his own color but also the color of Mr. Vajpayee. That moment came within 4 months of his appointment. In 2002, a train carrying Hindu extremists returning from the site of demolished Babri mosque caught fire. Fifty-eight people were burned to death. Mr. Narendra Modi didn't wait for the police inquiry, rather grab it as an opportunity to do what he wanted to do. He instantly called it the work of the Muslim extremists. Modi's announcement was enough to incite a genocidal massacre against the innocent Muslims in Gujrat. In one Muslim neighborhood, a mob of about five-thousands Hindus made their way through a slum and hacked ninety-seven Muslims to death and a mosque was blown up with liquefied petroleum. Across the road from the scene of carnage, stood a reserve police quarters. But no one from there lifted a finger. (Human Rights Watch, 2002). In a civilized country, police reach within minutes if a house is under attack by a killer or robber. But Modi proved that India hasn't reached to that stage. Under his rule, the state of Gujrat turned into a deep and distant jungle; no police appears in the site of carnage -not in hours, and not even in days. So the killing, the mass rape, and the arson continue unabated. Thus, as a chief minister, Modi showed his own complicity in the crime. He need not kill or rape anybody himself; but his robust inaction worked as a huge encouragement for the killers, the rapists, and the arsonists to commit such crimes in thousands.

In an anti-Muslim pogrom, the social position or contribution of a

Muslim makes no difference to make him the worst victim. Mr. Ehsan Jafri -a former MP of Indian Parliament, was a very prominent Muslim leader of Gujarat. He had close acquaintance with Sonia Gandhi –the leader of Congress and personally known to Prime Minister Mr. Vajpayee. Mr. Jafri was sheltering about 250 helpless Muslims in his residence. He spent hours making desperate calls to Chief Minister Modi's office but received no help. Modi turned deaf. In the end, he urged the attackers, "Whatever you want to do with me, do it; but please don't kill those who have taken shelter in my house." But his appeal fell on deaf ears. Mr. Jafri was dragged out of his house by the Hindu mob, tortured with all possible cruelty and sliced open with swords. In the end, he was burnt alive. Sixty-nine people of those who were seeking refuge inside Jafri's house were killed in broad daylight over seven hours. But during the long period of seven hours, the state police didn't bother to show up in the scene to stop this preventable massacre. (Vinod Jose, 2012).

### **The calamities of unequal development**

Social, political and economic calamities in South Asia also owe to the highly unequal development of people and society. Indicators like per capita income, GDP (Gross Domestic Product), life expectancy and purchasing power of the people can't capture information on all indispensable elements of inclusive development. The issues like moral and spiritual development, crime prevalence, per capita feeling of peace and sense of security, per capita access or deprivation of social, educational, economic and democratic rights, rule of law, state of access to the judiciary, and the state of persecution, deprivation, and marginalization of the weaker community still stay out of the focus. In fact, the UNDP's indicators like life expectancy, education, and purchasing power parity appear more narrow and restrictive to reveal any real state of the people. How one can give an estimate of human development without a proper estimate of the development of humans in humane parameters. The highest importance is given to the security of the state, economic prosperity, infrastructural development and purchasing power of the people. As a result, moral failures like the state-run policy of discrimination,

persecution, deprivation, fascism, concentration camps and even genocide against minorities stand unrecorded in a maze of so-called economic development. India is labeled as an economic tiger, but the basic human rights of millions that are consumed by these tigers stay ignored and unrecorded. Robbery, thievery, and persecution against other people can't be labeled as economic work. Similarly, development at the cost of deprivation of others can't be called real development either. Such asymmetrical and unjust developmental priorities were first seen in Europe and proved catastrophic for the whole of mankind. Because of wrong parameters, lethal ideologies like racism, nationalism, fascism, colonialism, imperialism and the projects like ethnic cleansing, the lynching of the minorities, slave trading, colonial wars, World Wars could find foot-soldiers to carry out the vicious plans.

It is true that the South Asian countries have made some economic progress. But the newly gained economic strength is enhancing the political ability of the ruling elite to execute their evil agenda. The fascists always thrive on political polarisation based on hatred against the people of other faith, land, and ethnicity. The multi-ethnic and multi-religious demography in India, Myanmar and Sri Lanka prove fertile for such polarisation. The majoritarian fascists could easily label a people of dissimilar ethnicity or religion as the enemy to perpetrate massacres. The German fascists could put a tag on the Jews fit for gas chambers. The fascists of India, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka could also put a tag on Muslims for discrimination, persecution, and elimination. The ethnic cleansing of the Rohingya Muslims in Myanmar, lynching and genocidal massacre of Muslims in India and attacks on the lives and properties of the Muslims in Sri Lanka tell a lot about the horrors that are being inflicted on the minorities in South Asia. In a hype of Islamophobia, such crimes usually get sanitized, belittled or ignored by the global players only to promote their business interests. Therefore the travel ban on Prime Minister Narendra Modi of India for alleged complicity in the massacre of about 2,000 – 5000 Muslims couldn't survive long. It was lifted by the USA and the EU, and his evil motive was ignored and sanitized to access a market of about 1.3 billion Indians. In such a permissive and

opportunistic world-order, China could construct the largest concentration camp on the planet for more than a million Uighur Muslims. So-called rising Asia indeed moving fast to that end.

## Conclusion

The fate of a people is not decided by mere economics; rather more decisively by dominant ideologies. While two World Wars caused terrible havoc on earth, the economy wasn't bad either. The persecution of people and peace can't go together. Therefore, toxic ideologies like fascism, racism, must nationalism, colonialism and imperialism that promote the persecution of people must not exist anywhere on earth. Otherwise, the peaceful co-existence of people with diversity becomes impossible. Then, war may not be on the frontiers but enters into cities, villages, and houses to kill innocent men, women, and children. Because of Hindutva fascists in India, military racists in Myanmar, power-grabbing fascists in Bangladesh and ethnoreligious opportunists in Sri Lanka such wars have already done great damage against the prospect of peace in South Asia. It is indeed a huge humanitarian as well as social science issue. The economy can't fight toxic ideologies; it needs an ideological fix. A strong economy can even strengthen the lethal power of evil ideologues –as German's strong economy did to fascist Hitler. It is indeed the most important responsibility of the social scientists to bring social pathology to the limelight so that the political activists, the social engineers, and the reformist philosophers can take cues to make an appropriate treatment plan. Only this way the growth and sustenance of human civilization can be influenced positively. Otherwise, in this global village, the peaceful co-existence of people with pluralities will survive only as a dream.

## Referen

1. Ananda Bazar Patrika, Kolkata. 5<sup>th</sup> February 2020).
2. Ananda Bazar Patrika, Kolkata, 17 February 2020
3. J. Johnson (2010). [\*A Dictionary of Hinduism\*](#). Oxford University Press. p. 142. [ISBN 978-0-19-861026-7](#).

4. Jaffrelot, Christophe [Hindu Nationalism: A Reader](#). Princeton University Press. pp. 14–15, 86–93.
5. Jaffrelot, Christophe (1996). *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics*, Hurst & Co.
6. Savarkar, Vinayak (1923). Hindutva: Who is a Hindu?
7. RSS in on a roll, number of shakhas, The Times of India,
8. 'Situation in Kandamal Out of control: Archbishop' The Hindu, 29 September, 2008.
9. Andersen, Walter; Damla, Shridhar, 1987. *The Brotherhood in Saffron: Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh and Hindu Revivalism*, Delhi, Vistaar Publications, 1987.
10. S Golwalker, 1974. *Shri Guruji Samgra Darshan*, Volume 4, Bharatiya Vichar Sadhna.
11. "Tri-colour hoisted at RSS centre after 52 years"; The Times of India, 26<sup>th</sup> January, 2002.
12. Snedden, Christopher, 2015; *Understanding Kashmir and Kashmiris*, Oxford University Press.
13. Chattha, Ilyas Ahmed, 2009; *Partition and its Aftermath, 1947-1961*, Centre of Imperial and Post-Colonial Studies, University of Southampton.
14. Hadiz, Vedi, *Empire and Neoliberalism in Asia*, Routledge, p. 252.
15. Praveen Swami, *India, Pakistan and the Secret Jihad: The Covert War in Kashmir, 1947-2004*, Routledge, Abingdon, 2007, p.15.
16. Noorani, A.G (3-16 March 2001), *Of a Massacre Untold*, Frontline,18 (5).
17. Basu, Tapan & Sarkar, Tanika; 1993. *Khaki Shorts and Saffron Flags: A Critique of the Hindu Right*, Orient Longman.
18. Chitkara, M.G; 2004. *Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh; National Upsurge*, APH Publishing.
19. Human Rights Watch, 2002. "We Have No Orders To Save You: State Participation and Complicity in Communal Violence in Gujrat", Volume 14, No. 3C, 2002. pp. 15-17.
20. Vinod Jose, 2012. *The Emperor Uncrowned*, Carawan, 1 March 2012.
21. Ashish Nandi, 2002. *Obituary of a Culture*, Seminar, May, 2002.
22. McKean, Lise 1996, [Divine Enterprise: Gurus and the Hindu](#)

[Nationalist Movement](#), University of Chicago Press.

23. Chetan Bhatt, 2001. [Hindu nationalism: origins, ideologies and modern myths](#). Berg. pp. 77 (context: Chapter 4). [ISBN978-1-85973-343-1](#).
24. S. Komireddi, 2019, Malevolent Republic –A Short History of the New India, C. Hurst & Co. 41 Great Russel Street, London, WC1B 3PL.
25. Human Rights Watch, 2019. Violent Cow Protection in India, 18 February, 2019, [hrw.org/report/2019/02/18/violent-cow-protection-india/vigilante-groups-attack-minorities#](http://hrw.org/report/2019/02/18/violent-cow-protection-india/vigilante-groups-attack-minorities#)
26. A.K. Niazi, 1998. The betrayal of East Pakistan, Manohar Publishers & Distributors, 26 Ansari Road, Daryaganj, New Delhi 110002
27. *Social, Economic and Educational Status of the Muslim Community of India: Prime Minister's High Level Committee, November 2006*, [www. Mhrd.gov.in/syes/upload](http://www.Mhrd.gov.in/syes/upload)).
28. "Social, Economic and Educational Status of the Muslim Community of India", Prime Minister's High Level Committee, November 2006:[www.mhrd.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/Sachar\\_comm.pdf](http://www.mhrd.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/Sachar_comm.pdf).
29. "Swami's confession", Frontline, Vol 28, 3 Jan 29 Feb 2011.
30. Ross Colvin and Satarupa Bhattachariya, "The remaking of Narendra Modi", Reuters, 12 July 2013:<https://in.reuters.com/article/india-modi-gujarat-bjp/special-report-the-remaking-of-narendra-modi-idINDEE96B00Y20130712>.
31. Javed Naqvi, Sept 17, 2019, Daily Dawn, Karachi, Pakistan.
32. [Stanley, Jason](#)(2018). *How Fascism Works: The Politics of Us and Them*. New York: Random House. pp.14-15.
33. Pradeep Nayak, 1993. The Politics of the Ayodhya Dispute, Commonwealth Publishers, New Delhi, p.120.

---

# Indian Pride & the Crimes of the Hindutva Fascists

## The fake pride & the reality

The Indian Hindus are very proud of their race, religion, culture, science and democracy. Such pride indeed forms the basis of the ongoing surge of Hindu supremacism in Indian politics. They boastfully claim that Hindu religion is the most ancient religion in human history. There is no doubt about its antiquity. But antiquity doesn't guarantee the truthfulness of a religion. Proven lies and ignorance can also survive through millenniums. For example, along with ancient lies, stone-age culture of nudity, cave life, hunting habits, food and drink habits and defaecation practices still survive among the humans in places like Nicobar Island and Papua New Guinea. No modern man appreciate such prehistoric practices as acceptable norms and humane civility. It is indeed the practice of ancient ignorance. The same is exactly true vis-à-vis Hindu religion. It hasn't move even an inch from its old beliefs and practices in thousands of years. Whatever they learned from the ancestors are incorporated in their religious beliefs as hundred percent true. They even feel proud of it; and never did bother to check its authenticity. Although ancient, it is indeed the religion of ancient ignorance and lies. Such prehistoric ignorance and lies are now deeply embedded in the Hindu mind-set in the name of religion.

Physical illness always expresses through physical symptoms. But the diseases of falsehood and ignorance expresses through robust religious, cultural, behavioural and political symptoms. Then, lynching, killing, raping, ethnic cleansing of people of other religion become the way of life. Under its

spell, people drink cow urine, but can't drink water from a fellow human of so-called lower cast. Awfully, such a disease has attained an all-time epidemic in India. It indeed appears with huge cultural, behavioural and political symptoms. The lethal toxicity on morality also shows its fall out. As a result, millions of Hindus with top university degrees can worship cows, snakes, idols and even replica of male genitals (of Shiva) as holy objects, but can't accept the Muslims, the Christians and the Adivasi people as neighbours. They want them make *ghar wapsi* (back to Hinduism) or face annihilation. What could be ugliest face of fascism than this?

The Indians are very proud of their missile, space and nuclear technology. But they stay silent on failures to provide safe drinking water, primary health care or sanitary toilets to its poor people. They boast as a country of great economy, but the world's largest poor population still live in India. They are very proud of their colleges, universities and intellectuals, but couldn't eradicate the dehumanising ideologies and faiths. Because of vile beliefs, they even celebrate killing a Muslim for eating beef. Whereas, religion is not for killing people. It for promoting peace, prosperity, morality and saving lives. But in India, in the name of religion, exactly the opposites are happening. Religion has been used to sanction burning alive the widows with their dead husband. It is a shame that a non-Hindu ruler had to stop the so-called religious practices. Instead of promoting equality and integration of people, religion is still being used to promote caste-based segregation and to restrict social mobility. In such a hateful ideological cum cultural milieu, how the Muslims, the Christians, the Adivasi and other non-Hindu people can expect honour, peace or security? Instead, it could only promote lynching, killing, rape, and deprivation. Because of its toxic spell, the law enforcing agencies too, show their moral death. This is why, while the Muslims get lynched to death by the Hindutva thugs, the police fail to show up in the crime spots. Even gang rapes, mass killings, and massive arsons against the

Muslims fail to prompt the police, the politicians and the government to stop such crimes –as seen in Gujrat, Mumbai, Muzaffarnagar, Assam and many other places!

The Indians feel very proud for being the largest democratic country in the world. But, is it the true democracy that rules the country? India is indeed the largest fascist country in the world. Democracy has its discrete defining markers. It isn't only elections. It gives not only the voting rights, but also the survival rights. It also ensures the basic human rights to all of its citizens irrespective of their religion, language or skin colour. It is about the rule of law; and none should be above the law. In democracy, the government must be made by the people, of the people and for the people. But such democracy doesn't exist in India. Instead, 200 million Muslims suffer the rule of brutal occupation by the brute Hindu majority. The Muslims and other minorities have been robbed not only of their human rights, but also the survival rights. Against the killers, rapists and arsonists, the police, the prosecution and the judiciary stand inactive if the accused belong to the Hindu majority and the victims are the Muslims. More than two thousand Muslims were killed in Gujrat, seldom anyone was punished. About 10 thousand people were killed in Nellie in Assam, but none was prosecuted. The outcome wasn't different while a Christina killings took place in Kandhamal in Odisha in 2008. All India Christian Council claims that 50 Christians were killed and 95 churches and 730 churches were burnt down by the Hindutva fascists there.

Democracy is about accommodating people of all faiths and all races in the governance of a country. But that doesn't work in India. Here, the Muslims are deliberately kept out of the power structure. While the Hindu supremacist party Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) won the election in 2014, 200 million Indian Muslim were given not even a single ministerial post in the cabinet. More than 15 percent of the Indian population are Muslims, but they are not given even 5 percent of the

government jobs. About 20 percent people of Uttar Pradesh are Muslim, but in 2014 election, not a single member of the provincial parliament was Muslim. Can democracy survive with such injustices, inequalities and exclusion of the citizens because of their religion?

### **The evil of Hindutva fascism**

Fascism died in Europe in World War II. But now, India stands as its perfect textbook case. Its worst victims are the Muslim minority. The term *Hindutva* (Hindut'ta in Bengali) first appeared in the mid-1870s in [Anandamath](#), a Bengali novel written by [Bankim Chandra Chattopadhyay](#). (W. J. Johnson 2010). Bankim Chandra was a government servant in the British raj and appeared as the father of Hindu supremacism and anti-Muslim communal discourse in Bengal. There is a song in the novel; its first stanza has been adopted as the national anthem of India. Prior to partition in 1947, there was also an attempt by the Hindu stakeholders in politics and in the administration to impose this song as an anthem in schools in Bengal. But, because of its explicit *Hindutva* content that are incompatible with the Islamic belief, the Bengali Muslims rejected it. Literally, *Hindutva* is Hinduness; it is an expression of Hindu ethno-cultural identity. *Hindutva* showed its malign face first in so-called Bengali renaissance through its closed-door exclusivity against the native Bengali Muslims who were the majority of the Bengal's population. The Bengali Hindus –with the British collaboration, tried to make their selfish advancement in education, literature, media, public service, business and other fields of the socio-economics leaving behind the Muslim compatriots. The Muslims were denied any space for social, political or economic advancement. While Mr. Chittaranjan Das of the Forward Block party and Mr. Hussein Shaheed Sohrawardi –a prominent Muslim politician, made a pact to create some space for the excluded Muslims, the Bengali Hindutva forces in politics, administration and media put an out-right obstruction. As a result it failed. Because

of such exclusive communal mind-set, even the famous Bengali novelist Sharat Chandra Chattopadhyay –a Hindu reformist and member of the Indian National Congress, couldn't consider the Bengali Muslims even as Bengali. So, he wrote: "there was a football match in our village between the Bengalis and the Muslims". Because of similar exclusive ethno-cultural mind-set, another Bengali Hindutva icon Syama Prasad Mukherjee formed Jana Sangh in 1951 that got transformed to Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) in 1980.

Ideology never stay confined within any geographical border; it spreads in all directions. Therefore, what started in Bengal in the form of Hindutva or Hindu's renaissance quickly overwhelmed the whole India. Before the emergence of communal outfits like RSS, Hindu Mahashava, Jana Sangh or BJP, a communal Marathi politician named Bal Gangadhar Tilak implanted Hindutva as a political narratives in the main stream movement of the Indian Hindu majority. (Chetan Bhatt, 2001). The most adorned icon of Hindutva is Sivaji – a Marathi warlord who made a desperate attempt to dismantle Muslim rule in India. In 1923, the term Hindutva was further reinforced by Vinayak Damodar Savarkar in his book "Essentials of Hindutva" in 1923. He used Hindutva to give an exclusive ethno-cultural identity to the people who follow the religions that are originated in India like Hinduism, Jainism, Buddhism and Sikhism. Being influenced by Savarkar's writings, Mr. Keshav Baliram Hedgewar –another Marathi and the founding father of the Rashtriya Sayamsevak Sangthya (RSS), added more aggressive militancy to the ideology. He made Hindutva a Hindu supremacist war cry against the Muslims. Later on, it has been commonly used by Vishay Hindu Parisad (VHP), Shiv Sena, Bajrang Dal and other Hindu communal forces to pursue the same socio-cultural and political objective.

Savarkar –the guru of Hidutva politics, always showed the same venom against the Muslims. He wrote: "Muslims were the real enemies, not the British". He argued that Islamic ideology

posed “a threat to the real nation, namely Hindu Rashtra”. (Jaffrelot, 2009). Savarkar assumed Muslims in the Indian police and military as “potential traitors”. He advocated that Indian government must reduce the number of Muslims in the military, police and public service and ban Muslims from owning or working in munitions factories. (Lise McKean, 1996). Madhav Sadashiv Golwalkar, the second RSS supremo after Savarkar also showed the same anti-Muslims venom. In 1938, he wrote about his vision of a Hindu India: “The non-Hindu people of Hindustan must either adopt Hindu culture and language, must learn and respect and hold in reverence the Hindu religion, must entertain no idea but of those of glorification of the Hindu race and culture...In a word, they must cease to be foreigners, or may stay in the country wholly subordinated to the Hindu nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges, far less any preferential treatment—not even citizens’ rights.” (Pakistan and a World in Disorder—A Grand Strategy for the Twenty-First Century, p.78)

The RSS ideology was explicitly influenced by the European fascist movements. Its leading politicians regularly praised Adolf Hitler of Germany and Mussolini of Italy in the late 1930s and 1940s. ([Jason Stanley](#), 2018). Those who adhere to the religions originated outside India -like Islam and Christianity, are labelled alien and inimical. They were prescribed *ghar wapsi* -returning back to home (Hinduism), as the only option to be acceptable to the Hindu. Therefore, those who stay adherent to non-Indian religions like Islam and Christianity are considered enemies. And such enmity gets readily expressed not only through anti-Muslim politics but also through frequent anti-Muslim pogrom, deprivation of their voting rights, and annulment of their citizenship rights along with denial of access to the government jobs.

If conceptually or politically a specific group of people are held enemy of the country –as is the case of the Muslims, how the so-called enemies could be given the equal human rights or

liberty by those who consider them enemies? Rather, any entitlement for the Muslims is out-rightly labelled as appeasement of the enemies. In a country ruled by the majoritarian supremacists, the people with a tag of classified enemies only face annihilation –as was the case of Jews in Nazi Germany. In such extreme hostile milieu, deprivation of human rights, political rights and even deprivation of survival rights become the reality. And India stands as an example of that. So in 2014, while BJP won the parliamentary election and Narendra Modi –a lifelong member of RSS became the Indian Prime Minister, the country's two hundred million Muslims didn't have a single member in the cabinet. Rather, persecution against them received a new intensity. Mr. Amit Shah -the President of BJP, labelled the Muslims as termite. It has an implied message. Such a tag of termite is only a prelude to massive genocide. Such leaders in power can only frame policies to exterminate the termites, not to give any space for their survival. Hitler put such a tag on the Jews, too. So, the German fascists made gas chambers to kill the Jews. But in India, the Hindutva fascists need not build gas chambers; they have more simpler and painful means to do the job. The Muslim houses are set on fire to kill them alive –as happened in Gujrat under Modi's rule in 2002.

### **The evil ideology and the fallout**

Humans' mind, deed and character are shaped by the embedded ideology. Politics, culture, behaviour, attitude and way of life of a man or a woman are indeed its exact expression. People become criminal not because of bad foods, drinks, climate or their physical attributes. In fact, it owes entirely to an evil ideology or belief. An evil ideology corrupts the conceptual model of the affected individuals; thereby provoke them to be its life-long agents on earth. This is why, the devils never poison the foods, the drinks or the climate, but the ideology. To warn the people on this key

issue, the All-knowing Creator had to reveal the sublime Truth in the holy Qur'an: "Tell (O Mohammad), "Everyone works as per his or her (*religious, ideological or conceptual*) model (*shakela*)."—(Sura Isra, verse 84).

It was indeed an eye-opening guidance to the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) that he must focus his works on dismantling the evil belief or corrosive conceptual models of the people. For the common men and women, the message is also very clear. To be true Muslim, they must reconstruct the ideological cum conceptual model with full enlightenment with the Divine knowledge. In fact, the human history is full of evidences that most of the heinous crimes on earth are done by corrupting people's ideology, philosophy or concept vis-à-vis his purpose of creation, the Creator, role, responsibility, accountability, morality, life after death and other social or ethical issues. This is why, those who want to inflict catastrophic harm to the people start working on the ideological cum conceptual premise. The physical poison kills individuals; but the ideological poisons may cause catastrophic havoc on earth. They can cleanse the whole race, tribe or people for their dissimilar skin colour, religion or language. So deaths, destructions and tortures caused by fascism, nationalism, communism, colonialism, imperialism, racism and other evil ideologies are more disastrous than that caused by any bugs, beasts or any poisonous stuff. They could deploy thermal, biological, chemical and nuclear weapon to cause maximum damage to the mankind. More than 75 million people were killed only in two World Wars by the followers of these ideologies. They killed millions of people in Vietnam, Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria. They could ethnically cleanse almost the whole of the Red Indians, the Incas, the Aborigines and the Maoris from three continents like North America, South America and Australia.

Scientific knowledge could usher industrial revolution and add huge luxuries; but couldn't eradicate evil ideologies.

Dehumanising and destructive power of an evil ideology is always cataclysmic. It makes peaceful co-existence or civilized living impossible. Evil ideologies if supported by advanced science and technology may cause catastrophic calamity -as seen in World Wars and in wars of occupation. Because of Hindutva fascism, peaceful survival of the Indian Muslims indeed stands shattered. The country is moving fast towards a dreadful disaster.

Hence, in all ages, nothing could be more beneficial or more righteous than eliminating such evil ideologies or religious beliefs. It is indeed the greatest prophetic job. Tens of thousands of prophets were appointed by the Almighty Creator to take people out of such moral darkness. In eradicating such evil, Islam's last prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) and his rightly guided companions showed remarkable success. They could save the female children from live-burial. They could release people from slavery, dismantle racial inequality, establish rule of law and raise the finest civilisation on earth. Their unprecedented success indeed owes to the most remarkable paradigm shift in understanding the truth vis-à-vis this worldly life and the Divine responsibility. Their conceptual model (*shakela*) was entirely based on the Qur'anic knowledge. But later on, the Muslims failed to sustain such Islamic ideological construct because of disconnection or poor connection with the Qur'an. So, they lost their Islamic ideological paradigm. As a result, the downfall ensued; and still continues.

### **Hindutva and the real danger**

But for Indian Hindus, the history is altogether different. They didn't have a single day free from moral and ethical darkness. Apart from building some monumental temples and idols, they couldn't build any enlightened law, civil code or civilisation. Their whole history is the history of darkness. Because, for coming out of the darkness, one needs the light of moral guidance. One needs enlightenment of mind with Divine

knowledge. But the Hindus didn't have that for a single moment. Because, the cows, the idols, the snakes, the rivers, the mountains and many others they worship couldn't give that. What the Hindu supremacists claim about their past glory is nothing but lie-full myths. These myths are invented only to cover up their failures. They claim superiority only to hide their inferiority. Even today, the so-called saints they consider holy stand fully naked even in public.

Prime Minister Narendra Modi and many Hindu leaders have already proven to have fertile mind in inventing wishful myths to feed their Hindu supremacism. Mr. Modi himself believes, as he told an audience in 2014, that ancient Indians had mastered "genetic science". To prove his claim he put his evidence from Mahabharata –the pre-historic Hindu epic. He told that Karna - anti-hero character in the story, was born outside his mother's womb. Mr. Modi also claimed that his ancient ancestors perfected the plastic surgery. He presented Ganesh –a Hindu idol with elephant's nose, as a proof. (Maseeh Rahman, 2014). As the chief minister of Gujrat, Mr. Modi wrote a preface of a history book for the school children. In that, he claimed that the Hindu god Rama had flown the first aircraft. (John Elliot, 2014).

It is noteworthy that Mr. Modi and his comrades are not the only people to make such claims of superiority of the Indians over others. Even many Indian academics and scientists are not different either to present prehistoric myths as proofs for the Indian glory. In 2015, there was an Indian Science Congress inaugurated by Prime Minister Modi himself; it beggars belief that the participants argued that the Indians had built jets capable of interplanetary travel and place a man on Mars. It is also claimed that the Indian cows converted the grass they ingested into "24-caret gold". (India Today, 2015). Some are also claiming that cow urine can cure not only all diseases but also protect against nuclear radiation in any nuclear war! Indian social media is full of such myths. Who

can argue with such myth-mongering sycophants?

The greatest calamity for the mankind is not caused by earthquakes, cyclones and tsunamis. It is the annihilation of the Divine Truth, morality and ethical values. It makes civilised living impossible. And in India, the Hindutva forces have taken such annihilation of Truth, morality and ethical values as the political mission. These evil forces are strengthened not only by the Hindutva fascists, but also by the whole Indian state infrastructure, the Army, the Media and the economy. They are also supported by the world's economic and military powers. Because they care about the Indian market of 1.2 billion consumers. Thus the material gains get preference over morality, humanity and justice. Therefore, the evil forces get hugely emboldened. As a result, the Hindutva fascists of India appear not only as the enemies of the Indian Muslims but also the formidable enemies of the whole mankind. 29/09/2019.

## Reference

1. J. Johnson (2010). [\*A Dictionary of Hinduism\*](#). Oxford University Press. p. 142. [ISBN978-0-19-861026-7.](#),
2. Jaffrelot, Christophe [\*Hindu Nationalism: A Reader\*](#). Princeton University Press. pp. 14–15, 86–93.
3. Jaffrelot, Christophe (1996). *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics*, Hurst & Co.
4. Savarkar, Vinayak (1923). in his book Hindutva: Who is a Hindu
5. Chetan Bhatt (2001). [\*Hindu nationalism: origins, ideologies and modern myths\*](#). Berg. pp. 77 (context: Chapter 4). [ISBN978-1-85973-343-1](#).
6. J. Johnson (2010). [\*A Dictionary of Hinduism\*](#). Oxford University Press. p. 142. [ISBN978-0-19-861026-7](#).
7. McKean, Lise 1996, [\*Divine Enterprise: Gurus and the Hindu Nationalist Movement\*](#), University of Chicago Press.

8. S. Komireddi, 2019, *Malevolent Republic –A Short History of the New India*, C. Hurst & Co. 41 Great Russel Street, London, WC1B 3PL.
9. Only 4 percent of the Indian Muslims are graduates and only 5 percent have government employment. (*Social, Economic and Educational Status of the Muslim Community of India: 2006uuuuu*).
10. Maseeh Rahman, 2014. "Indian Prime Minister claims genetic science existed in ancient times", *Guardian*, 28 October.
11. John Elliot, 2014. "Modi puts Hindu myths at centre of India politics", *Newsweek*, 30 October.
12. *India Today*, 2015. "Don't miss: 5 howlers from the Indian Science Congress", 5 January.
13. "Swami's confession", *Frontline*, Vol 28, 3 Jan 29 Feb 2011.
14. Ross Colvin and Satarupa Bhattachariya, "The remaking of Narendra Modi", *Reuters*, 12 July 2013:<https://in.reuters.com/article/india-modi-gujarat-bjp/special-report-the-remaking-of-narendra-modi-idINDEE96B00Y20130712>.
15. Jose, "The Emperor Uncrowned", p-3.
16. Javed Naqvi, Sept 17, 2019, *the Daily Dawn*, Karachi, Pakistan.
17. [Stanley, Jason](#) (2018). *How Fascism Works: The Politics of Us and Them*. New York: Random House. pp.14-15.

---

## The Global Terrorism against

# the Muslims & the Consequence

## The terrorising war of the imperialists

Terrorism has a precise dictionary meaning. It is the use of weapons to fill people's minds with terror for political or monetary gain. The terrorising power of a weapon depends directly and proportionately on its destructive power. So, the terror of a knife-wielding robber can't match with the terror done with bombs, drones, missiles or nuclear bombs. Hence, no one on the earth can match the terrorising power of the USA. Awe of terror has some paralysing effect. This is why, while the USA Army with its massive air, land and naval power invaded Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria and went on killing spree there, no country or international body could show any sign of morality or humanity to protest against such blatant war crime. Even the UN had to bow down to the US barbarity.

In World War II, the US dropped nuclear bombs on two Japanese cities. Its objective was not to occupy and colonise Japan. Instead, to achieve a massive terrorising goal. It could fill people's mind all over the world with the devastating power of the new weapon. As a result, the USA –with the collaboration of other imperialists, could easily twist the political course of many parts the world to fit into its own agenda; even could dare disintegrate the whole map of of Middle East into more than 20 pieces. And, could also install an illegal state of Israel in its midst by cleansing its settled population.

The USA still continues to deploy the same strategy to terrorise the whole world –especially the Muslim World. President Donald Trump –a proven anti-Muslim rogue, destroyed almost every house of Mosul -the second largest city of Iraq to achieve that goal. He also razed the cities of Kobani, Raqqa, Fallujah, Ramadi, Deira'zour and many others to the ground for the same purpose. Whereas, the US could win the war without such massive destruction. President George W Bush too,

had the same mind set. He invaded Afghanistan and Iraq to reinforce the image of the American might on the world stage. The main purpose of the USA's occupation of Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria was not for oil or gas, but for terrorising the whole world, especially the Muslim population. It was a calculated act of robust terrorism to enforce full capitulation of the Muslim rulers. It has indeed fully achieved that. As a result, the US could easily twist the arms of the Saudi, Qatari, Kuwaiti, Emirati, Omani and Bahraini rulers to deposit trillions of petrodollar in the US economy. In return, the US provides security to these tyrants. Now they are trying to do the same against Iran. To continue with the same terrorising aim, the US government has embedded the whole world with thousands of military bases around the world. Now it has turned indispensable for the US to pursue such a coercive strategy to sustain its Super Power status. The US is already the most indebted country in the world – more than a trillion dollar it owes to China. The security cost of the US has turned so huge that it can't sustain on its own. Only through a coercive strategy, the US can force other nations to bear the maintenance cost of the American global empire. So, it has no other option but to engage in constant robbery –the classic version of terrorism, all over the world.

### **Terrorism with the distorted connotation**

Military occupation never ends with mere physical occupation of a country. It is followed by occupation cum colonisation of people's mind. And it is done through media. For that, the western imperialists and their partners in crime in the Muslim World have already taken over the media. As a result, they could hide their own crimes and demonise those who stand against them. The captured media helped them even to distort the true meaning of terrorism. So, in their vocabulary, those who are killing and terrorising the people with deadly weapons and reducing cities to ground are not labelled as terrorist.

So, even the armed Israeli illegal settlers or soldiers who shoot at unarmed Palestinians are labelled innocent. In fact, they mean the opposite. The children who protest with empty hands or throw stones to the Israeli tanks are portrayed as terrorist. In Kashmir, the unarmed Kashmiri men, women and children who protest in the streets and demand freedom from Indian occupation are killed with a label of terrorist.

With the emerging trend of Islamic revival in the Muslim World, the meaning of terrorism has been given another deceitful distortion. Even the people who want to practise original Islam –as was practised by the Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) with its indispensable components like sharia, *hudud*, shura, *khilafa*, shura and jihad, are also labelled as extremist cum terrorist. So, the fascist regimes in Egypt, Bangladesh and other Muslim countries do not hesitate to kill the unarmed Islamists. So, on 6<sup>th</sup> May in 2013, hundreds of sit-in protesters were killed in Shapla roundabout in Dhaka in Bangladesh and on 14<sup>th</sup> August in 2013, more than two thousand peaceful protestors were killed in Raba al-Adawiya square in Cairo.

### **Terrorism: a multi-national imperialist enterprise**

A mad dog doesn't need to be hurt to get offensive. The same is true with the imperialists. No man or woman from Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria bombed any American city; but the Americans came from other end of the globe to bomb the cities of those countries to kill more than a million. They dropped bombs, mother of all bombs, depleted uranium bombs, missiles and drones to turn thousands of Muslim houses and hundreds of cities to rubbles. But such killing campaigns have never been labelled in the western countries as a terror campaign. The US forces have detained and tortured thousands of innocent people

with the extreme brutality in Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria. They established torture cells in Guantanamo Bay in Cuba, Bagram base in Afghanistan, Abu Gharib in Iraq to run brutality in an industrial scale. They also introduced rendition scheme to franchise such torturing scheme to other regimes known for cruel state apparatus. Awfully, under the US patronage, terrorism thus has become a multi-national state enterprise.

It is worth noting that the US-led imperialists aren't concerned about the absence of basic human rights in the Muslim countries ruled by the US-supported tyrants. They get happy if these brutal killers help strengthen the national security of the US and serve their political, ideological & economic interests. Moreover, they appreciate these tyrants if they crush any Islamic resurgence. This is why the killer tyrant like General Abul Fatah al-Sisi of Egypt gets such a robust appreciation for killing, torturing and arresting the Islamists. It is clear, in the world order established by the US-led western powers, there is no scope for the Muslims to raise their heads and emerge as a significant global power. Such a world order is only to safeguard the interests of the US-led imperialists and Israel. Its prime aim is to keep the Muslims de-empowered.

### **The case of Russia, China & India**

Vladimir Putin's Russia has taken the same route. Like the US, it has committed the similar crimes against the Chechen Muslims. And, like any other member state of the UN Security Council, Putin also enjoys full impunity for his war crimes. On the other hand, the Chechen Muslims are labelled as terrorists for demanding freedom from the Russian occupation. To suppress their aspiration and liberation movement, in the year of 2000, the whole Chechen city of Grozny was bombed to the ground. Thousands were killed and many more were put in

torture cells. And the Russian brutality still continues. In the Soviet era, the Russians did the same in Afghanistan in the eighties. Although the Russians were badly defeated in Afghanistan in 1989, but they didn't stop their anti-Muslim campaigns. Now they are dropping bombs in Syria; and trying to expand the same state terrorism to Libya and the Central Republic of Africa.

China is committing the same brutality against the Uighur Muslims. In the name of cultural education, the Chinese government is carrying out a massive social engineering project of de-Islamisation. About a million Muslims are being kept in prisons and are being brain washed to take them away from Islam. They are not allowed to enjoy the basic human rights -like the right to practise their own religion. Even they were prevented to keep fasting and wearing their traditional dress. The religious education has also been strictly restricted.

The case of India is no less brutal against the Muslims either. The Muslims constitute about 15% of Indian population; but they are not give even 5% of the government jobs. Socio-economically, they are kept inferior to so-called untouchables. The Indian Army is on a long killing mission in the Muslim majority state of Kashmir. About a hundred thousand Kashmiri Muslims have already been killed and thousands of Kashmiri women are raped. In the Indian vocabulary, such state-run crimes are not called terrorism either. Rather, those who are standing for freedom from Indian occupation and want basic human rights are labelled as terrorists.

### **The crime of the Israeli terrorists**

The case of Israel is a classic example of a state that could be established solely through terrorism and could survive through terrorism. It is the old practice of ethnic cleansing

of the original people and colonisation by the foreign people in modern age. The Jewish people came from all over the world to Palestine to grab lands from the Palestinians. The Palestinians are either killed or forced to live in refugee camps for more than 70 years. On the illegally seized lands, hundreds of thousands of luxurious flats are built for those who were not born in Palestine. The same old policy of eviction, the same policy of land grabbing and the same scheme of settling the foreign Jews in the Arab land that started 70 years ago still continue. Apartheid practice has died in South Africa, but now thrives in the occupied Palestine. The old western colonial powers not only support such illegal Israeli occupation of the Muslim lands, but also sponsor it economically and militarily. So, the crime of brutal occupation and killing continues unabated. And, such state-run crimes are not called terrorism either.

There is no doubt that the Jews have suffered a lot under the fascist regime in the west. Millions of them faced brutal death there. But, instead of learning compassion and humanity, they have learned a lot of brutal skills and inhumanities. These are now being deployed against the Muslims in Palestine. This is why, the atrocities against the occupied people of Palestine could attain such a scale of brutality. They have even lost all morality and civility to call the on-going brutality against the Palestinians as a cruel act, let alone calling it terrorism. Hatred against the Muslims has been so deeply embedded in the mind of Israeli rulers that they even feel highly content to embrace Prime Minister Narendra Modi of India as the friend of Israel. Whereas Narendra Modi is an Indian version of Adolph Hitler for the Indian Muslims. In 2002, he engineered the killing of more than two thousands innocent Muslim men, women and children in Gujrat while he was the Chief Minister of the province. He also instigated his followers to raze historic Babri Mosque to the ground. Recognising his robust criminality, even the US government imposed ban on his entrance to the US –although later on

withdrawn in order to promote the American business interest with India.

### **The case of Sri Lanka**

The Sri Lankan Army is already known for genocidal war crimes against the Tamils. Such crimes have already been documented by the international human rights organisations. But the failure of the international bodies like the UN and the International Criminal Court has emboldened them to continue the similar crimes against other people. Now their guns are turned against the Muslims. The extrajudicial killing has started with the new strategy to target the Muslims in their living quarters. To cover up the killing, they are telling the world that the Muslim women and children are blowing up themselves. Last year, the Sri Lankan Muslims didn't explode any bomb anywhere; but the Buddhists hooligans led by the monks went on killing spree. They looted, vandalised and burnt down the Muslim houses and businesses. Such anti-Muslim rampage went on for many days; but the Sri Lankan Army and the police did very little to protect the Muslims' life and properties. The judiciary too, showed its inaction to bring the criminals to justice. Moreover, such mob killings and arsons didn't find any place in their vocabulary to be called as terrorism or Buddhist extremism.

### **Boiling up the Muslim blood**

Every action has an equal and opposite reaction. Hence, every crime has a consequence. The Muslims are terribly suffering from all sorts of barbarity in almost every part of the world. The people of no other faith suffer in such an awful way. The cases of Palestine, Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Kashmir, Uighur, Rohingya are only a few to mention. In the west, the Muslim women are tormented only for wearing a head scarf. President

Donal Trump has put a ban on the Muslims coming to the USA. The Indian Muslims are being lynched to death only for keeping or eating beef. Many East European countries have put wall of barbed wire to keep away the Muslim refugee out of their country. The Muslim countries ruled by the brutal dictators are embedded with thousands of Guantanamo Bay-like torture cells. The USA and its cronies like it.

The US, the French, the British, and the Italian Air Force have shown their ever readiness to bomb any people in any part of the world who want to go back to original Islam -as has been practised by the Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) about 15 hundred years ago. They have their own prescription for the Muslims. It is far away from the Qur'anic prescription. Hence, the Islamic basics like Islamic state, sharia, *hudud*, pan-Islamic brotherhood, *khilafa*, shura, jihad against enemy occupation have no place in it. They have no problem with the Saudi mass execution, the Emirati tribal barbarity, the Bangladeshi mockery of democracy by Shaikh Hasina and the brutal tyranny of Egypt's Abul Fatah Sissi. They appreciate their Islam as true and moderate Islam. By any decent standard, these are not any innocent act; rather, highly heinous crime against Prophet's Islam, the innocent Muslims and the humanity.

Because of the hostile policy of the imperialists and their servile cronies, there exists not even a single space on earth for full practice of true Islam -as was practised in Islam's golden age! Thus, the Muslims are prohibited to be a full Muslim. Hostility towards Islam and the Muslims must have a limit. One must not forget that 1.6 billion Muslims are not a collection of dead bodies. They have souls, emotion, faith and intellect. They also share the same globe with others. The ongoing crimes against Islam and the Muslims are explosive enough to boil up their blood. The boiled-up blood can turn them to live bombs. And it is not unusual that the bombs fail to differentiate the innocents from the criminals. Islam

doesn't allow suicide; but doesn't prohibit to be a war appliance. So, it seems, the planet is turning rapidly to be an unsafe place for everyone. 01.05.2019

---

# The Cost of Independence & the Evil of Surrender

## The most costly enterprise

Freedom or independence has never been attained free of cost. It is indeed the most costly entitlement in human life. It needs sacrifice of money, life and all forms of physical and intellectual abilities. One and half million Algerians needed to sacrifice their lives to attain independence from the French colonial occupation. More than 2 million Afghans had to sacrifice their lives to get freedom from the Soviet and the American occupation; and the battle still continues. The people of Palestine, Kashmir, Chechnya, and Arakan still continue to sacrifice for the same cause. Whereas, mere physical survival doesn't cost too much; even insects and animals can meet the necessary needs for such survival.

Surrender to the slavery is like floating with the high tide. Such slavery may provide the provision for animal-like physical survival; but takes away the liberty to live with the cherished vision, mission and ideology. This is why slavery to the *kuffar* is incompatible with Islam; it makes impossible for a Muslim to live like a Muslim. As if, it is living like a fish out of water. A Muslim needs to fulfil not

only the survival needs, but also the ideological, educational, cultural and spiritual needs. The road map of Islamic state, sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa*, jihad, shura and many other obligatory components can't be pursued in captivity, it needs bigger space fully free from the enemy occupation. So, in Islam, it is so important to safeguard the independence of a Muslim state. As per prophetic narrative, working for a moment as a frontier-vigilante is more importance than spending the whole night in *nafl* prayer.

Such independence can never be earned by taking help from the foreign *kuffars*. The foreign forces fight wars only to impose their own occupation. The case of Middle East and Bangladesh gives ample evidences. The Arab nationalists conspired with the British and the French forces to disintegrate Osmania Khilafa and to bring independence to the Arab lands. But, it didn't bring the promised independence. Instead, fetched slavery of the British and France. It caused terrible fragmentation of the Middle East, installed terrible tyrant on each fragment and gave birth to illegitimate Israel. The case of the Bengali nationalists is no less awful either. In 1971, they invited the Indian Army to get them separated from the former united Pakistan. Instead of independence, Bangladesh earned a subdued status in the geopolitical domain of India.

Like fighting for independence, staying independence against enemy onslaught is also highly expensive. Independence of a state can never sustain on enemy's good will; it depends wholly on its defence capability. To attain such capability, it needs the necessary geopolitical potentials of the state and the political will and unity of the people. It also needs a bigger land mass, advanced weaponry and intense military skills of its citizen. Without such capacity, a state easily turns to a slave state. Awfully, this is the status of the most of the Muslim countries in the world. In fact, the defence capability of all the Muslim Countries combined hardly matches with that of a tiny Israel.

**Independent nations and slave nations**

The defence spending of country indeed works as the most accurate indicator to show how much importance it pays to its security, dignity and independence. All nations –like all individuals, don't survive with the same agenda. A slave nation –like a slave man or woman, possesses a little esteem need. Hence, such a state spends a little on its defence and dignity. Its priority mostly lies on mere physical survival, at best to get some degree of economic wellbeing -even through cajoling the big powers, as Bangladesh does with India and Saudi Arabia does with the USA. Whereas an independent nation with a strong sense of dignity spends lion's share of its budget on defence to earn prestige and influence on the world stage. They even compromise their economic wellbeing to build up the defence capability –as buoyantly prioritised by late Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto of Pakistan that his countrymen would prefer to eat grass but will build nuclear bomb.

The size of the economy of Russia is smaller than that of South Korea, but its political weight in the world politics match only with the USA. The foreign currency reserve of Pakistan is less than that of Bangladesh; but in the field of defence and world politics it fights shoulder to shoulder with a seven-time bigger India. The political and military weight of Pakistan owes to its nuclear weapons and other advanced weaponry. To preserve its status in the world politics, the USA spends trillions of dollars to strengthen the defence and almost continuously fight wars all over the world. For similar reason, every man and woman of Israel takes military training. Israel has also piled up huge number of nuclear bombs, missiles, fighter jets, drones and other sophisticated arsenals. The per capita weapons in Israel is indeed the highest in the whole world. Its defence force can reduce all the Arab capitals to rubbles within minutes. This is why the ruling tyrant in Cairo and in other Arab capitals cajole Israel for their survival.

### **Absence of strategy & the deviation**

But the Muslims in general invest a little to strengthen their defence. They seldom possess any defence strategy either. The Arab

money is being invested heavily in the West to finance their arms industry and economic boom. This year Saudi Arabia alone declared investment of more than 40 billion dollar in India to strengthen the economy of this *kuffar* country -where the Muslims are regularly lynched to death on alleged beef eating. Such enormous petro-wealth didn't help them to build even a good quality gun or bullet. A very few Muslims take military training. As a result, there are the most defenceless people on earth. So, foreign occupation is their fate. Those who join the Army in the Muslim countries, they do it only to conquer their own country and to grab the government offices, the government assets and the lucrative lands in big cities. This is why, the military coup is so common in the Muslim World.

Whereas, in the golden days of Islam, every Muslim was a soldier - including the Prophet (peace be upon him) himself. There was no sleeping or inactive Muslim. And in those days, the per capita weapons in the Islamic state was the highest in the whole world. More than 70 percent of the companions of the Prophet (peace be upon him) sacrificed their lives. As a result, they could raise the finest civilisation in the whole human history and the most powerful super power in the contemporary world. But now, such commitment to the Islamic cause is labelled as extremism even by the Muslims. On the other hand, they label working as partners with the US-led imperialists as modernity and moderate Islam. 26.04.2019

---

# The Clash of Islamists and the Imperialists

## The clash and the ideological basis

Secularism is an ideology that has its own conceptual paradigm. Secularism can't survive in a milieu where Islam dominates with it

full ideological, cultural and civilisational aims. Hence the clash of Islamists and the imperialists is not a subject of the past, rather an all-time reality –especially in the Muslim world. The imperialists's hedonic greed make them incompatible with the political and cultural dominance of Islam; so the clash is unavoidable. Since both secularism and Islam -as ideology, are not confined by any territorial boundary, the clash is global. Professor Huntington labelled it as clash of the civilization in his book; and described the followers of Islam as the sole challenger of the western civilisation. He advised to take Russia, China, India and others as partners in the battle against Islam and the Muslims. The sole objective of the occupying the imperialist enemy is not to build a Muslim country, rather to set up an infrastructure of weakness so that they can prolong their imperialist occupation. The British did it during their colonial rule. The French did it, too. Now the US is doing the same in Afghanistan, Iraq and other occupied Muslim lands. The divisive walls in the name of national or tribal boundaries are indeed raised a huge army of collaborators in all branches of the statecraft like politics, army, public administration and judiciary to work as their proxy even after the end of colonial rule.

The Americans have United States of America. The European have European Union. But their prescription for the Muslims are exactly opposite. The reason is quite simple. Since they consider Muslims as the civilizational enemy; they can't allow the enemy to get united. Hence, the Muslims are not allowed to dismantle the walls of division. Rather they are supplying all necessary strategies, resources, weapons and even manpower on the ground to protect fortify walls of the division. In Iraq, the Sunni, the Shia, the Kurd all lived peacefully for last 14 hundred years. But the US led western invasion into Iraq made such peaceful co-existence unthinkable. They have given political power and arms to the Shia to torture and kill the Sunnis. Now each community live only in their own communities. This is new *israelisation* of the whole Muslim World –which entail forceful mass eviction of the original dwellers of the land and handing it over to the earth-grabbing people from the outside as is the norm in Israel. Before the US invasion of Iraq, the country was one country, and in

the whole Arab World, there was only one Israel. But now there are many. Hence the Israelis are getting their friends in Arbil, Kirkuk, Solemania in Kurdistan; and in Baghdad, in Iraq and in many parts in Syria.

### **The imposed bar**

An imposed bar restricts the most crucial aspect of the Muslims's life, they are not even allowed to raise any voice against these post-World World boundaries that –the greatest and the more harmful deviation from the prophetic tradition and the Qur'anic Islam. Islam doesn't allow to raise divisive walls in the midst of the Muslims in the name of language, tribe, and territory. Such divisions please only the devil and not Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. They are not allowed to return to original Islam that had sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa* and jihad -as was preached by the last prophet of Islam. Their faith, practice and politics must be ratified Their mission is not obscure. Now they are bombing the Arab lands to promote the same imperialist mission and interests. They label it protecting the Western security.

### **The occupation and the engineering**

The US occupation and its political engineering has fatally damaged the ethnic compatibility in the Middle East that survived for more 14 hundred years. It has raised walls of ethnic and sectarian separation –as was raised by the Persian Safavi Shia rulers in the past. It is a fundamental damage to the social fabric. What Saddam did in Iraq are indeed peanuts in comparison to crimes committed by Bush, Obama and their ally in Iraq and Afghanistan. More awfully, they haven't finished yet. The case of the US collaboration with the occupying Israeli killers tells a lot about the USA's venomous policy against the Muslims. Mr Donald Trump –the US Republican candidate for the US presidency has been honest to regurgitate some of the truest venom which are usually kept hidden by the hypocrites like President Obama and ex-President Bill Clinton and George Bush and the alike.

The US occupation of Muslim land didn't start with the occupation of Afghanistan in 2001 and Iraq in 2003. In fact, it started with the creation of Israel. Every inch of Arab land that has been occupied by Israel is done with the US money, weapon and the plan; and therefore not an exclusive Israeli occupation. It is rather a US-Israeli joint occupation; hence a fundamental US policy to prolong it. So, Israel receives enough encouragement to replicate the same brutality as the US did and still continues in Afghanistan and Iraq.

The Russian enmity and atrocities against the Muslims is not new either. Nor did it start with the occupation of Afghanistan in 1979. It started with the Tsarist occupation of the whole Muslims central Asia, Crimea and the Caucasus. In the Islamic heart land, none of the big powers has enough courage to invade alone; so, they always need local and international collaborators. During the World War I, the British imperialists made such alliance with the Arab tribal leaders to destroy Osmania *khilafa*. In the Arab world, both the US and Russia have their own subservient regimes in place to work as their Trojan horses. Russia has its own in Damascus. Now, Israel is not the only client state of the USA; the regimes in Iraq, Irbil, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Qatar, Kuwait and Bahrain are no less eager than Israel to help the US to promote its agenda in the Middle East.

---

# Civilizational War of the West

## The West's war

The British occupation of Iraq and Palestine in 1917, the French occupation of Syria in the same year of 1917, the US occupation of Afghanistan in 2001, the US-lead joint occupation of Iraq in 2003 and

the current bombing in Syria. Libya, Yemen and Somalia are indeed the parts of the same Western civilizational war. After the quick demise of Soviet Union, the westerners started considering the Muslims as the main and real challengers against their civilisation, values and beliefs. In World War-I, they could successfully dismantle Osmania Khelafa – the core civilizational state of the Muslims. As the defending bastion, the Westerners have their core state like USA, the Hindu have India, and the Chinese have China. But the Muslims have none. So they have none to defend the Muslims' lives and interests in any battle fields, nor in any international forum. So the Muslims in Bosnia, Palestine, India, Myanmar, Uighur, and Chechnya find themselves as the helpless perfect destitute. They had no option but to silently endure all enemy atrocities.

Now, all the Westerners appear as a single tribe; hence a tribal culture prevails over them. The sentiment "my tribe is right or wrong" prompts them to support even the ugliest crimes in Muslim lands. Therefore, whenever a Muslim country is invaded by any of the Western countries, all other countries instantly support such crime as a legitimate one. Even if a homegrown terrible autocrat starts harming the Islamic resurgence is given red-carpet welcome in the western capital -as seen in the case of General Abdul Fattah Sisi of Egypt who have already killed thousand innocent men, women and children in the country and continues to kill.

War, democracy, liberty –all these have a different tribal connotation. Hence democratic election of any Islamist is never recognised as proper democracy in the Western tribal culture if it goes against the west's own interest. Hence whenever a war is fought for the liberation of any Muslim land, it is called terrorism. This is why the liberation struggles of the Muslims of Kashmir, Chechen, Myanmar, Siankiang, Pattani are quickly dismissed. Whereas terrorists' wars against united Pakistan, Sudan, Indonesia or Turkey is labelled as genuine liberation war.

For the same reason, the occupational war on any Muslim land and eviction of its original people gets all sorts of military, monetary and diplomatic support if it is in the interest of the West or its Jewish

partners. Because of such a notion, even bombing the Muslim cities by the US, the British or the French Air Force, get full support in the west. Therefore, the most criminal creation of Israel is recognised as a genuine humanitarian act and any effort to restore the basic human rights of the original residents is called terrorism.

### **Partnership in occupation and destruction**

The US, the French, the British and the Russians have developed an intimate collaborating partnership to cause more deaths and destruction of the Muslims. They have indeed emerged as a single tribe; and exhibit tribal culture of mutual love and collaboration in their civilizational war against Islam and the Muslims. They show no enmity against President Basher al-Assad – another anti-Islamic comrade in their war against Muslims. The ugliest butcher of Syria has already killed more than 300,000 Syrians. Although the war planes, naval ships and drones of the West's anti-Islamic tribe are busy day and night in dropping bombs and missiles on the Muslim cities and villages, these have never touched al-Assad and his army. They dropped more bombs in Syria and Iraq than that were dropped in the whole Europe in the World War 11. The city of Aleppo received more destruction than the Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined. The US alone dropped more than 11,000 bombs. and do not touch.

---

# **Conceptual Crisis of the Muslims**

### **The crucial question**

Every Muslim must reflect on a serious and crucial question. If the last prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) would have returned back to

the Muslim World and started his jihad for reviving sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa* and unity what would have been their own role? Do they possess the moral competence and readiness to join his mission? If they fail to do that, can they expect paradise in the hereafter? But if any real love for prophet's mission still exists, why sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa*, jihad and unity are non-existent in the Muslim countries? Do they not constitute the most indispensable parts of Islam? Did the prophet of Islam and his great companions practise Islam without sharia, *hudud*, jihad, *khilafa* and unity? Surely, these Islamic fundamentals are not compatible with infidels' way of life. But why a Muslim should accommodate such wish of the disbelievers? Is it not the way to invite the Divine wrath? Why they couldn't start the same jihad as has launched by the prophet (peace be upon him) and his companions.

In fact, the truth is otherwise. There runs a high level of hostility towards sharia, *hudud*, jihad, *khilafa* and unity in almost all Muslim countries. The Muslim leaders –even the leaders of many Islamic parties and groups try hard to be more compatible with the despotic rulers and the imperialists than fulfilling the Islamic obligation. So, there exists little effort to align with the Vision of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la that entails overwhelming glory of Islam over all perverted faiths and beliefs. They ignore the truth that aligning with the disbelievers and their agenda means distancing from Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la and His prophet. Even in Muslim countries, whoever takes the prophetic mission of implementing sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa* and jihad, faces the same persecution -as the prophet faced in the hands of infidel Arabs. An effort for dismantling the divisive walls that are raised in the name of national and tribal identities is a punishable criminal offence in almost all Muslim countries. The Qur'anic narratives of Islamic obligation like sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa* and jihad are now taboo in most of the Muslim countries. Truly, this reveals the extent of deviation of the Muslims from the Qur'anic path. Islam survives only as a bunch of rituals, not as a code of life. This tells a lot about the success of Shaitan and the failures of the Muslims.

## **The incompatibility**

It is the explicit agenda of the imperialists that the Muslims must live with the failures; and should practise Islam as mere rituals. They ask Muslims to accept this new reality. But accepting such reality means surrendering to falsehood –hence heading towards hellfire. Hence the US and its politico-ideological cronies with such anti-Islamic agenda are grossly incompatible with the most fundamental teachings of Islam. They are not ready to give Islam any space in politics, administration of state, economics, judiciary, education and culture. Whereas without the implementation of sharia, *hudud*, *khelafa*, Muslim unity and jihad, Islam is not true Islam. But retuning back to true Islam is labelled as religious extremism; they call it threat to the global stability. Whereas in Islam, faith in Allah Sub’hana wa Ta’la and the rituals like five times prayers, fasting in Ramadan, zakat, haj are not the end in themselves. Allah Sub’hana wa Ta’la wants to fill His heaven with the believers. But, it is not a free entry; even a believer needs to qualify for it. It comes as a blessing from the Almighty –as a mark of His forgiveness for the true believers. For getting forgiveness, one must pass a crucial test. Only then, he or she can enter the paradise.

## **The test and the mockery**

Allah Sub’hana wa Ta’la –the most merciful wants to help the believers qualify for the test. In fact, the Holy Qur’an was revealed and thousands of prophets were sent with such a key purpose. To add more intensity to such preparation, the Almighty has set up Divine institutions and prescribed a comprehensive Divine curriculum. The mosques, states, communities and families established on Qur’anic teachings and prophetic tradition, are indeed such Divine institutions. The rituals like five times prayers, fasting in Ramadan, zakat, haj are not the test for his faith in themselves, rather the obligatory elements of the Divine curriculum to help pass the real test. In absence of such institution and curriculum, one can’t think of qualifying for passing the test. So in Islam, it is indispensable

to build Islamic state and other core institutions to help people pass the test. It also explains why Divine curriculum like five times prayers, fasting in Ramadan, zakat, haj is so obligatory. In order to pass the test, every believer is asked to stand up for Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la and for His religion. In fact, whenever a believer stands for the His cause, he puts his life and wealth at danger. He instantly becomes the target of Shaitan and his companions; and the real test then starts. Here, it needs to spend his wealth, invest his physical and intellectual abilities and even sacrifice life in His cause. The companions of the prophet didn't fail to understand such basics of Islam. To pass the test and qualify for His forgiveness, most of the companions sacrificed their life in His cause.

So, declaring belief in Allah – Sub'hana wa Ta'la and taking part in the religious rituals are not trustworthy proof of one's faith. Hundreds of hypocrites did that in prophet's time. He needs to move further in His way. He needs to stand up for His cause with his all possible potentials. So, it is revealed in the Holy Qur'an, "Tell (the believer, O Muhammad): I only advise you of one thing, that rise up for Allah's cause in pairs and singly, and give then thought" –(Sura Saba, verse 46). Hence, standing for Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la's cause is not a choice, it is an obligation on every single believer to show true faith in Him. But such obligation gets ignored by the most Muslims. Rather, in most of the Muslim countries, legal and constitutional prohibition have been put in place against fulfilling such an obligation. Instead, it has been the political culture to stand for tribal, national or regional cause. To promote such causes, standing shoulder to shoulder with the US, Russia, India or other country gets high priority. For most Muslims, standing for Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la is not an issue. Some call it religious extremism, some call it terrorism. What could be worst mockery of Islam than this? But the question arises: if standing for Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la and His sharia is terrorism, what label should they put on the Holy Qur'an that made such standing a key to paradise?

---

# Betrayal of Islam & the Infrastructure of Failure

## The betrayal

Islam has its own mission, vision and objectives; there is no obscurity or ambiguity on these basics. To be a true Muslim, one needs to be strictly life-long adherent to those Islamic mission, vision and objectives. Otherwise, he or she becomes a *kafir* (disbeliever) or a *munaifiq* (hypocrite). According to Islam, the worst category of humans are not the *kafir*; they are the *munfiq*. In the hereafter, they will be dumped in the worst part of the hellfire. Surprisingly, the *munafiqs* are not the product of any *kafir* family, society or state. These vilest creature come exclusively from the Muslim families, societies or states. Because of these evil people, a Muslim state runs the highest risk of turning into the worst place on earth –as currently being evidenced in many parts of the world. Millions of people of these Muslim states are now giving preference to living even in *kuffar* states. These evil peoples are produced through a process of betrayal against Islam's core beliefs and agenda. They are Muslim only by name; but their culture, politics, warfare, education, law, constitution, banking and economics show total disobedience to Qur'anic decrees. Such disobedience, could only bring down the promised wrath of Allah Sub'han wa Ta'la.

The last messenger of Islam Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) not only showed the roadmap for getting a place in the paradise, but also demonstrated how to attain peace, tranquillity and success in this world. This is indeed the sign of completeness of Islam and the greatest blessing of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. The credit goes to the prophet (peace be upon him) and his faithful companions that they could prove the right worth of the Qur'anic guidance by raising the best people and the finest civilisation on earth in the whole history

of mankind. The greatest *shirk* (polytheism) is not mere adding some partners to Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, but to believe that someone else can also prescribe better laws, better norms and culture, and can provide better inputs for higher morality, peace and tranquillity.

Such a belief recognises the superiority or equality of some deities or entities other than Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. It denies the Divine Truth that guiding the mankind is exclusively His domain (inna alai'na huda). Taking anyone as the law giver –be it parliament, ruler or king, is in fact the overt betrayal against Almighty Allah's Sovereignty. Hence, it is *shirk*. And, *shirk* is an unforgivable sin. It makes entry to paradise impossible. But it is awful that such *shirk* (polytheism) has achieved an epidemic proportion in the Muslim World; and could dismantle the fundamentals of Islamic faith. Such a *shirk* could successfully disengage the Muslims from practice of sharia and other decrees of the Qur'anic roadmap -on a fabricated pretext that sharia laws are obsolete or impracticable! As a result, out of 57 Muslim countries, none is sharia compliant! Can a believer with an iota of faith in Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la be ever compatible with the laws that is not taken from Qur'an?

If the Muslims could have achieved any success, peace, glory or dignity by the current level of rebellion against sharia and other Islamic principles, one could easily argue that the Qur'anic road map is no more essential. One could also claim that the prophet's tradition now stand irrelevant. But the constant defeat, humiliation, tyranny and the down-hill course of the Muslims as a whole do not provide any ground to such claim. Rather, the current catastrophe in the Muslim World tells a lot about the seriousness of the disease. The Muslims have terribly failed not only in solving their own problems, but also in understanding the root cause of the problems. Their current level of failure and humiliation does not owe to inadequacies of mosques, madrasas, colleges or universities in the Muslim World; neither to the economy or the industry. Today, the Muslims have thousand times more mosques, madrasas, schools and colleges than they had in the golden days. Their economy shows much prosperity than those of the poor early Muslims. Today, they have more cantonments and hundred times more soldiers in uniform. They have nuclear bombs, too.

But nothing is working to stop the ongoing enemy occupation, subjugation, destruction and the blood-bath. In fact, it is the huge deviation from the Qur'anic roadmap that has brought them to the current quagmire. Mosques, madrasas, schools, colleges, universities and the government and non-government institutions of the Muslim countries are not solving the problems; rather, they are the integral parts of the problems. In fact, the crime is being done by increasing the deviation from the Qur'anic roadmap. To confirm the depth of deviation, one can easily compare the contemporary policies of the Muslim countries with those of the prophet (peace be upon him) and his companions.

### **The misplaced priority**

Success or glory never comes to a people while the most important things get ignored. But it is a great irony that the Muslims are ignoring the most crucial issue of Islam for centuries. The prophet didn't come to teach how to make national or tribal states; and neither to show how to build mosque, madrasah, palace or monument. The prophet (peace be upon him) of Islam gave the highest priority to build an Islamic civilizational state. Such a state is indispensable for practising full Islam -as indispensable for implementing sharia and raising the Muslims as a force of righteousness on earth. Hence, wherever there is no Islamic state, one can't think of true and full Islam there either. So the prophet's Islamic state became the common target of all the infidels. There wasn't any iota of doubt about the importance of such a state in the mind of the companions of the prophet. Hence, after his death, the companions of the prophet (peace be upon him) not only continued with the mission, but also multiplied its strength and expanse. They fought numerous wars and streamlined the whole energy of the ummah to give a very powerful sustenance to the prophet's state. Which is why the early leaders of the ummah are called the rightly guided caliph (viceroy) of the prophet (peace be upon him). The Islamic state of the prophet (peace be upon him) continued to survive as the Islamic Caliphate in later days; and quickly emerged as the number one global power. Hence it was proven

that only this way the Muslim ummah can work as an effective civilizational force and as the army of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la to serve His Vision (*li'euzhirahu aladdini kullihi*).

The down fall of the Muslims started at a time when they moved away from the prophetic tradition and spent their wealth, energy and intelligence for building tribal empires or states. In fact, the ugliest betrayal of the prophet (peace be upon him) didn't occur in five times prayers, fasting, haj or other rituals, but in the arena of state building. It is true that increased number of mosques can provide more space for five times prayer but can't create any political space, prestige or security for the ummah in the world stage. It is indeed the job of a powerful Islamic state with full compliance with the prophet's tradition. But now the deviation of the Muslims is so huge, no more they show any interest in the creation of an Islamic state; as if, the concept of such a state doesn't exist in the Muslims' political dictionary. Their perversion has reached to a point that establishing an Islamic state on the prophetic model and defending it appears no more a job of the Muslims. Instead, they have joined the infidels' rank to foil any such effort in any part of the world. To them, a Muslim state means either a tribal or a national state to pursue only some worldly gains. In the days of the prophet (peace be upon him) and his companions, there was no dispute on *khelafa*, *sharia*, *hudud* and *jihad*. To be a Muslim, one needed to be 100% compliant to those core concepts of Islam. In those days of the Muslims' glory, an iota of disagreement on these core issues was enough to be perceived as a full *kafir* -there was no dispute among the Muslim scholars about such a diagnosis. But *these* Qur'anic concepts are made controversial and blamed as terrorists' extremism. And those who are known for dropping nuclear bombs, cluster bombs, drones and missiles on civilian population and turning cities and villages into rubbles are embraced as civilizational force and labelled as the defenders of the Muslims. Like the infidels, they also believe, it is terrorism to create an Islamic state. And they also join hand in hand with the non-Muslims to dismantle such a state. These are indeed the vile efforts of the anti-Islamic coalition to destroy the life line of Islam and the Muslim ummah. If that had happened in the days of the

prophet (peace be upon him) and his rightly guided Caliphs, what would have been the reaction against these deniers of sharia, *hudud*, *khilafa* and jihad? There were the coalition of anti-Islamic forces in those early days too. The early Muslims could successfully dismantle them. But, if their efforts had succeeded, could Islam reach to the current stage?

It is noteworthy that Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) didn't build a single mosque or madrasa during his initial 13 years of prophet hood in Mecca. His main focus was to build war-ready enlightened soldiers who would be ever-ready to sacrifice their time, wealth, energy and even life to establish and defend the Islamic state. And after his death, they would be competent enough to discharge the duty as the devoted *khalifa* (viceroy). For that, they needed one to one close education, mentoring and monitoring. The prophet (peace be upon him) worked as an all-time teacher, role-model and mentor for the Meccan Muslims. In a period of 13 years, he could make only about 150 such people; each one of them was fully imbued with the Qur'anic knowledge -personally by the prophet (peace be upon him) himself. Later on, they proved to be the best human on earth -not only in the Islamic history, but also in the history of whole mankind. They formed the rock-like solid foundation for the Islamic civilization which could withstand all forms of social and political upheavals. Thus, the last prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) proved to be the best teacher in the whole human history. After the migration to Medina, the prophet (peace be upon him) continued with the same methodology there. In the way of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, the full readiness of the companions of the prophet (peace be upon him) was always spontaneous. They never said like the companions of Prophet Musa (peace be upon him), "O Musa! Go ahead with your God to fight for us, and we stay here waiting (till you clear the proposed land for us)." Rather, they told, "O Prophet of Allah! you decide whatever you like to decide. In the way of Allah, if you jump into the sea, you will surely find us with you." Such self-sacrificing pledge and fearlessness came out instantly when they were asked about their opinion just before the highly unequal war of Badar -when 313 of them confronted 1000 best infidel warriors of Mecca. The prophet (peace be

upon him) never failed to anticipate the imminent wars of the enemies, hence started the preparation from the day one.

### **The danger of meltdown**

For a Muslim, the danger of living in a non-Muslim or un-Islamic state is quite real and grave. The problem is not economic or physical, rather spiritual and ideological. For survival, a fish needs water, a Muslim needs an Islamic environment. A Muslim can't be a full Muslim without befitting Islamic institutional care. The un-Islamic state institutions of the Muslim countries –even the religious bodies of these states, have their own political and ideological agenda inimical to Islam and the Muslims. These are created not to help Muslims to grow up as true Muslim. Rather such institutions do the opposite by limiting or restraining the opportunities to know Islam. As a result, the most important agenda of the Muslim life gets severely compromised. Even the practice of full Islam get severely restricted; banning head-scarf or hejab is just a symptom of such hostility against Islam. So, the Muslims men and women runs the risk of moral, spiritual and ideological meltdown in a hostile political, educational and cultural milieu of an un-Islamic Muslim state or a non-Muslim *kuffar* state. What else would be worse than such a meltdown? This can only expedite the joint journey with the *kuffars* towards the hellfire.

The western countries have already shown their strength as a powerful melting pot. But these are not the exclusive cases of the western or the non-Muslim countries only; a Muslim country under the infidel or the secularist occupation also presents with the same consequence. For example, the de-Islamised Muslims of the secularist Turkey, Bangladesh, Pakistan is equally hostile to implementation of sharia like the Hindu *kuffars* of India. To be a true Muslim, like regular foods and drinks, he also needs regular inputs and throughputs from the Islamic value-adding institutions. He or she needs to go through a full refining process of Islam's own educational and cultural system. To ensure such an environment of ideological enrichment and to protect them from meltdown in a *kuffar* culture and society, the prophet (peace

be upon him) of Islam and his rightly guided companions needed to establish a full Islamic state from day one. It is the most massive and crucial duty of an Islamic state. As an individual one can bear the burden of getting bread and butter for his family; he can also build a good house for his family. But he can't build the most crucially needed educational, cultural and spiritual environment for his children. It is beyond his ability. It is the prime responsibility of the Muslim state to protect his children not only from protectable deaths from disease epidemics, but also from spiritual, moral and ideological death. The state that bears such responsibility through its state institutions is called an Islamic state. Therefore it works as the institute of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la on earth. Hence the enemies of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la can never be ready to tolerate the existence of such a state. Rather, to put an end to it, they form global coalition.

The state that takes people away from Islam and its way of life by its corruptive and manipulative education, culture, media and deceptive politics is called an un-Islamic state. Such a state is indeed the most massive devilish tool on earth. If such a state becomes a World Power, the world peace becomes the first and foremost fatality –as it is now. Launching World Wars, dropping nuclear bombs, occupying countries, destroying cities, massive genocide, ethnic cleansing and *vietnamisation* of the countries like Iraq, Afghanistan and Syria are not the only crimes of these devils. They have also caused moral, spiritual or ideological death of billions of the people worldwide. As a result, the world body like UNO and its member states have lost the moral power to condemn the ongoing worst crimes in Palestine, Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan and many other parts of the world. They do wars not only with bombs, drones and missiles, but also with lies and the media cum propaganda industries. Hence, it has been the greatest wisdom and the most important *sunnah* of the prophet (peace be upon him) to dismantle the core industry of these devils. It is also a Qur'anic obligation in all ages. So, both the Roman and the Persian empires were defeated by the rightly guided early Muslims. In the whole

history of Islam, it proved to be the most costly project. But it is also the most beneficial project in the whole history of mankind. Otherwise, the mankind would have missed to see the best civilizational achievement on earth. To defend and strengthen such a crucial Divine institution, more than 70 percent of the companions of the prophet (peace be upon him) needed to sacrifice their lives.

### **The best model for the mankind**

Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la has labelled His last prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) as the best model (*us'watun hasana*) for the whole mankind for all times to come. More than 100 thousands prophets came to this world, but why such a special position for the last prophet (peace be upon him)? For such an exceptional adoration, there are sufficient understandable reasons. Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him)'s distinctiveness from all other prophets lies in his great success as a state and civilisation builder. He was a complete man: laying foot-steps for others to follow in every field of life. In annals of human history, there is no shortage of people who could utter wonderful nice words on equality, justice, human rights and higher values; but none of them could put their words into practice. Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) is the only exception who could transform his words into actions; hence could produce enormous benefits for the mankind. He could build a powerful state and its institutions to continue implementing those principles. This is the reason that prompted even a Christian scholar like Michel Hart to put Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him)'s life on the top of his selected one hundred best people of the whole human history –as he did in his famous book "The 100". Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) is the only person who could lay the foundation of a trans-ethnic civilizational state; and framed its judiciary, administration and the welfare system based on equality and justice. In his state, no one was discriminated on the basis of skin colour, race, language or social identity. He raised Islam not only as a faith, but also as the most powerful civilising force that could

mould people's belief, culture, law and warfare according to the Divine guidance. There exist many civilisations like the Western, the Chinese, the Hindu and the Japanese ones; but none of these are based on the Divine guidance. All these civilisations are based on the ancient pagan or some idiosyncratic notions on the creation of the world, the universe, laws, lives, states, societies, castes and others.

Since Prophet Musa (peace be upon him) or Prophet Jesus (peace be upon him) couldn't build any state either, the Jews and the Christians stand in darkness vis-à-vis guidance on state building and running its judiciary, warfare or administration. So, like any other unguided ignorant humans, the followers of Prophet Musa (peace be upon him) and Prophet Jesus (peace be upon him) invented scores of wrong and evil ideologies to add more disastrous havocs on earth. So, the Judo-Christian world could easily turn into fertile breeding grounds for racism, slavery, nationalism, fascism, Nazism, capitalism, colonialism, communism, imperialism and other highly toxic ideologies. These could cause horrific mayhem by causing wars of occupation, colonisation, ethnic cleansing, slave trading and mass scale hijacking of non-white people of Asia and Africa to feed their slave markets. They could also set a new high of barbarity by installing gas chambers, making holocausts, creating World Wars and dropping nuclear bombs. In the name of culture, heritage and religious festivals, they could blend the ancient pagan beliefs and practices of the Greek and the Roman with the corrupt perception of Christianity and Judaism.

### **The infrastructure of failure**

Civilisation can't be built on a small patch of tribal or national landscape; it requires a much bigger trans-national and trans-ethnic territory. So, tribalism and nationalism have no place in Islam; they are the serious obstacles in the way of Islam. Hence, it is a

civilizational need to abolish the restrictive tribal, ethnic or national boundaries. So, the early Muslims didn't make any delay in dismantling the tribal, geographical or linguistic walls of separation. They needed to reclaim the occupied lands of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la from His enemies' illegal occupation. So, they quickly went far beyond the boundary of Arabian Peninsula. But the priority of the leaders of a national and tribal state is different; they do research for discovering even the faintest fault line of tribal or ethnic divisions among people and fortify the divisions. They totally ignore the Qur'anic decree that such division is *haram* (forbidden) in Islam. Due to these self-serving deviant leaders, the Islam's heart land in the Middle East is divided into more than 20 tribal states. Thus, they have successfully blocked the growth of a pan-Islamic core Muslim state.

Now the Muslims do not need any infidel enemies to stop their emergence. The leaders of the tribal and national states are enough to do that. They provide the perfect recipe for further failure and catastrophe. They have forged close coalition with the enemies who in the recent past have dismantled the *khilafa* and mutilated the Muslim heart land into pieces. Because of them, the US, the French, the British and the Russian bomber jets now enjoy full freedom to bomb any Muslim city they wish. Due to these opportunist rulers, the civilizational growth of the Muslim *ummah* meets the total failure. Although they could create 57 tribal or nation states, but failed very badly to build a single core civilizational state. This is indeed not only a failure; rather, it is the deliberate betrayal of the assigned responsibility. In fact, here lies the core pathology of all political, cultural, ideological and civilizational collapse of the Muslim world.

In the whole length of human history, Islam is the only religion that could successfully purge the ancient pagan ignorance from the statecraft, religious rituals and culture; and could raise a

civilisation exclusively based on the Divine laws and guidance. Instead of pagan culture and heritage, they gave birth to new culture of monotheism, trans-ethnic brotherhood, jihad and self-sacrifice. Hence, the distinctive superiority of Islamic civilisation does not rest merely on its beautiful grand mosques, minarets, cities, gardens, public baths, dresses, costumes, potteries, literature and arts. Rather, the most defining features are the rule of Divine laws (sharia), nourishing cultures, enlightening education, ethnic tolerance and the universal cosmopolitanism. They could conquer many countries, but unlike others they didn't cause holocausts or ethnic cleansing in any of the non-Muslims countries for making space exclusively for them. They preferred to remain as religious minorities in those newly conquered countries for hundreds of years –as seen in the Balkans, Spain, Africa and India. Therefore, the Islamic civilisation of the past still stays unique and unparalleled in the whole human history. It was only possible because of a huge Islamic Caliphate which took part in the humanising cum civilisation building works with its massive infrastructure and state institutions. Now, the Muslims are deprived of such a state. Instead, they now possess more than 50 corruptive, divisive and dehumanising tribal or national states. These states could generate a perfect infrastructure only for failures. 05/11/16

---

## **The Ideological Meltdown of**

# Pakistan

## The betrayal

After the conquest of Delhi in late 12<sup>th</sup> century by Muhammad Ghouri, the creation of Pakistan is the most important event in the Muslim history of South Asia. The inspiration for such a great achievement came from no other source or individual but from the holy Qur'an –the fundamental source of all inspirations of the Muslims. It was another great leader like Qaid-e-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah who could successfully lead to another great victory for the South Asian Muslims. After a long colonial occupation, the degenerated and demoralised Muslim Ummah could find a ray of new hope in the creation of this new country. It went like thrills of astounding victory all over the Islamic world; and was indeed a remarkable achievement of the Indian Muslims. While the Muslims of other parts of the world were awfully disintegrated and the Arab heart land got fragmented into 22 pieces, the Indian Muslims showed astonishing spirit of pan-Islamic brotherhood and unity. It wasn't any tribal, racial, geographical or linguistic affinity or any secular ideology that helped create this largest Muslim country in the contemporary world. It was only Islam that galvanised the Muslim population of the whole South Asia to unite against the British colonialists and the majority Hindu to establish an Islamic state. The Muslims can't attain such success on their own; it was indeed a great blessing from Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la that was bestowed on them for their pan-Islamic unity.

But the immense opportunity that came with the creation of Pakistan also started quickly disappearing. Now the country stands as a land of ugly betrayal. The betrayal is against Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, His sharia and the philosophy of pan-Islamic brotherhood. The ideology that helped create Pakistan is no more welcome in its political and intellectual circles.

The country is making another history. It is the history of disunity and hatred based on ethnic, linguistic and regional affinity. The pre-1947 pan-Islamic brotherhood is almost dead; Islamisation of state is no more a priority. Rather, secularisation has taken its place. The people are more interested in material gains; and more focussed on provincial matters, local tradition, feudal politics and the party interests. They want more foreign money and investment only to enhance their own pleasure; and implementation of sharia or Islamisation is perceived as an obstacle to that. Hence to impress the country's foreign stakeholders, the de-Islamisation and secularisation have achieved a new level of intensity and has largely eroded the binding glue of pan-Islamism from people's life. So the country is politically divided along the provincial borders as was in 1971 –with the only difference that the Indian Army invasion hasn't yet taken place. So the opportunity of an Islamic renaissance which was in high hype in 1947 is now on deep recession. Instead of following the Qur'anic road map, the country's political leaders and the army generals are more eager to be the partners of the US-led coalition of war on Islam. So the US drones are invited to the Pakistani air to kill the innocent Muslims. The US drones have so far launched more than 400 drone attacks on Pakistani soil and killed 1300 people. Of them, 90% are innocent. (Source: Al-Jazira English TV, 24/10/2015). The US Cobra helicopter can violate the border and kill anybody they wish –as they did in Abbottabad; the huge Army only stand as the bystander.

### **The evils of colonial occupation**

**The long rule of the colonialists in South Asia helped generate a huge army of**

ideological and cultural converts. The project of religion conversion of the European invaders failed badly, but the ideological and cultural conversion worked tremendously. These converts proved no less inimical against Islam than the religious converts. They worked as mercenaries to prolong the colonial rule in Indian subcontinent. They even fought wars in Iraq and Palestine to bring those Muslim lands under the British occupation. The colonialists have withdrawn their own army but not the army of these ideological and cultural converts. As a result, although the colonial occupation formally ended; but their ideological and cultural occupation continued. The country's cantonments, the judiciary and the bureaucracy still remain the occupied territory of these cultural and ideological converts. Islam with its fundamental concepts like sharia, *hudud*, *jihad*, *shura*, *khilafa* had little access to these occupied territories. The drinking of wine in officers' mess in the cantonment was only

**stopped by General Ziaul Haq in late seventies. The *kuffar* law of the British still rule the Pakistani judiciary; hence illicit sex (*jinna*) is not legally punishable if done with the consent.**

Such occupation of Pakistan by the ideological and cultural converts restricts the practice of full Islam; and thereby prohibits the local citizens becoming complete Muslim. Whereas to please Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, a Muslim needs to have full submission. "*Udkhul fis silm'e ka'affa*" is the Qur'anic decree. Hence incomplete submission is not a sign of full belief in Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, rather a marker of rebellion. Such a rebellion makes it difficult to save one's souls from the hellfire. Therefore how a Muslim dare oppose the implementation of sharia? It is indeed the greatest and the ugliest calamity of *kuffar* rule that sharia stays unpractised in a Muslim country. Like many obligatory rituals, it is also an Islamic obligation that a Muslim must live under the sharia law. Any noncompliance with sharia makes a person *kafir* (nonbeliever), *zaleem* (oppressor) and a *fasiq* (sinner) - as revealed in holy Qur'an in *sura* Maida in verses 44, 45 and 47. Can a Muslim ever take that route? Therefore, before the advent of the colonial rule, all the Muslim countries had sharia law. Apart from sharia, the Muslims were not familiar with any other law. For more than six hundred years of Muslim rule, therefore the Indian judiciary used to run on sharia law. The famous *Fatwa-e-Alamgiri* is the collection of such laws. But the colonialists dismantled the whole system; not only they took away the political liberty, but also put an embargo on the practice of sharia. Thus the colonial occupation of India caused the ugliest catastrophe for the Muslims. Hence the Muslims didn't have any choice but to end the *kuffar* occupation and create an Islamic state. For an Indian Hindu, freedom of India was a subject of his political

freedom. But for the Indian Muslims, creation of an independent Islamic country was a religious obligation. Mere end of the colonial rule wasn't the solution of the Muslims' problem there. Today's India is a clear proof of that. India got freedom; but the Muslims didn't get the freedom for the full practice of Islam. They can't even dream of practising sharia there. Even a false rumour of slaughtering a cow brings death to the Muslim. Even their secular worldly progress is severely restricted. The number of doctors, engineers, scientists, industrialists and other professionals that live in only one city of Karachi, that couldn't be produced by the 200 million Muslims living in India!

### **The life and death issue**

In fact, with the imminent end of the British colonial rule, it was quite obvious that the Muslims of South Asia would face more sinister danger of becoming the perpetual hostage in the hands of more aggressive foes like the Hindu chauvinists. Many of these Hindu bigots are not ready to give survival rights to the Muslims on Indian soil; they openly plead for full cleansing. Such project of the extremist Hindu came into execution just after the end of British rule. The Hindu extremist parties like RSS (Rashtiya Sevak Sangh), Shiv Sena, Bishwa Hindu Parishad, Bajrang Dal and many others didn't hide such intention. It is no secret that RSS and Shiv Sena openly runs arms training camps in Indian cities to train its hundreds of thousands of young male and female members with such an anti-Muslim bigotry. Through wholesale massacre of the Muslim men and women and destroying their houses and shops in thousands of anti-Muslim riots, these extremist Hindu indeed display their murderous hatred against the Muslims. To them, Islamic faith is not the only problem. Since the Muslims kill and eat their goddess cow are taken as the unforgivable offenders. Hence they consider the Muslims as the irreconcilable enemies. The Hindu mob doesn't bother killing

Muslim on such pretext –such an event recently happened in Haryana State of northern India. A cow has more survival right than a Muslim man, woman or a child. Not only a great Muslim intellectual like Allama Iqbal or a visionary politician like Qaid-e-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah could read such toxic Hindu psyche, even a common Muslim of India could easily see that. They could easily foresee a catastrophic fate of the Muslims under the Hindu rule. Such an anti-Muslim motive was displayed in their culture, literature, social behaviour and in politics. It didn't need to be an intellectual to understand the imminent calamity of Hindu rule in India. As result, establishing a separate state for the Indian Muslims didn't remain mere a political matter; became a life and death issue. As the days of freedom from the British rule got closer, the issue of an independent Pakistan went up to an explosion level. The British colonialists were never a friend of Muslims; but they were more concerned for a safe departure from India. Denying the Muslims their survival rights would have seriously endangered such a safe return for them. Hence they were compelled to swallow the truth; and Pakistan –the largest Muslim country on the world map came into being.

### **The product of ideological war**

Pakistan is indeed the product of an ideological war, no one needed to shot a single bullet for its creation. In those days the Indian Muslims were blessed with a good number of intellectual warriors. And they fought a very successful ideological battle against the opponents of Pakistan. Because of them, the Indian Congress failed miserably to sell its concept of an undivided India to the Muslims. However, the secularised *ulama* of the Jamiat-e-Ulama-i-Hind who divorced the idea of sharia rule from their belief couldn't feel any need for an Islamic state. They thought that if mosques and madrasas like one in Deoband can survive on Indian soil that is enough for the Muslims' religious right. Like Indian

Congress, the political concept of these secular *ulama* too was rejected by the vast majority of the Muslims. The Muslims of five major linguistic categories of South Asia like the Bengalis, the Punjabis, the Sindhis, the Pashtuns and the Baluch voluntarily merged together to form this new Islamic country. They took such a decision under no duress or conspiracy or foreign intervention. It is worth noting that each of these constituent linguistic Muslim groups had the geographical area and the population size larger than more than half of the world's independent states like Qatar, Kuwait, UAE, Belgium, Switzerland and many others to form their own independent state. But they moved beyond those narrow parochial considerations and opted for a united Pakistan.

Even the Muslims of the Hindu majority provinces like Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Gujrat, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and others who had no chances to include their provinces in the proposed territory of Pakistan were also in the forefront of the Pakistan movement. In fact, some of the best campaigners of Pakistan Movement, even Muhammad Ali Jinnah –the main leader, came from one of such provinces. They considered it a crucial mission for the betterment of the whole Muslim Ummah. At least they wished the best for the majority Muslims of the subcontinent. The case of the Bengali Muslims –the largest Muslim population in South Asia was special. They were far ahead of other Indian Muslims to foresee a great catastrophe under the majority Hindu rule. The Muslims of Bengal had two oppressive chains around their neck: one of the British occupiers and the other one of the Hindu *zamiders* (landowners). Moreover, in comparison to others, they had the longer history of suffering under the *kuffar* rule. While Delhi lost independence in 1857, Bengal lost in 1757 –exactly 100 years ago. Hence, they didn't need much lecture to understand the need of freedom from both British and Hindu rule. Therefore Bengal became the birth place of All India Muslims League, (*Muslim League was born in*

*Dhaka in 1906*) and also the formidable stronghold of Muslim politics in the subcontinent. Bengal was the first province where the Muslims League could form its own government and played the role of game changer in Indian politics. Especially the massive sacrifice of the Bengali Muslims by the hands of Hindu thugs put the final nail on the coffin of Hindu dream of an undivided India. It was on Muslim League's Direct Action Day on 16 August, 1946. On that fateful day, more than five thousand poor Bengali Muslims were slaughtered in the streets of Kolkata. After such a great sacrifice of the Bengali Muslims, the Indian Congress leader Mr Gandhi quickly accepted division of India and creation of Pakistan. Bengali Muslims

### **The Trojan Horses**

But the victory of pan-Islamic ideology didn't survive long. Pakistan had its worst enemy within. They are the enemy's Trojan Horses. They are the secularist cum anti-Islamists who were defeated in 1947 but not fully crushed. Hence they got the full opportunity to resurge with full anti-Islamic venom and could fully occupy the country. So it was again proved that who make the half revolution only dig their own graves. As a consequence, the country is lost to the enemies who opposed its creation in 1947. It is now overwhelmed by the politics of betrayal. The betrayal is not only against the ideological concept of Pakistan's own creation but also against the sovereignty of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la and His sharia. The ruling elite are so-much secularised that they more compatible with the *kuffar* imperialists than with the home-grown Islamists. These secularist elites are taken by the US and other imperialists as the trusted partners in their war on Islam. Pakistan was never short of such committed slaves of the imperialists. Those who fought shoulder to shoulder with the colonial imperialists to occupy Palestine or Iraq in World Wars or served their colonial bureaucracy were never sacked from Pakistan's strategic position. In fact, the Army

cantonments, the civil bureaucracy and the judiciary were under the direct occupation of these British trained secularists. Drinking wine –a *kuffar* practice was never forbidden in the Pakistan's Army cantonments – the culturally occupied lands of the secularists. It was only stopped by General Zia-ul Haq in the late seventies.

Now their occupation is not confined within those institutional islands, rather they have taken the full control of the whole country. They have been fully successful to dismantle the Islamisation project of Pakistan. Initially, the secularists scornfully ridiculed that the Islamists of different sects will not be able to agree on a single point of Islam. But such a notion was quickly foiled. Not only on one point, the Sunnis, the Ahl-i-Hadith and the Shia *ulama* could agree on 22 points for full Islamisation of Pakistan. It was on January 21 to 24, in 1951, under the leadership Syed Sulaiman Nadvi, the eminent religious scholar of both East and West Pakistan could produce the historical document called the Basic Objective Points for the constitution. In those crucial days, the secularist Trojan Horses -left behind in key positions by their colonial masters had their own agenda. They could foresee their own political death in those 22 points of Islamisation. So they engineered coups, dismantled constituent assembly and finally put those 22 points in the cold storage. As a result, in 1971, the united Pakistan died of a constitutional crisis. The country still suffers from the same disease and the same crisis; and the same ideological meltdown continues. And such an ideological meltdown doesn't come alone. It brings moral, cultural and political meltdown too.

Secularisation doesn't have the glue to bind people together, rather makes them greedy, power-hungry and divisive. Why a Baluch or a Sindhi secularist should trust his Punjabi or Pashtun competitors? Rather they will consider each other as the incompatible enemy. Pakistan's atomic bombs, large army and air or road links are not going to solve this problem. It

needs strong linkage between people's heart. Only with such strong linkage, the Muslims of the whole South Asia could create a united Pakistan with huge India in the midst. Hence for creating Pakistan, they need not any army. And same is true for its sustenance. Army is needed only to protect its border, not to occupy its own country. But the Pakistan Army repeatedly showed its priority to occupy the country and failed to defend the border. Still, the Army is bombing their own people with their American partners. The Muslims can forge the cementing glue only by strengthening Islamic ideology. On the contrary, through de-Islamisation, the secularists could successfully dismantle the pre-existing Islamic linkage and politically dismember the country in 1971 -long before the full Indian invasion. Now their next target is the surviving Pakistan. Thousands of atom bombs and ballistic missiles couldn't save Soviet Union; that will not work in Pakistan either. Bombs are not made to do that job. On the contrary, these can aggravate the problem irreparably. An ideological country must fix its problem ideologically and not militarily. But it looks, the country's leaders have lost the focus; they are more focussed to aggravate the disease. If the consensus 22 point prescription of the *ulama* was incorporated in the constitution, Pakistan could have solved its problem more than 60 years ago and survived its 1971's debacle.

### **The unending war**

The ideology of the secularists is diagonally opposite to that of Islam. In a Muslims country, they restrict the entry of Islam into state institutions. Rather they use the state institutions to take the people away from Islam. Hence starts the conflict between the secularists and the Islamists; therefore a war is inevitable wherever the secularists occupy a Muslim country. A believer in Islam cannot reconcile such secularists' occupation of a Muslim land. As a result, wherever there exist Islamists, the country enters into an

unending war. Pakistan is not an exception. The Islamists call it a war against secularists' occupation and the secularists call it war on terrorism. As if, Islam has no war; only has terrorism! Hence Pakistan is indeed divided into two warring nations.

Establishing an Islamic state is a Divine obligation on every Muslim so that state institutions can work with the Islamic mission of enforcing the right and eradicating the evils –as ordained by Allah Sub'han wa Ta'la in the holy Qur'an. It is not a prophetic tradition to keep state and its institutions - the most powerful institutions on earth outside Islam's domain. To fulfil such a Divine obligation, the prophet of Islam Muhammad sallAllah alaihis salam had to establish an Islamic state; and needed to fight many wars to defend its border. In fact, most of his companions had to sacrifice their lives to fulfil the vision. The Muslims in all ages have an obligation to follow this prophetic tradition. Pakistan was indeed created to fulfil such an obligation. But the secularists were not compatible with such a vision; hence turned arch enemies of its conceptual basis and its existence from the inception. So, the real enemies of Pakistan do not lie outside, they in fact exist and works inside its own polity. They lost their war in 1947, but they quickly take over the whole country. They could easily find many friends and patrons outside the borders too. Hence whenever they made any coup, those international patrons stood behind them. Their main power base is in the Army, the judiciary and the bureaucracy –the most secularist institutions in Pakistan and the perfect legacy of the colonial era. Former Governor General Gulam Muhammad, former President General Ayyub Khan, former President General Yahiya Khan, Former Chief Justice Munir and many bigwigs of Pakistan's politics came from such secular institutions. They started their carrier as the perfect servants of the *kuffar* colonialists. They didn't serve a single day in the Pakistan movement; hence didn't have any love for its Islamic identity. The Islamists failed to

identify and fight back these enemies. As a consequence, Pakistan became the helpless hostage of these Trojan Horses. Because of them, Pakistan didn't need any external enemies to dismantle its ideological bedrock.

### **The moral meltdown**

The creation of Pakistan has a special significance in the whole Muslim history. It was for the second time in the history that a state was created in the name of Islam; and the Muslims of a huge territory voluntarily moved beyond their narrow ethnic, linguistic and tribal boundaries. Such an ideological state was created for the first time by the early Muslims under the leadership of the prophet Muhammad sallallahu alaihi wa sallam -the last prophet of Islam. It wasn't mere a state building, rather a huge civilisation building mission. As result of such prophetic mission, the Arab, the Kurdish, the Turkish, the Iranian, the Moore and the Islamic converts of other ethnic and linguistic identities could form a single Ummah. But later on, due to ideological meltdown, the Muslims went back to the old days of pre-Islamic *jaheliya* (ignorance). They degenerated into pieces around their old tribal, racial, linguistic and other narrow parochial identities. The Arabs of one land, one religion, and one language become divided into 22 states. Although unity is obligatory in Islam, the Muslims thus proved to be unfit to live with a unified political and ideological identity. In such a degenerating context, the creation of Pakistan was indeed a great achievement. It showed a ray of great hope not only to the Muslims of the South Asia, but also to the whole Muslim world. Although the secularisation process is going much deeper and more faster, it is noteworthy that the major political parties of the dismembered Pakistan like Muslim League, People' Party, Tahrik-e-Insaf and the Islamic Parties like Ja'amat-e-Islami, Jamiat-e-Ulama-e-Islam and many others still oppose taking secularism as a state policy. But the

disease doesn't need any state policy to spread its virulent virus among the people; it only needed some treatment strategies and active intervention to stop its menace. On the contrary, it enjoys all promotive support from all governmental and non-governmental institutions.

It is also a historical reality that the humans are highly susceptible to moral meltdown; hence keeping the ideological identity remains the greatest challenge in all ages. To prevent such a moral meltdown, Islam has its own prescription. It makes obligatory the religious rituals like five times prayers, fasting, haj, and zakat; and also emphasises to study the holy Qur'an. In absence of the Divine guidance, any human can quickly degenerate and turn not only to animals, but also much inferior to that. Animals form herds with selective affinity specific only to their own species; other species are not compatible in their midst. The wolves thus welcome cattle only for dietary consumption, not for peaceful co-existence. Humans too, form states on their own tribal, racial, linguistic and regional identities. With the similar animal instinct, the imperialists can't be humane with the weaker people; they consider the occupied subjects as the legitimate targets for occupation, subjugation, exploitation and even ethnic cleansing. In such moral deprivation humanity, morality and other values do not survive; rather promotes racism, nationalism, tribalism, colonialism, imperialism, fascism and other toxic ideologies that justify exploiting, torturing and even slaughtering the people of other race, religion or belief. In such moral meltdown, wars, World Wars, military invasions, occupation, ethnic cleansing, gas chambers, and dropping atom bombs get massive political support in the land of the perpetrators. So Pharaoh, Halaku Khan, Chengiz Khan, Hitler, George W Bush and other genocidal killers were never the solo performers; millions of people voluntarily joined their mission. The US led war on Islam thus could generate a global coalition, too. Humans thus prove to be the wildest species on earth; all the wild animals of all ages couldn't

match their carnage.

In presence of moral meltdown, the toxic ideologies like nationalism, tribalism, racism, fascism can turn even the best scientists and the best university graduates incurably genocidal. So, those who ran the World Wars or operated gas chambers or dropped atom bombs were not the illiterate people of a third world country. The people of the so-called developed world could kill 75 million only in two World Wars. Their killing machines still operate non-stop. Aristotle noticed such a conspicuous animal instinct in humans more than two thousand years ago; so he emphatically used the narrative "political animals" for defining the humans. But there is a difference. The wild animal does not do politics, neither does any war or World War; but the humans do. The animals do not do waterboarding, nor run Abu Gharib or Guantanamo Bay prisons to torture people, but humans -the political animals readily do. For such people, the All Knowing Allah Sub'hana Ta'la has an illustrative description in the Qur'an: "Ula'ika ka'al anam, bal hum adal"; which means: They are like cattle; rather infer to the cattle. But the secularists have a different narrative; they call it modernity.

The same western moral disease has also spread in Pakistan; and it has in fact expedited the moral meltdown. Pakistan receives a lot of western money and weapons. Is it to strengthen Islam and the Muslims? Such collaboration with the imperialists itself tells a lot. They do not make any mistake to identify their own comrades. They spend every dollar with a strategic objective. They don't run a charity either. Such help from the US-led imperialists comes to a Muslim country with a clear precondition that there shouldn't be any effort of Islamisation; rather de-Islamisation should get the priority. Any step of Islamisation instantly stops all western helps. They rather encourage a coup to unseat any Islamist -as happened against President Muhammad Morsi in Egypt. They love

people like General Abdul Fattah Sisi of Egypt who does massacre against the Islamists. They use Pakistani soil too to bomb Afghanistan. The US money and weapon have taken Pakistan far away from Islam; and made it impossible to implement sharia. Fear of losing American help is so pervasive that even the Islamists do not talk about 22 objective points of the *ulama*. Pakistan has failed to discover the real source of its strength. It is not the western ideology or weapons that helped its creation. Nor such help will help sustain its existence. It was Islam and the blessing of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'al that helped create this unique country. And if Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'al helps, who else can do the harm? What is the benefit of mere sustenance when it fails to please Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la? 31/10/2015

---

# Consequence of Losing an Ideological War: The Case of Pakistan

## *Construction and de-construction of the ideology of Pakistan*

During the final days of the British colonial rule, the Muslims of South Asia –the largest Muslim population in the world, became imbued with a great dream to build an Islamic state. Dismantling of Osmania Khilafa both by the British imperialists and the Turkish secularists intensified such an urge. Abolishment of *khilafa* was in fact the joint enterprise of Islam's internal and the external enemies. The Turkish and Arab Muslims lost their ideological war to secularist and nationalist enemies of Islam. It was one of the most depressive moments in Muslim history. Unlike inaction of the

Arab and Turkish Muslims, the largest mass movement for preservation of the Ottoman Khilafa, happened in India. Indeed it was the first mass movement in Indian history. Contrary to the ugly fragmentation of the Muslim Middle East, the Muslims of the South Asia showed strong pan-Islamic brotherhood. The creation of Pakistan was indeed an embodiment of such pan-Islamic fraternity. When Arab, Turk, Kurd and Persian Muslims sleepwalked into prison states of linguistic, ethnic, tribal or territorial isolation, the Muslims of the Indian subcontinent moved beyond those narrow parochial borders and forged a grand pan-Islamic unity. The Muslims of Bengal, Bihar, Assam, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Sindh, NWFP, Baluchistan, Maharashtra, Gujarat, and other areas of the undivided India united to realise the dream of an Islamic republic. The British imperialists and the Hindu majority of India did not want such a state. But Pakistan came into being as the largest Muslim country on the World map despite all oppositions and conspiracies.

But the enemies of Islam never abandoned their project of dismantling Pakistan. The British imperialists left the subcontinent in 1947. But their unfinished job was handed over to their home-grown vice-regents. These are the ideological converts like secularists, nationalists, socialists and other brands of anti-Islamists. They were embedded in deep government of Pakistan. As a legacy of colonial secularism, the transcending cementing force that must exist in Muslims of all ethnicities was almost non-existent in the hard core secular institutions of the country like Pakistan Army and the bureaucracy. So, the ethnic, linguistic and tribal fractures firstly appeared in the country's most powerful bastions of secularism –the army and the bureaucracy. The ideological colonies of the British imperialists –like the cantonments, the judicial enclaves, the bureaucratic quarters and the university campuses with the secularized teachers and the combatant disciples, stood in revolt to undo the whole Pakistan project. The non-Muslim imperialists need not fire a

single bullet. The gang of ideological cum cultural converts like Mr Golam Muhammad –the former obedient servant of the British Empire in India and later on the Governor General of Pakistan (*he dissolved the elected government and the parliament and opened the gate for long military rule and thus put an end to participatory and reconciliatory politics of Pakistan's both wings, thereby expedited the break-up of the country*), Justice Munir –the faithful servant of the British Empire in its judiciary and later on the Chief Justice of Pakistan (*he justified the dissolution of democratically elected parliament by a judicial decree*), General Ayub Khan and General Yahiya Khan –both known for their obedient servitude for the British Empire and later on both became the President of Pakistan, did the job quite effectively.

### ***The most significant event***

After the conquest of Delhi about 8 hundred years ago, the creation of Pakistan was the most significant event in India's Muslim history. It was indeed a grand outcome of wining an ideological cum political war. No army needed to fire a single bullet for the creation of this largest Muslim country of the world. At that time, the Indian Muslims didn't have any army, but had some outstanding ideological warriors. After Pakistan's creation, intellectuals of such height are never produced. How a country can achieve military and political success with intellectual failures? Ideological war is not a one-time war; it is an all-time continuous war. Like territorial frontiers, the ideological frontiers too need constant protection. It needs continuous deployment of the best ideological warriors on the front-line of this never ending war. In Islam, it is a holy jihad. Any neglect of such Islamic obligation breeds only disasters. Most catastrophic disaster in Muslim history indeed took place because of ignoring this holy intellectual war. In Pakistan, such jihad was grossly ignored; political mudslinging and repeated

military coups indeed stamped the prospect of any ideological war.

Pakistan's secular civil and military rulers had little understanding of the country's ideological issue. Nor do they possess any skill to fight such ideological war. They assumed that its secular education, industries, army and cantonments will save the country. But Islam's ideological war can't be won by the military, bombs and missiles. Soviet Union had thousands of atomic bombs and ballistic missiles in its store, but still couldn't survive its ideological war. Pakistan too, made its ugliest history by losing its war on the ideological frontiers. Its ideological defeat made its own citizens the worst enemy against its own existence; and led to the catastrophic defeat in the military war with India in 1971. The same process is still ongoing.

The case of Pakistan has categorically proved that winning the ideological war is crucial -not only for the creation of an ideological state, but also for its survival. In such context, Pakistan's failure presents huge learning opportunities for every Muslim man and woman in the world, not only for today, rather for all times to come. Surprisingly, the policy makers, academics and the intellectuals of Pakistan have little appetite to learn from its past failures. These painful sagas are seldom taught in Pakistan's schools, colleges and universities; neither does appear in the country's intellectually ambivalent media. These are swept under the carpet. How a people can defend their state and dignity with such historical amnesia? So the country continues to lose its war in the ideological frontiers.

### ***Colonization of mind***

The seeds of Pakistan's failure were indeed sowed by the imperialists long before its creation in 1947. The Muslims

were the ideological captives in the hand of enemies for 190 years. During the long rule, the British colonialists had the opportunity and freedom to inoculate the body of Muslim Ummah with high dose of anti-Islamic ideas. Education system played the crucial rule in such inoculation. It was indeed the colonisation of Muslim mind and soul. This way, not only they made them resistant to Islamic faith, but also made them incurable ideological converts. They were no less inimical to Islam and the Muslims than the religious converts. Instead of populating with their own people –as they did in America, Australia and New Zealand, in the Indian subcontinent the British were more focused to colonise people's minds with toxic ideas. The people with such colonised mind-set built their own cultural cum ideological colonies amidst the Muslim majority. After the end of the colonial era, these ideological converts could still flourish in those cultural colonies and worked as the imperialists' Trojan horse. In Pakistan, huge number of such Trojan horses indeed proved detrimental to country's own survival. The dwellers of these cultural cum ideological colonies look alike in belief and lifestyle their former colonial masters. The Western politicians, war lords and the NGO leaders enjoy full cultural match in such islands –as if these are their own lands. The settlers of these ideological colonies started war against Pakistan's ideological basis of creation from day one. Such proxy soldiers of the imperialists –both civil and military, quickly took over the country's driving seat; and those who fought for the creation of an Islamic Pakistan were dumped only as captive passengers in the backseat.

Pakistan lost its ideological war long before its defeat by India in December 1971. The embedded secularists in Pakistan Army have little appetite for fighting a decisive war against the arch enemy. They pleasure-seeking secularists were more concerned about big plots and lucrative houses in best residential areas of the country. They showed again and again their skills only in bombing and occupying their own country.

In its 17 days' war in 1971, the Pakistan Army in its East Pakistan sector never faced a single day of intense bombing like Israeli bombing on Gaza. Few thousands Hamas fighters didn't surrender even after 50 days' of carpet bombing. The Israeli tanks and artillery couldn't penetrate Hamas's defence line. Indian Army was never stronger than the Israeli Army and neither had such precision weapons. But Pakistan Army's nearly 60 thousand men couldn't stand against India even for 17 days. So, Pakistan Army made a history of ugly surrender in the whole Muslim history. The Islamists Razakars rather showed better fighting spirit and resistance. In their 9 month guerrilla fight, the India trained secessionist Mukti Bahini couldn't capture even a single district, a sub-district or a small township of the former Pakistan due to strong defence put forward by the Islamists.

### ***States of collaborators***

The united Pakistan divided in 1971. The fallen-apart wings of former Pakistan now survive not only as the graveyard of the Islamists' dream, but also as servile states of collaborators for the imperialists. The leftover Pakistan –the former West Pakistan, now works for US-led imperialists. The country played a decisive role as the logistic route for the US army for its war of occupation and genocide in Afghanistan. The Pakistan Army itself now continues its killing mission against the Islamists of the country. Even scores of young female students of an Islamic madrasa in Islamabad (Hafsa madrasa) had to die with Army's bullets in their chest. The country is still on the path of an ideological death. Pakistan has a huge professional army and also possess huge high tech weapons –even atomic weapons, but has very few ideological warriors for Islam and for Pakistan. The country's philosophically deprived political leaders and intellectuals have little insights of the ongoing ideological war against its own existence. Hence, they are sleepwalking into disaster after

disasters. These secularists are always ready to display their extra-territorial commitment. They fought and shed their own blood for the imperialists in both the World Wars. So, it will not be surprising if the army joins the imperialists in their war against Islamic State in Syria and Iraq. They have already helped them in Afghanistan; and now fighting the same war against the Islamists in their own country.

Bangladesh –the former eastern wing of Pakistan now works for the Indian imperialists. It is a logistic route for the Indian Army for its ongoing war against the liberation movements in the eastern Indian states. The Islamists are slaughtered in the streets of this Muslim majority country; thousands of the Islamists are now languishing in prisons of the ruling secularist extremists. The country itself survives as a captive market for India's industrial and cultural products. The pro-Indian ruling secularists are so much glued with India and alienated from the basic teachings of Islam that they consider supporting and fighting for a united Pakistan in 1971 was a crime punishable only by death sentences or life-term imprisonment. The anti-Islamic venom in secular judiciary of Bangladesh is so strong that they have taken the job of judicial murder of the Islamists in their own hand. The situation was 70 years ago. Bengal played a crucial role as the engine of Pakistan movement; and Dhaka is the birth place of Muslim League –the organisation that created Pakistan in 1947. In 1940, in Muslim League's All India convention in Lahore, the historic Pakistan proposal was raised by none but by Bengal's Muslim League Prime Minister Mr A K Fazlul Haque. But such facts are now being forgotten in both the former wings of Pakistan.