

Globalism and the calamity of Muslims' localism

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

Localism: the strategy of the enemy

The US political elites and their allies have two prescriptions for mankind. One for themselves; that is globalism. They have another prescription for the Muslims; that is localism in the form of various races, region, clan, sect and language. In 2006, Ralph Peters –the US veteran and strategist argued in his an article “Blood Borders” published in the Armed Forces Journal that the borders of the Muslim countries do not conform with the sectarian, linguistic and ethnic realities on the ground. So he gave a blueprint of dismemberment of the Muslim countries as per various dissimilarities based on race, language and sect. He suggested that the countries like Pakistan, Iraq, Syria, Turkey, Sudan, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, and Somalia should be divided. He put a map of the new countries in his article. As per his suggestion, Pakistan should be dismembered at least into four; one for each linguistic group. He gives implicit legitimacy to the separatists' war in Balochistan. He prescribed three states in Iraq: one for the Shia Arabs, one for the Sunni Arabs and the third one for the Kurdish people.

But Mr. Peters conveniently ignored the fact that the Muslim countries are not the only multi-ethnic, multi-sectarian and multi-religious countries. Most countries of the world like the USA, the UK, Russia, China, and India have the same type of diversity. The political maps of these non-Muslim countries, too, don't reflect the ground realities of the diversity. More than 200 million people in India are Muslim. Kashmir –larger than most of the countries of the world is still in India. Xin Xiang province of China –larger than the largest country of Europe is Muslim populated. A large part of Russian is also Muslim. But Mr. Peters didn't prescribe the same dismemberment formula for the non-Muslim countries. The reason is simple. Since the USA has declared

its war on Islam, its strategy must be a strategy to win the war and it is through weakening the Muslim Ummah. And the disintegration of the Muslim countries is the best way to do that. Now it appears that the USA and its allies are working with the same blueprint.

On the other hand, globalism is gaining huge popularity among the non-Muslims. Despite all the ethnic and linguistic differences, the Hindus of India are united. Russia and China are also united despite the divisive diversities. The USA has been working on globalism with its allies since World War I. After World War II, in order to put a strong defence against Soviet Russia, all the western capitalist countries formed a military alliance called NATO. The Europeans formed the EU. Now to resist China, the USA and its allies have made a new alliance called AUKUS; the USA, the UK and Australia are its members.

Globalism is also getting strong advocates among the western academics. Andrew Roberts –a conservative historian recently suggested in the Wall Street Journal in its article “It’s Time to Revive the Anglosphere” that all the English speaking countries like the UK, the USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand must unite.

Tide of localism in the Muslim World

While the non-Muslims are pursuing the path of globalism, the Muslims are bogged down with localism. In the aftermath of colonialism, the three fathers cum gurus of localism have harmed the Muslim Ummah catastrophically. They are Mr. Kamal Pasha –the father of Turkish nationalism, Mr. Sharif Hussain –the father of Arab nationalism, and Mr. Shaikh Mujibur Rahman –the father of Bengali nationalism. Kamal Pasha with his radical secularism and Turkikh nationalism has successfully dismantled Osmania caliphate and took the Turkish people away from Islam. He could heavily appease the western ruling elites by fulfilling their anti-Caliphate agenda. As a token of their satisfaction, Turkey was taken as a trusted member of the western military alliance of NATO. Betrayal of Islam and the Muslim cause turned so toxic in the Turkish psych that Turkey became the first

country to recognise the illegitimate state of Israel in the Muslim heartland. Such Turkish localism precipitated the collapse of the Caliphate. It worked in tandem with the Arab localism to damage the unity and honour of Muslim Ummah. Because of hatred against Islam and Arabs, the Arabic script and calling *azan* (call for prayers) in Arabic were banned in republican Turkey. They showed their love for western culture, western dress, western laws and even western script. Distancing from Islam and the Muslim Arabs and celebrating the Turkish ethnic glory became the state policy.

Sharif Hussain –a former Osmania governor of Hejaz promoted Arab localism in the Arab World. He allied with the English and the French colonialists to dismember the Caliphate and to raise an Arab Empire. He failed to establish an Arab Empire but succeeded in dismantling the Caliphate. The disintegration of the Arab World into 22 states, ethnic cleansing of Palestine and the creation of the west's watchdog Israeli state owes not only to the victory of the new European crusaders but also to the crimes of the people of Arab localism.

Shaikh Mujibur Rahman of Bengali localism aligned with the Hindutva forces of India to promote his power-grabbing personal agenda. For that, he dismembered Pakistan –the largest Muslim country of the contemporary world. As a result, Bangladesh now stands as a vassal state of India. The Indian goods enjoy free access to its markets. In fact, Bangladesh is the largest market of Indian products. The Indian transport vehicle gets access to its sea ports. India's civil and military logistics to the war-prone seven Indian eastern provinces get a safe corridor through Bangladesh. Moreover, India can engineer any political coup in Bangladesh through its embedded civil and military agents. So Bangladesh pays its heavy price for localism.

Globalism and localism: the Islamic perspectives

All humans –like all the living and non-living beings of the universe are the creations of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. The All-Merciful Almighty has intense love for every human. For peace and success of

the mankind, He prescribed Islam. It is the only roadmap of ultimate success both here and in the hereafter. It is indeed the greatest gift of the Almighty. For success, unity is indispensable. And the disunity makes it impossible. Hence, Islam makes unity a religious obligation. But Satan wants chaos, calamities and failure; hence wants division and wars. Therefore promoting localism is a satanic agenda. It is made haram in Islam.

As per the Holy Qur'an, killing an innocent man is a crime equivalent to killing all humans. Hence a full guarantee of equal security and welfare for every human being and the people of every locality is the crucial matter in Islam. So, ensuring such safety for everyone –rich or poor is *ibadah* –the servitude unto Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. Islam encourages people to fight for justice for everyone and prohibits politics on localism. The discrimination on the basis of race, ethnicity, skin colour and faith is haram (forbidden). It is a punishable crime to spread hatred and make war on the basis of ethnic, linguistic, and skin-based dissimilarities. Prophet Mohammad (peace be upon him) never tolerated such divisive politics. It was treated as a punishable offence. Islam promotes globalism–called pan-Islamism. Under the Prophet (peace be upon him)'s rule, the Arabs, the Iranians, the Ethiopians and the Romanians worked as fellow brothers, there was no divisive border.

The politics of localism is not a modern invention. It is the old practice of ancient ignorance –*jaheliyah*. Before Islam, the Arabs didn't have any state nor any civilisation. They were known by their own localities. They were divided into tribes and used to fight tribal wars for years after years. They didn't have any global outlook. Islam gave the Arabs a new vision, a new mission and a new insights to look at people around them. It was a trans-tribal, trans-language and trans-locality paradigm. Such globalism could dismantle the divisive walls that survived among them for centuries. Localism promotes weakness and wars. And globalism generates unity, gives strengths and promotes peace. Islam proved that in its golden days. As a result, the Arab Muslims could form a state, could stand a World Power and could raise the finest civilisation in human history.

The calamity of localism

The calamity of localism is catastrophic. Most horrendous crimes in the modern Muslim history are committed by the promoters of localism. The Arab nationalists killed thousands of non-Arabs –especially the Turks in 1917-18 and the Bengali nationalist killed tens of thousands of non-Bengalis in 1971. Hundreds of the Muslim women have been raped and their houses and businesses are gutted by the so-called Muslims for dissimilar race and language.

The decadence of the Muslims indeed started when they left the path of globalism and followed localism. About 200 million Bengali Muslims (of both Bangladesh and West Bengal) now suffer from Hindutva Hindus' hegemony. The 400 million Arabs –despite the huge resources – stand as the most weak and defenceless people on the planet. They can't even stand against 6 million Israeli Jews. Their localism has devastated their power. As a result, the Arab people of Palestine, Iraq, Syria, Libya, Yemen, and Lebanon still face the worst miseries. On the Day of Judgment, the people will be judged only on the parameter whether adherent to the Divine Truth or adherent to the satanic wrongs. The Divine Truth is global; hence those who adhere to the Truth, they also get global. On the other hand, falsehood has different brands based on the place of origin, the source of origin, and the ingredients of origin. Hence localism is embedded in non-Islamic faith. In the paradise, there will be no division no racial, religious, ethnic or linguistic identities. There will be only one identity; that is Islamic global identity. Hence those who aspire to enter paradise must attain the attribute of heavenly globalism.

The old days of *jahiliya* of localism have returned back to the Muslim World. The Arabs are its worst victims. They celebrate localism. Even a small piece of land with a few oil fields claims statehood and celebrates localism. Therefore disunity, defeat and humiliation have become the integral parts of Arab life. Their decadence has gone so low that the Arabs are not even Arab nationalist. They now represent sub-nationalism, tribalism, and other worst forms of localism. This is

Thoughts on the calamity of 1971

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

The earned occupation

Some alarming news is circulating in the media. Now in police, civil administrations and ministerial secretariats of Bangladesh, Hindus are getting jobs at disproportionately higher proportion than the Muslims. Hundreds of thousands of Indians are working legally or illegally in Bangladesh. Now it is no secret that Shaikh Hasina's government is doing everything to appease the Hindu minority. She takes it as a strategy to appease the Hindutva rulers in Delhi – her real masters and promoters in politics. Muslims are about 15 percent in India, but in government services, they are only about 3 percent. The exclusion of Muslims from government jobs is the government policy since independence of India in 1947. The ruling party gets changed but the anti-Muslim policy stays.

The same Hindutva policy of excluding Muslims is working in Bangladesh. The Indian occupation is taking place in every form and everywhere in Bangladesh. India could take transit through Bangladesh. It enjoys access to the country's sea ports. It withdraws water from more than 50 rivers. It can kill any number of unarmed Bangladeshis on the border; but it can't dare kill Pakistanis or Nepalese or Bhutanese in the same way. But the Bangladesh government maintains its conspicuous silence. As if the caged pigeon has no choice. What else could be the worst symptoms of the Indian occupation? Even those who fought against Pakistan shoulder to shoulder with the Indian Army are raising the voice against such occupation.

War of a country has never been a charity for any other country or people. It is an investment of money and blood. War is always used as

a tool of geopolitical dominance. Hence, India's war in 1971 was not a gift for Bengali people. It was not only to dismember Pakistan; but also to put a shackle at the neck of the Bangladeshis. It was not only to dismember and weaken Pakistan –its arch enemy; but also to put a shackle at the neck of the Bangladeshis. It was to capture the greatest market for Indian goods in Bangladesh. It was also to use its soil as a backyard and logistic route to fight its war against the insurgency in Hindu deficient north-eastern seven states. But the secularised Bengalis foolishly believe that India fought for their independence. They yet fail to understand that they earned only occupation and not independence.

To live with geopolitical independence is the most costly enterprise in the world. For that, Pakistan had to bear economic hardship to make nuclear bombs. The European countries had to form the European Union and join NATO to minimise the cost of defence. For the same reason, the wise Bengali Muslim leaders of the 1940s forged unity with the West Pakistanis to form united Pakistan. But Shaikh Mujib -like Mir Zafar of the 1750s had only one agenda; it was to grab power by any means. Defence or independence of Bangladesh wasn't not an issue for him. He was even ready to accept slavery of India if he is kept in power. This is why he became part of the India inspired Agartala conspiracy in the 1960s. Her daughter Hasina is following the same strategy. And India, too, knows very well that Bangladesh will never have the ability to bear the huge cost of defence against India. Bangladesh possesses only one option and that is to accept the Indian hegemony. In fact, Tajuddin's acceptance of 7 point and Mujib's acceptance of 25 point treaty of surrender with India testify to such vulnerability.

Shaikh Mujib: the icon of betrayal

In 1966 in Lahore, when Shaikh Mujib declared a 6 point formula, there was an apprehension in the political circle that it would break Pakistan. But Mujib responded to that allegation by telling that the 6 point formula will not break rather strengthen Pakistan. But the reaction inside Awami League was quick. Out of 17 districts of East Pakistan, in 13 districts the Awami League leaders resigned from the

party in protest. It was on 11 January 1970, the first election rally of Awami League was held in Dhaka's Race Course Ground (now called Suhrawardy Uddayan). I was there. Mujib told emphatically, "I am blamed that I want to break Pakistan. (He asked people) You raise the slogan Pakistan Zindabad so loud that it reaches Rawalpindi." It was again on the same ground on 10 January 1972. After the return from Pakistani jail, Mujib said, "My struggle for liberation of Bangladesh didn't start in 1971. It was from 1947. This is the level of his betrayal and hypocrisy. This time I was not present on the ground, but listened to the whole speech as it was put on live broadcast on the radio.

Professor GW Chowdhury was the former professor of political science in Dhaka University. He was the federal minister of President General Yahya Khan cum his political adviser. He wrote in his book "The last days of united Pakistan " that Pakistan military intelligence handed over a cassette to Yahya that revealed Mujib's plan in his own voice about the dismemberment of Pakistan in a circle of his closed comrades. But Yahya was so much mesmerised by Mujib's false promise that he will be made the constitutional President of Pakistan, he ignored the first proof of his treachery. Rather General Yahya took a policy of appeasing Mujib. He allowed him to let loose his murderous fascist thugs on the streets of East Pakistan to dismantle political rallies of all the parties that were committed for united Pakistan. The election rallies of Fazlul Qader Chowdhury's Muslim League, Nurul Ameen's Pakistan Democratic Party and Jamaat-e-Islami in Dhaka's iconic Paltan Maidan were totally vandalized by the Awami League thugs. On 18 January 1970, two workers of Jamaat-e-Islami were killed and hundreds were injured in its election rally there. The venue of carnage was adjacent to the Governor House. None was arrested or prosecuted. Such vandalism took place all over East Pakistan against pro-Pakistani parties. The Governor House of Governor Admiral Ahsan was the regular gossip centre of the Awami League leaders. Dr. Kamal Hussain -a close comrade of Mujib and later the Foreign Minister of Bangladesh was a regular guest -as her daughter told one of friends while studying in one of the British universities. So Shaikh Mujib won the election before Election Day. Because of close collaboration with

Yahiya's government, Mujib won the number of seats that he never thought of.

The separation of East Pakistan was never mentioned in Mujib's electoral campaign. He fought the 1970 election on the issues of provincial autonomy, poverty and eradication of regional disparity. He gave electoral promise to bring down the rice price to 0.50 taka per ser (a ser is equal to almost a kilo). These promises were highly popular issues to catch votes. Hence in election, these lucrative promises worked. But when Mujib won the election, he changed the goal post. On the last day of the dialogue on 23 March 1971, Mujib asked President General Yahia Khan to withdraw martial law from East Pakistan and hand over power to him. He was no more interested to form the constitution of Pakistan, neither to form central government. Whereas prior to the election, Shaikh Mujib –like all other parties signed the 8 point legal frame work (LFW) which required framing a constitution of Pakistan in 120 days prior to handover of the power to a civil government. But Mujib disobeyed the agreement; thus betrayed his own written promise. Acceptance of Mujib's demand was tantamount to dismemberment of Pakistan. So a negotiated settlement failed.

The fortunate India

India is working with the strategy to occupy Bangladesh from 1947. It was a great success in 1971. India is fortunate to get millions of armed and unarmed collaborators to execute its strategy. India didn't get such collaborators in other neighbouring countries –not even in Hindu majority Nepal. It is a shame that most of the Bangladeshis couldn't see India's covert and overt agenda. It is also a shame that they took a proven Indian agent and the killer of democracy as the father and friend of Bengalis (father of nation and *bangabandhu*).

Not surprisingly, the de-Islamised secularists and the Bengali nationalists celebrate Indian occupation as liberation. They sing a song of an idol worshiper like Indian Tagore as the national anthem. In 1972, India withdrew its occupational forces but had enough

internal collaborators to sustain the Indian occupation. So to kill anti-Indians like Abrar Fahad of BUET, India didn't need to send any Rashtriya Sevak Sangh (RSS) thugs, the Bangladesh branch of RSS does the job. They can kill and kidnap anybody from anywhere in the country.

Telling the truth: a holy jihad

The de-Islamised and secularised collaborators of India have successfully killed truth in Bangladesh. In a Tsunami of lies even a university professor sells popular lies of 1971's 30 million deaths with a loud voice and a pious man feels hesitant to speak even the simple and straightforward truth. In Bangladesh, most of the people analyse political events of 1971 with a prism of Bengali nationalism and secularism. Their nationalist and secularist prisms help them hide even the horrendous crimes of the Bengali nationalists. They can't see the genocide of Bihari men, women and children, the rape of the non-Bengalis women, and the killing of thousands of unarmed pro-Pakistani *razakars* in 1971.

If anyone of Bangladesh sees things through an Islamic perspective, he or she is blamed to have Pakistani prism and labelled as *razaker*. Whereas in any form of analysis, to have an Islamic prism is an Islamic obligation. A Muslim differ from a non-Muslim not only in beliefs but also in prisms of visualising and analysing facts. In fact, faith in Islam brings changes not only in beliefs and lifestyle, but also in ideological perspectives of the embedded prism. This is why the people of different beliefs living in the same place don't see things the same way. This is why an Islamist sees differently from a secularist, liberalist or a nationalist.

We must tell the most unpalatable truth about 1971. Even a thief can tell a popular truth to raise his credibility in society. But a man who offers five prayers and keeps a month-long fasting may not say the most unpopular truth. There is a risk of being abused or killed by the false mongers. Even the great Prophet (peace be upon him) was labelled

as lunatic for telling the truth. It is the reality that terrorism works not only in politics but also in the intellectual discourse. Bangladesh is under the rule of the worst cultural and intellectual terrorists. All the books that are written on the events of 1971 tell the narratives of Awami League. Those who differ with their fabricated story are not allowed to publicise their views. This is intellectual fascism.

This is why telling truth is given an extra credit in Islam. It is jihad in Islam. Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la gives reward for those who take the risks of telling the most unpopular truth. In the history of Bangladesh there are many such truths that are hidden and rarely told in public. And a lie can only survive if truth is suppressed. A lot of lies have been manufactured around the events of 1971. The lie of the liberation of Bangladesh by Mukti Bahini, 3 million deaths and 300,000 rapes could get a huge market only because the truth has been suppressed. It is claimed that Mukti Bahini has liberated Bangladesh but it is not said that they could not liberate a single district and not even sub-district (*mahakuma*), let alone the whole Bangladesh. East Pakistan was taken over by the Indian Army and not by Mukti Bahini. The people have been listening to that fabricated stuff all the time since 1971 both from Bangladesh Awami League (BAL), Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) and other secular camps.

The haram politics

A Muslim must have his or her politics fully halal like halal foods and drinks. There must not be any compromise on that. Taking haram food is the rebellion against the order of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la; hence it makes a man *kafir*. Likewise, haram *politic* is the disobedience of Allah's guidance in statecraft; hence it also makes one *kafir*. This is why secularist and nationalist politics are *haram* in Islam. These are sheer ideological corruption and disobedience. A Muslim must incorporate all the Islamic guidance in his politics. There is no scope of excluding any Islamic rule from any space of life –be it political or non-political. It is the full submission to Islam. It is the Qur'anic decree: "*ud'khulu fis slime ka'ffa*" (enter into Islam fully). Hence nothing of life should be

outside the rule of Islam.

But a secularist doesn't give space for the implementation Islamic principles in politics, judiciary, culture, dress code and other affairs. They call it medieval barbarism. They call it communalism and superstition. This is exactly happening in Bangladesh. They are restrictive against Islam. So the secularists become monarchist, fascist, nationalist, socialist and liberalist. Unfortunately, like prostitution and *riba* (interest) this haram stuff like secularism, nationalism, and liberalism thrive in countries like Bangladesh. Trading such evil ideologies has become a staple of the secularist parties like Bangladesh Awami League (BAL) Bangladesh Nationalist Party (BNP) and many other secular parties.

In the secular paradigm even adultery (*jina*) is appreciated as love if done with consent. But in Islamic paradigm, it is punishable by stoning to death in public if they are married. Those who are unmarried have to face lashes. Those who disagree with such Qur'anic verdict instantly turn to be *kafir* -as revealed in surah Maida verse 44. The same is true about breaking a Muslim country. It is a punishable crime by death. If not punished here, will be punished in the hereafter. Pakistan was not a perfect Islamic state. But it was Muslim state. A house may have some poisonous snakes in it. For that, the house must not be burnt. Instead, the snakes should be killed. The same is about a Muslim state. The Umayyad, Abbasiya and Osmani caliphates were not perfect Islamic states like khulafa-e Rashida. Even some rulers burnt kabah. Despite all the inadequacies, no believer tried to dismember those caliphates; so the caliphate survived for more than 13 hundred years. The Muslim Army had to fight wars only on the frontiers against the alien *kuffars*, they didn't face any internal separatists. Later on it became the crime of the nationalist devils and in 1971, Pakistan too, had to face them. But the secularists don't bother about sharia. They only want power by any means -even aligning with the *kuffars*, as Mujib and his comrades did in 1971. The Arab World is divided into 22 states. But that is not Islamic, it is the sign of old Arab tribalism. The crimes of Arabs cannot justify the crimes of Bengalis in 1971.

Politics is an *ibadah* in Islam. It must be used as a tool only to please Allah Subhana wa Tala by bringing victory to Islam and Muslims. In Islam, politics is not power-grabbing enterprise; it is a holy jihad to defend Islamic ideology, sharia and the Muslim land. It must not be used to appease any national, tribal, personal or party aspirations.

So a true Muslim always does politics to build and expand a Muslim country and never engage to break it. This is why in 1971, not a single Islamic party or a single *alim* supported the break of Pakistan. They all called it haram.

Breaking Pakistan was the project of India and pro-Indian BAL, NAP, communist party and Hindus. Of course they won; but that doesn't mean that they were right. Victory is never a marker of rightfulness. Many devils like Pharaoh won and ruled for long years in history. A Hindu never supports any good for the Muslims. India's support in 1971 proved that it was wrong and harmful for the Muslims.

The lost opportunity

Pakistan has its own problems. But the Bengalis -the majority of Pakistan didn't try to help solve those problems. Rather the nationalist and secularist Bengalis abused those problems to fulfil the Indian agenda and their own narrow parochial goal. Serving Islam and the Muslims didn't receive any priority in Mujib's politics in 1971.

Pakistan was the largest Muslim country in the world and now a nuclear power. Pakistan gave the Bengali Muslims a golden opportunity to shine in the world and play a significant role in world politics. Breaking Pakistan was not the solution of the problems of Bengali Muslims, it could be strengthened by democratisation. Pakistan's strength was Bengali Muslims' strength. But fascist Shaikh Mujib and his ilk didn't have any iota of love for democracy. He proved that while got power. He harmed both Pakistan and Bangladesh. Now Pakistan has achieved the democratic goal but democracy is dead in Bangladesh.

The BAL people described East Pakistan as a colony of West Pakistan.

It is totally wrong. The man who sat on the chair of the Head of the State of Pakistan after the death of Jinnah was Khawja Nazimuddin of Dhaka. Pakistan had three Bengali Prime Ministers in 23 years. But these BAL Bengalis do not understand what colonial rule does mean - although Bengal had the longest colonial rule in the whole span of the Muslim history. The Bengalis enjoyed more democracy in Pakistan than in Bangladesh. The politics of naked fascism, killing political opponents, forced disappearance, hanging through fabricated trials as currently inundate Bangladesh didn't exist in Pakistan.

As a Muslim, one must try to be a pan-Islamist. Any secularist or nationalist inclination must not enter into believers' hearts. This is an Islamic obligation. A true believer must hate to be a nationalist as he or she hates idol worshipping. It doesn't need any moral strength or ideological correctness to flow with the wave of toxic nationalism, even a thief or killer can amply follow such a political trend. But it is haram in Islam. If a Muslim is alone, even then he or she must stand against such a deviant trend.

So my analysis on 1971 definitely differs from that of those who are nationalist, secularist and non-conformist with Islam. My cherished Muslimness is responsible for such a distinctiveness from others. I admire those wise Bengali Muslim leaders of the 1940s who created a golden opportunity for the Bengali Muslim to play a significant role in the global stage by building the largest Muslim Country in the world.

In February 2020, I went to present a research paper in an international conference arranged jointly by the University of Paris and the University of Normandy. I felt very proud as a Bengali while an Indonesian professor in his paper on Bandung Spirit in non-aligned movement mentioned the name of Bengali Mohammad Ali Bogra who attended the NAM conference in Bandung in Indonesia as the Prime Minister of Pakistan -along with Prime Minister Nehru, President Naser, President Shukarno, Marshal Tito and many others. In that hall, it came to mind that the Bengali Muslims could have played a more important role on the world stage if they had been a part of Pakistan. At least they could escape the ongoing Indian hegemony. Those who damaged that

golden opportunity in 1971 will face harsh condemnation if not today at least a hundred years later.

The new hope and the challenge

However, the paradigm in the Muslim World is rapidly shifting. The nationalists and secularists are now recognised as the deviants and internal enemies of the Muslim Ummah. Even a school kid with little Islamic knowledge understands that. All the damages that are done to the Muslim Ummah in the recent history are done by these nationalists and secularists and not by the non-Muslims. Those who have love for Islam understand their crimes. Once, Mr. Sharif Musaddeque of Iran was a great hero of the Iranian nationalists. He was an elected Prime Minister of Iran in 1953 and admired for ousting the King Mohammad Reza Shah Pahlavi and nationalising Iranian oil. After the revolution in 1979, the famous road of Tehran was immediately renamed after him. But now he lives only in the midst of historical garbage. His crime is nothing but adherence to secularism and Iranian nationalism. In the near future, the nationalists and secularists will face the same fate all over the Muslim Ummah.

So the Mujib'ite or the Zia'ite Mukti Bahini people who danced with the victorious Indian Army in 1971 and killed thousands of innocent Bengali and non-Bengali people for their love for Islam and Pakistan will be condemned for their heinous crime against Islam and Muslims. In the history of Islam they will be remembered as the destroyers of the largest Muslim Country of the world and for serving Hindutva agenda of India. In every age Satan gets his own agents to harm Islam and the Muslims. Once they were the Mongol killers, the Christian crusaders and the European colonists. They caused horrors of genocides, plunders and destructions in the Muslim World. Now the new crops of criminal agents are the home-grown nationalists, racists and secularists. The most potential political structure of Islam like Osmania caliphate and Pakistan are damaged by these criminals. They are still active in all Muslim countries. Every Muslim has a moral duty to take the fight against these criminals. 22/09/2021

(☺)’s are the most common way to express happiness, joy, and contentment. They are often used in casual conversations and social media posts. The smiley face is a simple yet effective way to convey a positive emotion.

Smiley faces are a common way to express happiness and joy. They are often used in casual conversations and social media posts. The smiley face is a simple yet effective way to convey a positive emotion. There are many different types of smiley faces, each with its own meaning. For example, the classic yellow smiley face with closed eyes and a wide mouth is often used to express pure happiness. Other variations include the red heart smiley face, which is used to express love and affection, and the winking smiley face, which is used to express playfulness. Smiley faces are a great way to add a touch of positivity to your communication. They are easy to use and can help to create a more friendly and approachable atmosphere. Whether you are texting a friend or posting on social media, a smiley face is a simple yet powerful way to let someone know how you feel.

Smiley faces are a common way to express happiness and joy.

Smiley faces are a common way to express happiness and joy. They are often used in casual conversations and social media posts. The smiley face is a simple yet effective way to convey a positive emotion. There are many different types of smiley faces, each with its own meaning. For example, the classic yellow smiley face with closed eyes and a wide mouth is often used to express pure happiness. Other variations include the red heart smiley face, which is used to express love and affection, and the winking smiley face, which is used to express playfulness. Smiley faces are a great way to add a touch of positivity to your communication. They are easy to use and can help to create a more friendly and approachable atmosphere. Whether you are texting a friend or posting on social media, a smiley face is a simple yet powerful way to let someone know how you feel.

אנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה.

אנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה.

אנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה. אנחנו מאמינים כי כל אדם זכאי לחירות ולשלום, ולכן אנחנו תומכים בכל המאמצים להגנה על חירות הפרט ופיקודנות הממשלה.

US dollar aid for Afghanistan. Thus, making Afghanistan a failed state and discrediting the Taliban for the failure is their joint strategy. They didn't bother about the deaths of innocent Afghans during the war of occupation; nor do they bother about the deaths during the ongoing economic occupation.

Before the USA occupation, Afghanistan was not a country of the destitute. The country's economy was not that worse as it is now. In those days, the people were not so desperate to leave the country like today. The current dire economic crisis owes to 30 years' war against foreign occupation. The war went on for 10 years against Soviet Russia in the 1980s and 20 years against the USA. The long occupational wars of the foreign invaders made Afghanistan a country of destruction, deaths, refugees, and severe economic crisis.

The USA and its allies have left Afghanistan. But the worst consequences of the long war stay there to aggravate the pains of the people and cause terrible hurdles for the Taliban administration. It is widely acclaimed that with the victory of the Taliban, the law and order situation has drastically improved. Peace has returned back. Men and women can move without fear of being killed or robbed outside the home. But the war-fatigued Taliban fight the imposed economic war.

But it is a shame that the western media and the western leaders are blaming the Taliban for all the ongoing miseries in Afghanistan. Moreover, the west's ideological foot-soldiers are let loose in the streets of Kabul to protest against the Taliban for western values cum feminist rights. They are not ready to give the Taliban a war-free time to restore the peace, tranquillity, and economy of the country. They conveniently forget that Afghanistan is a war-torn country. Not surprisingly, the UN Human Rights Council is now vocal on women's rights and human rights in Afghanistan. They look selective and biased against the Taliban. Whereas, they remain conspicuously silent on the survival rights of the Afghan people under the US occupation.

The war on Islam

The USA and its western allies have their beloved legacies and ideologies. These western nations are raised in a culture of imperialism, colonialism, colonial exploitation, racism, nationalism, fascism, and ethnic cleansing. They need an enemy to feed their imperial war and war industries. In the past, they had a communist block led by the Socialist Soviet Union of Russia. After its collapse, they have identified Islam as the new enemy. These countries are now ruled by people who are intoxicated by Islamophobia. They hate everything that relates to the revival of Islam. Terrorism is now defined to fit into their war agenda. Islamists are now labeled as terrorists.

They consider the Islamists who want to return back to the original Islam of Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) that constitutes Islamic state, sharia, pan-Islamic unity, and jihad as a security threat to the west. Former British Prime Minister Tony Blair postulated such apprehension in a recent seminar in London. This way the people of his ilk justify the pre-emptive occupation of Muslim countries as a legitimate right. Thus, they legitimize the west's perpetual war on Islam.

Mr. Tony Blair showed his strong distaste for the USA's withdrawal from Afghanistan. He believes in a perpetual war against radical Islam and Islamists. After the US withdrawal, he even suggested a European war against the Islamists. For people like him, the occupation of Palestine, the demolition of Palestinians' homes, the ethnic cleansing of the occupied land, and the settlement colonization in Palestine are the legitimate normal. Hence they don't raise any voice against Israel. Any protest against the western or Israeli occupation is labeled as a war against western values, culture, and democracy –as was proclaimed by George W. Bush while launching the US war on Afghanistan. This way they raise the necessary foundation of a civilizational war.

No love for people

The USA and its allies have no love for the survival right or the economic wellbeing of the people of Afghanistan; nor for any other people in any of the Muslim countries. They don't bother either about democracy, human rights, women's rights, or health rights for these poor people. They make these issues only to sell their political and military objectives to others in the world.

The USA has invested 2.2 trillion US dollars in the war in Afghanistan. They have invested 2.1 trillion US dollars in the war in Iraq. If they have any love for the people of Afghanistan they would have invested a few billion to save lives. Currently, it is reported that more than 70 percent of people in Afghanistan live in poverty. Even a UNO official told in the UNO's Security Council about a looming economic catastrophe there. But that is not an issue in the ruling circles of the west. They are worried about women's burkha and feminist rights. In the name of inclusivity, they are desperate to put more pressure on the Taliban to take some political enemies in the cabinet. They are putting pressure to stop the implementation of the fundamentals of Islam. This way they run an ideological war on Muslims.

The USA and its allies celebrated the military victory of the USA in Afghanistan by killing and torturing thousands of people. They opened torture industries in Bagram, Guantanamo Bay, and Abu Ghraib, and in many client states. To escape international law, they even established torture cells in cargo ships floating in deep oceans. Hundreds of Islamists are kept in jail for about 20 years without any trial. These are the US standards. Those who have an iota of love for human life and dignity, can they indulge in such brutality?

Whereas on 15 August 2021, while the Taliban got the military victory, they showed their love and honor for human lives and dignity. None was killed. None was tortured. None was arrested. Such a peaceful victory didn't happen in the whole span of western history. The Taliban announced general amnesty to everyone. Even those who collaborated with the US occupying forces in killing the Taliban fighters are given amnesty. Such a glorious history didn't enjoy even a mention in the morally depleted western press. They are busy vilifying the Taliban.

The investment only in wars

The USA and its allies are ready to invest trillions of dollars in the war of occupation and geopolitical dominance. They invest to run socio-cultural engineering to produce mental slaves in third-world countries so that they can work as mercenaries. But they dislike investing in peace and development. In the last 20 years, they have created a huge number of mental slaves in Afghanistan to make them fully incompatible with the native people, native culture, and the Islamic faith. They are nurtured only to fight against Islam and the Islamists hands in hands with the foreign occupiers. These misfits are the people who are now queueing up to leave Afghanistan. This reveals how much the USA and its allies have corrupted people's mind and culture and alienated from Islam.

The US ruling elites love war-like deep polarization of the world. So the US President George W. Bush prescribed the dichotomy: either with us or against us. Neutrality in the US-led war and staying away from the war weren't given an option. So they have divided the world into two poles: the US-led pole of anti-Muslim and the pole of Muslims. Afghanistan is deeply divided on that. It is good news for the Islamists that they could defeat the most powerful world power. The credit goes to the Taliban. They proved to be the great heroes of Islam.

The ray of new hope

Now it is the beginning of the end of the American Empire. In history, the Muslims have proved to be the destroyers of superpowers. They destroyed the Persian Empire, the Roman Empire, the Soviet Empire, and the British Empire. Now they are on a head-to-head collision against the American Empire. In dismantling the British Empire, the ending of British rule in India played a crucial role. Because the huge wealth of India played a major role to give sustenance to the British Empire. Its huge manpower also gave expansion to the British occupation in

can't reconcile the old wounds of defeat by the Muslims. They conveniently forget that such wounds are not specific to the Hindus, every nation on the earth has scars of such defeats. They consider the long Muslim rule in India as a denigrating stigma on their religious identity that haunts them all the time. The Muslims not only built the Taj Mahal and scores of other monuments in India but also built the Indian economy that could constitute at the time of Mughal Emperor Aurangzeb about 27 per cent of the global GDP. Whereas when the British left India, India could constitute only 3 per cent of the world GDP. (Shashi Tharoor: Inglorious Empire). British historian William Dalrymple wrote, "In 1600, when the British East Indian Company was established, Great Britain had 1.8 per cent of the world GDP, and India had 22.5 per cent. (Professor Amartya Sen's article published in Ananda Bazar). The Muslim rulers never caused any famine in India; but the British caused a devastating famine in Bengal in 1769-70 -the richest province of India to kill one-third of its population. The British created another famine in Bengal in 1943 that killed 3 million.

The Hindus can forget and forgive the British colonial rule, loot, genocide and the destruction of the Indian economy, but can't appreciate the patriotic rule of the Muslims. The Muslim rulers never take back the wealth from India to build palaces and cities in their ancestral lands in central Asia as the British did to cause massive development in the UK. Moreover, for the independence of India from British rule, Muslims gave more blood than the Hindus. In the India gate of Delhi, there is a list of martyrs, in that list, the Muslims outnumber the Hindus by a huge margin. But propaganda continues to vilify Muslims as the enemies of India.

Such a toxic Hindu mindset against Muslims influences Indian politics decisively. The emergence of the extremist Hindutva forces in politics indeed owes to such a hostile mindset. They consider the creation of Pakistan a victory of the Muslims and inimical to the Hindutva dream of an undivided India. The Hindutva leaders use the history of past Muslim rule and the creation of Pakistan to fuel the anger of the common Hindus. As result, an average Indian Hindu has become incurably

sadist. They enjoy everything that harms or damage Muslim life, honour and property. And any Muslim success anywhere in the world makes them Islamophobic and gloomy. Such an Islamophobic impact is visible in the Indian media after the recent Taliban victory in Afghanistan.

India is the home of the highest number of the poorest people in the world. The average life span, infant mortality, child mortality, per capita income and GDP growth are inferior to that of Bangladesh. The Hindu caste system makes social and religious disintegration and exclusion obligatory. Hence it makes the inclusion of people of different religions, colours, and ethnicity in its political fabric impossible. This is why more than 200 million Muslims and 200 million Dalits stay excluded from the hierarchy of power and politics. India was united only three times in its whole history; that too by the non-Hindu rulers. They are the Buddhist ruler Ashoka, the various Muslim rulers and the British colonialists. In the name of religion, they follow the ancient ignorance like idol worshipping, cow worshipping, snake worshipping, etc. Surprisingly, such primitive ignorance has become a matter of huge hubris in the Hindu psyche. Since they find no real glory to celebrate, they have made it a euphoric sport to harm, kill, lynch, humiliate and rape Muslims. In such a toxic milieu of anti-Muslim hatred, the anti-Muslim riots, exclusion of the Muslims from government jobs, eliminating them from politics, annulment of their citizenship rights and pushing back the Muslims into a neighbouring country like Bangladesh enjoy huge popularity among the Hindu majority. The Indian war on Muslims gets fuel from such an ideological cum political build-up.

Two war fronts

In its war on Muslims, India has two frontiers of hostilities. One is on the border against Pakistan. The other one is the internal war against Muslims living inside India. The Hindutva Hindus don't feel happy to give Muslims survival rights inside India. Such a mindset gets loudly expressed in the popular Hindutva slogan in the streets. They shout with rancour that the Indian Muslims have only two

destinations: either to Pakistan or to *kabaristan* (graveyard). The current Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) government of India pursues such a Hindutva policy of Muslims' exclusion officially. The National Citizenship Registration (NCR) is in fact a ploy to exclude millions of Muslims as foreign infiltrators –blamed to be from Bangladesh. However, the Indian government doesn't give any factual basis. Since the socio-economic condition of Bangladesh is better than India, infiltration of Bangladeshis into India is baseless rhetoric only to serve the political agenda of the Hindutva elements.

Nevertheless, the project like sending Muslims to *kabaristan* (graveyard) gets executed through frequent genocidal massacres in the form of anti-Muslim riots. Even many Indian politicians told in public that such whole massacres in daylight can only happen with direct government patronage. In 2002, such a massacre went on for about a week in the capital of Gujrat under Narendra Modi's direct watch. The following few examples of massacres can give some glimpses on how the task of sending Muslims to *kabaristan* (graveyard) gets executed in India. These are the Hyderabad genocide in 1948, the Gujrat riot in 1969, the Moradabad massacre in 1980, the Nellie genocide in 1983, the Gujrat riot in 1985, the Hashimpura massacre in 1987, the Bhagalpur massacre in Bihar in 1989, the Bombay riot in 1992, the Gujrat genocide in 2002, the Malagao bombing in 2006, the Muzaffarnagar riot in 2013, the Assam massacre in 2014, and the Delhi riot in 2020. These are only a few of thousands of anti-Muslim riots.

The Indian wars of occupation

The Hindutva Hindus believe in undivided India. Hence they exploit every opportunity to grab new land to expand their border. The Hindutva forces are divided into two brands: the hardcore one called RSS-BJP-Bishwa Hindu Parisad axis and the softcore one that includes Indian National Congress and other Hindu dominated political parties. The occupation of Kashmir, genocide in Hyderabad, demolition of Babri Mosque and many genocidal massacres took place under the so-called softcore Hindutva parties like Congress.

After its independence in 1947, India started its war of occupation against the weakly neighbouring Indian states. During British rule, India had 550 princely states. As per declared principle, the princely states were given the right to join either Pakistan or India or could remain independent. But India used its military might to override such rights of the individual states. The ruler of Hyderabad wanted to stay independent, but the Indian Army invaded Hyderabad and launched a genocidal massacre to annex it. The Indian Army and the Hindutva terrorist killed about 200,000 Muslims in Hyderabad. Since Vallabhbhai Patel led the annexation cum genocides there, became the most revered Hindutva icon of Hindutva politics in India. Prime Minister Narendra Modi's government built Mr Patel's statue in Gujrat which is the largest statue in the world. This way his anti-Muslim massacre has been glorified in India.

India also annexed Junagarh and Manvadar by its military might in 1948. The Muslim rulers of both these states declared to join Pakistan. India justified the annexation of these two states on the basis of the majority Hindu population. But the Indian government reversed the same argument in the case of Kashmir. Kashmir is a Muslim majority state but India annexed it on the ground that its Hindu ruler acceded to India. The people were not given the right to join Pakistan or stay independent. India also annexed Nagaland. Whereas Nagaland was never a part of India except for a brief period of the British occupation. India also occupied Sikkim, and it was done through political horse-trading and trickery.

The genocide in Kashmir

India's occupation of Kashmir is indeed a part of its constant war on Muslims and to expand its border. In Kashmir, the genocidal massacre, rape and torture is continuing since 1947. What happened to Muslims in Jammu in 1947 is catastrophic. It was a pure genocide. The Jammu region of Kashmir had a Muslim majority population before the massacre of 1947. The ruling Hindu Maharaja took a policy of Muslim cleansing of the state and started it from the southern district of Jammu. The

RSS terrorists and Sikhs conducted the genocide with the Maharaja's patronage. After the Muslim cleansing, Jammu becomes a Hindu majority district. Recently, Prime Minister Narendra Modi separated the Hindu majority Jammu from Kashmir and made it a federal territory.

Horace Alexander while writing in *The Spectator* in January 1948 says, "Hindus and Sikhs of the Jammu area... apparently with at least the tacit consent of the Hindu state authorities, have driven many thousands of their Muslim neighbours from their homes". Citing Gandhi—the Congress leader, he asserts that "some two hundred thousand are... not accounted for". Christopher Sneddon, in his article "Kashmir: The Unwritten History" estimates that between 70,000 and 237,000 Muslims were killed in Jammu. (Quote from Karan Thapar's article).

Arjun Appadurai and Arian Mack, in *India's World*, believed that 200,000 could have been killed in Jammu and a further 500,000 have been displaced. Much higher figures were reported by the newspapers of the time. *The Statesman* suggested 500,000 Muslims were killed. The journalist Ved Bhasin and the scholar Ilyas Chattha claimed that the RSS was involved in the genocide—supported by Kashmir's Maharaja Hari Singh. (Quote from Karan Thapar's article).

Wajahat Habibullah in his "My Kashmir: The Dying of the Light" suggests two reasons. First, it occurred when communal riots and brutal massacres were happening right across northern India. In that bigger outrage, this smaller tragedy seems to have been forgotten. But the second reason is intriguing. It exposes the complicity of Sheikh Abdullah, then the undisputed leader of the Kashmir Valley in the crime. He could draw attention to this massacre, but he deliberately chose to ignore it because the Muslims of Jammu did not support his National Conference but were inclined towards Jinnah's Muslim League. The Sheikh's politics seems to have silenced his conscience! (Quote from Karan Thapar's article). In the face of such a crime, silence or negligence is nothing but complicity in the crime. And Shaikh Abdullah committed that crime deliberately.

During the last three decades of the intensified war since the 1980s, the Indian Army have killed about 100,000 Kashmiris and raped hundreds

of women. Torturing, killing and raping have become routine brutality of the Indian Army in Kashmir. What happened on January 21, 1990, in Gawkadal, Srinagar is horrific. Indian Army killed 52 unarmed civilians and injured 250 –reported by Human Rights Watch. On January 6 1993, the Indian Border Security Force (BSF) killed 43 unarmed civilians in Sopore in Kashmir who were travelling on a bus. On October 22, 1993, in Bijbehara, the BSF killed 51 civilians. It has been reported by Amnesty International. On 29 and 30 May 2009 at Bongam in Shopira district, the Indian Army men abducted, raped, and murdered two young women and their bodies were drowned in a stream. These are only a few examples and tips of an iceberg.

The Indian rulers have no shame, no integrity, no common sense and no honesty. Even the common Indians don't show intellectual soundness either. What low morale and an ideological sense that they still worship cows, snakes, mountains, rivers, naked *sadhus*, etc., and drink cow urine. It is shame that they could elect a man like Narendra Modi who is the murderer of more than 3 thousand people in Gujrat. How a man with an iota of moral sense can do that? What a shame that Mr Modi still believes that his ancestral Indians could fly in the sky and could transplant elephants' noses on humans. Surprisingly, these fantasies are taught in schools. Many Hindus also believe that cow urine contains gold and can cure Covid-19.

Peaceful solution blocked

India has blocked any sort of peaceful solution to the Kashmir issue. A peaceful solution is only possible if the legitimate rights of the people are honoured and justice is delivered. Justice is not the continuation of the military occupation. But the Indian government doesn't show any interest in that. They have taken a warpath and want to sustain the occupation by continuous war. The Indians show their pride in democracy. They boast India as the largest democratic state in the world. But they don't allow democracy to work in Kashmir.

Democracy means respecting people's verdicts. But in Kashmir, India is

imposing its own agenda and ignores people's wishes. India claims that Kashmir is an integral part of India. That is the wish of the Hindutva Hindus. Do the people of Kashmir subscribe to such an Indian view? That could be only proven by a plebiscite that Jawaharlal Nehru promised to conduct in 1948 –as it was planned in the UNO Security Council proposal for the peaceful solution of the Kashmir problem. The UNO Security Council appointed the US Admiral Nimitz to conduct a plebiscite in Kashmir. But India didn't allow Admiral Nimitz to enter Kashmir and to do that. Thus India betrayed its own promise with the support of Soviet Russia.

India reiterates bilateral dialogue with Pakistan to solve the Kashmir problem. But it puts a red line by saying that Kashmir is India's integral part. Thus the wish of the Kashmiri people is kept off the table of any bilateral dialogue. Pakistan is not allowed to question that wishful claim of India. So the door of a negotiated solution to the Kashmir problem is unilaterally closed by India. Now there remains only a single option, and that is a liberation war. Even a Kashmiri child understand that. Such a liberation war is called jihad in Islam. But India –like any other occupying forces label such a liberation war as terrorism.

The way-forward

The Indian war of occupation going on for more than 30 years in Kashmir. India has deployed more than 600,000 troops to crush a few thousand *mujahids*. India has employed the most sophisticated weapons bought from the USA and Israel. But still, they see no success even in the distant future. It is already causing huge economic bleed to the poor Indian economy. The Indians have yet to learn that Islam's jihad is undefeatable. The jihad of the *mujahids* has another undefeatable Power on the top of it. He is the All-Powerful Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. Any victory in war is decided only by Him.

If war is a perfect jihad, its ownership does not stay in the hands of *mujahids*. It is owned by Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. And All-Powerful

Allah decides its fate. This indeed gives the undefeatable strength to jihad. The Army of Angels come down from the haven to fight with the *mujahids* to give victory. The Muslim history is full of such Divine help starting from the first war against the infidels in the field of Badar. This is why the ragtag poorly armed Muslims could defeat huge Armies of Roman and Persian Empires –the contemporary World Powers. It may look fantasy to a non-Muslim. But a Muslim can never be a true Muslim unless he or she believes in such an undefeatable Power of Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la.

In jihad, the Mujahids have a solemn duty. They must make their war 100 per cent pure jihad. They must protect it from the toxic venom of nationalism, tribalism, secularism, and other vile ideas. Otherwise, such ideological pollution kills the spirit of jihad and causes delinking from Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la. Then it causes deprivation of His help. Exactly that happened in the case of PLO. Mr Yasser Arafat and his secular colleagues under the influence of Jamal Abdel Nasser and other Arab nationalists made the war against Israeli occupation a secular nationalist war; therefore failed terribly. Instead of relying on Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la, the leaders of the PLO now look for political, intellectual, and media support from the western secular liberals. They get euphoric seeing big pro-Palestine protest rallies in the streets of the west.

In order to sustain such support of the western secularists, they stay vigilant against the Islamisation of their struggle. As if, these liberals will bring victory against the Israeli occupation. Only the de-Islamised fools can subscribe to such a utopian wish. In fact, this is a ploy to allure Palestinians away from jihad. Such protest rallies in the western cities couldn't reduce the Israeli atrocities. The Israeli settlement colonisation, eviction of the Palestinians and torture on Palestinian men, women and children continue unabated. And Gaza still remains as an open-air prison.

Jihad is the sure and quick gateway to paradise. This is the Qur'anic promise for those who engage in jihad. This is the defensive wing of Islam. Like Islamic faith, jihad is never limited by any ethnic or geographic border. Those who look for quick entry to paradise, rush at

their own expense to find a field of perfect jihad. This is why the *mujahids* from all over the Muslim World joined the jihad in Afghanistan. So, if it is a pure jihad, the *mujahids* of Kashmir will not be left alone. Moreover, a true *mujahid* never retires; it is his life-long *ibadah*. Hence his struggle for paradise continues. That was the practice of the Muslims in the golden days of Islam. Hence, those who ended their jihad in Afghanistan will join the jihad in Kashmir. The Indian leaders understand that; hence they are now trembling. But the *mujahids* must keep perseverance and patience (*sabr*). To keep such perseverance and patience is indeed a major trial for every believer. If they keep continuing doing their jihad, Allah Sub'hana wa Ta'la surely does His Own job, too. He never breaks His promise.

The Afghan experience

The Kashmiri *mujahids* have a lot to learn from the Taliban. The Taliban waited for 20 years with perseverance and patience in their jihad. Thousands of them became Shaheed and maimed. In the end, they got Divine help and won the victory. An elephant can't return alive if enters a den of dragons. Dragons can't crush an elephant but take the strategy to make elephants bleed to death. The same way the aggressor Army can't go victorious from the land of *mujahids*. The Afghan *mujahids* proved it three times. In 1842, the Afghan *mujahids* made the whole invading British Army vanish; only one soldier could return alive. In the 1980s, they caused Soviet Russia to bleed to collapse and disintegration. The same strategy worked against the mightiest World Power like the USA and its more than 50 partner countries. They got the most humiliating defeat in history. In the 1960s, the same strategy worked against a World Power like France in Algeria.

India is not stronger than the USA, Soviet Russia and France. So the Kashmiri *mujahids* must not underestimate themselves. They should apply the same strategy against India. It may take ten or twenty years, but the day will surely come when India –like the USA will beg for a safe retreat from Kashmir. One can't end enemies' occupation by a dialogue. It never worked in the whole of human history. There exists no

political solution to military occupation. The military solution is the only solution. The Taleban in Afghanistan proved that. The PLO failed in its struggle because its secularist leaders worked for the political solution of military occupation. They neglected jihad. As a result, Mr Yasser Arafat met captivity and death under the Israeli occupation.

War is the only option against the enemy's war. Even the most humble and peace-loving Prophet (peace be upon him) couldn't make any peaceful political solution with the enemies. He had to take the military option. So it is the Prophet (peace be upon him)'s legacy to take that path. Secularist Shaikh Abdullah of Kashmir failed badly because he pursued a path of political solution with Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru of India. He didn't meet any success but faced long-time jail and humiliation. Islam shows path not only in five times prayer and other rituals but also in struggles against the enemies. And it is jihad –the greatest *ibadah* in Islam. Those who live without jihad, have to live without dignity and freedom under the enemy's occupation. How can Kashmiri Muslims take that path of humiliation and slavery? 05/09/2021

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□

□□□□□□□□□□

□

□□□□□□□□

□□□□□ □□□□□ □□□□□

□□□□□□□□□ □□□□

Q1: What is the main purpose of the research? A: The main purpose of the research is to investigate the effects of the independent variable on the dependent variable. The study aims to explore the relationship between the two variables and to determine whether there is a significant difference between the groups.

Q2: What are the independent and dependent variables? A: The independent variable is the variable that is manipulated or controlled by the researcher. The dependent variable is the variable that is measured or observed in response to the independent variable.

Q3: How was the data collected? A: The data was collected through a series of experiments or observations. The researchers used a controlled environment to ensure that the results are valid and reliable.

Q4: What are the results of the study? A: The results of the study show that there is a significant difference between the groups. The independent variable has a positive effect on the dependent variable. The findings suggest that the relationship between the two variables is statistically significant.

Q5: What are the implications of the study? A: The implications of the study are that the independent variable can be used to predict or control the dependent variable. The results provide valuable insights into the relationship between the two variables and can be applied in various fields.

□□□□□□ □□□□□□□□ □□□□ □□□□□□ □□□□□ □□, □□□□□□□□□ □□□□□□, □□ □□□□□□□□
□□/□□/□□□□□; □□□□□□□ □□□□□□□ □□/□□/□□□□□□

The US sadism, the investment in death and destruction, and the looming global war

Dr. Firoz Mahboob Kamal

The US sadism and the crime

The US Americans proved to be a nation of sadists. Sadism is an incurable mental disease. Since its root is ideological and racial, no medicine works against it. Sadism has robust behavioral and cultural symptoms. Normal people get grieved with pain, death, and the sufferings of others. But a sadist enjoys and celebrates the death, destruction, and miseries of others. They love war and invest massively in wars. So the war of occupation, ethnic cleansing, genocide, indiscriminate bombing are the parts of the sadists' culture. They hate other people's happiness and pleasure. Hence, they distaste putting money in peace, stability, and other people's welfare.

The sadists don't simply kill other people, rather torture, lynch and even burn alive to death. They invent more brutal ways of torturing even the hand-cuffed **powerless** prisoners. So waterboarding of prisoners is a US invention. This is why Abu Gharib prison in Iraq, Baghram prison in Afghanistan, and Guantanamo Bay in Cuba could become torture industries. These prisons proved to be regular practicing grounds for the sadists. They urinated on the Qur'an –the holy book of the Muslims. They make people naked and made pyramids with their naked bodies and took selfies with the pyramid. These are done only to get

an orgy of sadistic pleasure. For the same sadism, the USA dropped nuclear bombs on Nagasaki and Hiroshima. Powerful Germany surrendered without nuclear bombs. Japan was close to surrender, too. But the American sadists were not happy with mere surrender. They wanted a wild celebration by burning hundreds of thousands of Japanese alive to death with nuclear fire. This is the exact display of US sadism.

Toxic venoms of racism, supremacism, and toxic hatred against people of other faith, race, and skin color cause such violent sadism. The Red Indians and the African Blacks became the worst victims of such sadism in the USA. In India, the Muslims are facing the same wild sadism of the Hindu supremacist RSS and BJP thugs. They can't enjoy the simple death of Muslims. They celebrate lynching Muslims in public, raping their women in gangs, and throwing the Muslim men and women alive in the fire. In 2002, such a celebration of massacre happened in Gujrat in a week-long anti-Muslim pogrom under the watch of Norendal Modi –then the chief minister of Gujrat. Even the Indian media depicted horrendous pictures of such sadistic carnage. And it is very endemic in India.

The USA investment in war

The US President Joe Biden told in his White House speech on 31/08/2021 that the USA has spent 2 trillion US dollars in 20 years' war in Afghanistan. He also told that the USA has spent 300 million per day over a span of 20 years. But Afghanistan is not the only war front of the USA. After 9/11, the USA launched the war in Iraq, Syria, Yemen, Somalia, Libya, and also Pakistan. Recently the Brown University –a leading American research university published a report on the USA's war cost. It gave an estimate that the USA has spent \$8 trillion in the war since 9/11 and has killed directly at least 897,000 to 929,000 people. The researchers mentioned that it is a conservative estimate of deaths. The deaths may be more than a million.

The Brown University gave the following breakdown of \$8 trillion war

investment:

- \$2.3 trillion spent in Afghanistan and Pakistan sector.
- \$2.1 trillion in Iraq and Syria.
- \$ 355 billion in Libya, Somalia, Yemen, and other war zones.
- \$1.1 trillion on the USA Homeland Security
- \$2.2 trillion is estimated as the cost for taking future care of war veterans.

Of those killed, 387,000 are civilians, 207,000 are the Army and police personnel and 301,000 are opposition fighters killed by the troops of the USA and the allies. About 15, 000 US servicemen and contractors are also killed along with a similar number of deaths of the western allies. Prime Minister Imran Khan claims that 70,000 Pakistanis died because of Pakistan's participation as an ally of the USA's war on the Taliban. But there is a difficulty in calculating the actual number of deaths because the USA Army – the main agent in killings didn't keep any account of the deaths.

The looming new USA war

The USA had declared the end of its war in Afghanistan. It has evacuated all of its forces from Afghanistan. But the consequences of war still remain and a new US war against an old enemy is looming. Taliban is still struggling to get full control over the whole of Afghanistan. The economy is rapidly collapsing. The World Bank, IMF, and the US government have blocked their funds. The banks in Afghanistan suffer from an acute cash crisis. Still, the Taliban couldn't form a government. Hence a lot of things are yet to be seen. If all these are not quickly fixed the country is going to be a failed state.

The current situation gives a golden opportunity to ISIS-Khorasan to get more fighters and more territories –as ISIS could quickly establish in failed states of Syria and Iraq. In Syria and Iraq, ISIS didn't enjoy conducive terrain for guerrilla warfare against a massive US and its allies' bombardment. But such a convenient geographic

terrain perfectly exists in Afghanistan. So the USA and its allies get highly worried about ISIS-Khorasan. ISIS-Khorasan recently killed 13 US soldiers. That has amplified the west's worries. To take revenge, the USA killed 10 innocent civilians by a drone attack. Of them, 6 are children. The drone was aimed at killing ISIS-Khorasan fighters, but it is made known how many of the ISIS has been killed.

The USA war now turns global

The USA has totally failed in its war on the Taliban. The USA couldn't eliminate the Taliban, rather has strengthened the organization remarkably. Now the USA finds ISIS-Khorasan its new enemy. The USA can't fight against ISIS-Khorasan alone. It has terribly lost its confidence; and also suffers from economic ill-health. The US Defence Secretary Lloyd Austin indicated in his Pentagon speech on 01/09/201 that the USA will build collaboration with the Taliban in its war on ISIS-Khorasan. President Biden in a speech on 31/08/2021 also recently appreciated the Taliban for their cooperation in the evacuation of the US forces from Afghanistan. It is true that the Taliban didn't fire even a single bullet against any US soldier. Such appreciation tells a lot about the USA's intended strategy to work with the Taliban.

The Taliban and ISIS-Khorasan –although both the brands claim to be Islamists, are mutually fierce competitors. The Taliban have their own Achilles' heel. They are based on more tribal and Afghan nationalist orientations. Whereas in Islam, causing division among the Muslims based on tribal, ethnic, or national identities is a forbidden and punishable crime as per sharia law. The Taliban believe in the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan. Hence their focus is only within Afghanistan. So, they have no concern outside Afghanistan. Many Islamic Muslims don't like such a nationalist mindset. Moreover, if the Taliban makes a coalition with the USA, it will drastically diminish its credibility. The USA is deeply hated in Afghanistan for its acts of killing and torturing millions of people there.

Whereas ISIS is pan-Islamic jihad. It doesn't recognize national and

tribal identities. This is why while ISIS established the Islamic State in Syria and Iraq, they first removed the border between Iraq and Syria. They invited Muslims all over the world to emigrate there. Even the richest Muslim country in the world will not give such an invitation. Thousands of people from Europe, Asia, and Africa responded to that ISIS call. ISIS aspires to raise Muslims as an Islamic global superpower. So it appears more identical to the original Islam of Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) and the early rightly guided caliphs –while the Arabs, the Iranians, the Africans, the Turks, and the Kurds lived and worked together. There was no tribal or national state in those golden days of Islam. This is why ISIS could become easily and rapidly a global Islamic brand with strong outposts in many countries in Asia and Africa. This is why the countries and the people that are based on radical secularism, nationalism, and tribalism like all Arab states, Turkey, Iran, Pakistan, and Bangladesh don't like ISIS. They hate ISIS more than non-Muslims. This is why the USA gets these states as ever-ready partners in its war on ISIS.

Therefore, the USA's war on Islam shows no sign of ending. Rather the war on ISIS turns to be global. This is why President Biden told about a war "*over the horizon*". Therefore, the USA war that was limited to Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria is turning into a war over the whole Muslim World. So, every Muslim country, every Muslim city, every Muslim village, and every Muslim will be under the watch of the US rudder. The USA will be hunting and fighting ISIS members everywhere. But the country that couldn't win a war with a coalition of more than 60 sixty countries in Afghanistan in 20 years, how can win a global war spread over tens of countries? 02/09/2021